

2024 Clinical Practice Guideline Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America on the Management of COVID-19: Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Antibody Pemivibart for Pre-exposure Prophylaxis

Adarsh Bhimraj,¹ Yngve Falck-Ytter,^{2,3} Arthur Y. Kim,^{4,5} Jonathan Z. Li,^{4,6} Lindsey R. Baden,^{4,6} Steven Johnson,⁷ Robert W. Shafer,⁸ Shmuel Shoham,⁹ Pablo Tebas,¹⁰ Roger Bedimo,¹¹ Vincent Chi-Chung Cheng,¹² Kara W. Chew,¹³ Kathleen Chiotos,¹⁴ Eric S. Daar,¹⁵ Amy L. Dzierba,¹⁶ David V. Glidden,¹⁷ Erica J. Hardy,¹⁸ Greg S. Martin,¹⁹ Christine MacBrayne,²⁰ Nandita Nadig,²¹ Mari M. Nakamura,^{22,23} Amy Hirsch Shumaker,^{2,3} Phyllis Tien,²⁴ Jennifer Loveless,²⁵ Rebecca L. Morgan,^{2,26} and Rajesh T. Gandhi^{4,5}

¹Division of Infectious Diseases, Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston, Texas, USA; ²Department of Medicine, Case Western Reserve University, School of Medicine, Cleveland, Ohio, USA; ³Department of Medicine, VA Northeast Ohio Healthcare System, Cleveland, Ohio, USA; ⁴Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ⁵Infectious Diseases Division, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ⁶Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ⁷Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, Colorado, USA; ⁸Department of Medicine, Stanford University, Palo Alto, California, USA; ⁹Division of Infectious Diseases, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA; ¹⁰Department of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA; ¹¹Department of Medicine, UT Southwestern/VIA North Texas Health Care System, Dallas, Texas, USA; ¹²Department of Microbiology, Queen Mary Hospital, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China; ¹³Department of Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA; ¹⁴Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia/University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA; ¹⁵Department of Medicine, Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, California, USA; ¹⁶Department of Pharmacy, New York-Presbyterian Hospital, New York, New York, USA; ¹⁷Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, UCSF, San Francisco, California, USA; ¹⁸Departments of Medicine and Obstetrics and Gynecology, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA; ¹⁹Department of Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA; ²⁰Department of Pharmacy, Children's Hospital Colorado, Aurora, Colorado, USA; ²¹Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, USA; ²²Antimicrobial Stewardship Program and Division of Infectious Diseases, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ²³Department of Pediatrics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ²⁴Department of Medicine, UCSF/VA, San Francisco, California, USA; ²⁵Clinical Affairs and Practice Guidelines, Infectious Diseases Society of America, Arlington, Virginia, USA; and ²⁶Department of Health Research Methods, Evidence, and Impact, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

This article provides a focused update to the clinical practice guideline on the treatment and management of patients with coronavirus disease 2019, developed by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. The guideline panel presents a recommendation on the use of the anti-severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 neutralizing antibody pemivibart as pre-exposure prophylaxis. The recommendation is based on evidence derived from a systematic review and adheres to a standardized methodology for rating the certainty of evidence and strength of recommendation according to the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation) approach. Information on pemivibart is included in the U.S. Food and Drug Administration Emergency Use Authorization for this agent.

Keywords. COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; pemivibart; pre-exposure prophylaxis; guideline.

This article was posted online at <https://www.idsociety.org/practice-guideline/covid-19-guideline-treatment-and-management/> on 12 August 2024. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) management guidelines may change rapidly with evolving virus variants and ongoing research, so please check the website for most updated version of this guideline.

As the pandemic evolves, new severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) variants emerge with varying

susceptibility to available anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies. For current information, please refer to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) COVID-19 Data Tracker (Summary of Variant Surveillance) [1].

In moderately or severely immunocompromised persons aged 12 years or older, should pemivibart compared to no pemivibart be used for preexposure prophylaxis?

Recommendation: In moderately or severely immunocompromised individuals aged 12 years or older at risk for progression to severe COVID-19, the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) guideline panel suggests pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) with pemivibart when predominant regional variants are susceptible to the agent (*conditional recommendation, low certainty of evidence*).

Remarks:

- The anticipated benefit is likely greatest in people who are the most immunocompromised because they have the highest

Received 20 August 2024; accepted 20 August 2024; published online 29 October 2024

Correspondence: A. Bhimraj, Infectious Diseases, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, 9500 Euclid Ave, G21, Cleveland, OH 44195, USA (practiceguidelines@idsociety.org).

Clinical Infectious Diseases®

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For commercial re-use, please contact reprints@oup.com for reprints and translation rights for reprints. All other permissions can be obtained through our RightsLink service via the Permissions link on the article page on our site—for further information please contact journals.permissions@oup.com.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciae435>

Table 1. Broad Categorization of Example Immunocompromised Status based on Medical Condition or Immunosuppressive Treatment

Thresholds by which this categorization has been determined have been derived from cohort studies beginning in the Omicron era of COVID-19; however, this may not be representative of currently evolving variants. The risk of progression to severe COVID-19 is a continuum influenced by various factors, including the degree of immunosuppression. The categorization of risk and the examples provided in Table 1 are illustrative, based on a few studies, and are not exhaustive or a thorough list of all conditions [3,4].

Risk Category	Example Health Condition	Example Therapeutics
Higher risk immunocompromised patients	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Stem cell transplant <2 y• Graft versus host disease, grade 3 or 4• Hematological malignancy on therapy• Lung transplant• Fewer than 1% peripheral B-cells assessed in past 6 months	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• B-cell depleting agents in past 12 months (eg, rituximab, ofatumumab, ocrelizumab, others)• CAR-T therapy in past 12 months• Abatacept
Moderate risk immunocompromised patients	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Solid organ transplant other than lung• Solid tumor on treatment• Congenital agammaglobulinemia• Graft versus host disease, grade 1 or 2• HIV infection with CD4 <200 cells/mm³• Other severe primary immunodeficiency	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Tyrosine kinase inhibitor (eg, ibrutinib, acalabrutinib, others)• High-dose corticosteroids (>20 mg prednisone or equivalent for >4 wks)• Anthracycline derivatives
Lower risk immunocompromised patients	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• HIV infection with CD4 >200 cells/mm³• Inflammatory bowel disease• Cirrhosis• ESRD• Solid tumor (treatment >12 months prior)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Anti-TNF• Anti-IL-6• Anti-IL-12 and -23• Corticosteroids ≤10 mg long-term, or <20 mg for <4 wks• Intra-articular steroids

Abbreviations: CAR-T, chimeric antigen receptor T-cell; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; IL-, interleukin; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

risk of inadequate immune response and progression to severe disease. See Table 1 for examples of individuals with varying degrees of immunosuppression. See Figures 1 and 2 for information from the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Emergency Use Authorization (EUA).

- The anticipated benefit may be lower in patients aged 12 to 17 years, who have less severe COVID-19 outcomes than adults, as reflected by lower rates of hospitalization.
- As the evidence is based on immunobridging and circulating variant susceptibility is evolving, additional clinical and laboratory data may impact this recommendation.
- Patients who place a higher value on potential harms, specifically, the observed 0.6% risk of anaphylaxis, and a lower value on the uncertain benefits of prevention of severe COVID-19 would reasonably decline pemivibart.
- Per the FDA EUA, pemivibart is authorized to be given at 4500 mg IV every 3 months.
- Per the FDA EUA, in individuals who have recently received a COVID-19 vaccine, pemivibart should be administered at least 2 weeks after vaccination.

BACKGROUND

Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) directed at the receptor-binding domain of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein have been employed as prophylactic and therapeutic agents for COVID-19. Animal models, including those using the parent mAb for pemivibart, adintrevimab, have demonstrated the ability of these antibodies to inhibit viral replication in the lower respiratory tract, thereby reducing virus-induced pathology [5,6].

An advantage of an anti-SARS-CoV-2 mAb is its ability to provide protection for individuals who do not respond to

vaccination. Additionally, this protection begins immediately after the infusion. The FDA previously issued an EUA for tixagevimab/cilgavimab (Evusheld) as pre-exposure prophylaxis for COVID-19 [7,8]. However, as the pandemic progressed, new SARS-CoV-2 variants emerged with reduced neutralizing susceptibility to various anti-SARS-CoV-2 mAbs in assays performed using infectious (also referred to as authentic) and pseudotyped viruses. There is evidence that the results of these *in vitro* neutralization assays can predict the efficacy of prophylactic or therapeutic anti-SARS-CoV-2 mAb activity [9, 10]. The FDA has employed these and other immunobridging studies to determine the withdrawal and authorization of anti-SARS CoV-2 mAbs [2, 11]. The FDA defines immunobridging as a method to infer vaccine (or by extension, monoclonal antibody) effectiveness by comparing immune responses, such as antibody levels, from a new vaccine (or antibody) to those of an approved vaccine or antibody under different conditions. This approach is useful when direct efficacy trials are impractical because of low disease incidence or ethical issues. Immunobridging allows for quicker and more cost-effective vaccine (and monoclonal) approvals, which is critical during public health emergencies like the COVID-19 pandemic. It has been used for evaluating COVID-19 vaccines across different age groups and for booster doses. In the case of pemivibart immunobridging, serum neutralization titer was used to compare pemivibart to previous mAbs [2, 12, 13].

While vaccination remains the first-line approach for the prevention of COVID-19, there are some immunosuppressed individuals who may not mount an adequate protective response to COVID-19 vaccines. Certain immunocompromised patients (examples listed in Table 1) are at particularly high risk for complications of COVID-19. Immunosuppressed

According to the FDA Emergency Use Authorization of pemivibart, medical conditions or treatments that may result in moderate to severe immune compromise include but are not limited to:

- Active treatment for solid tumor and hematologic malignancies
- Hematologic malignancies associated with poor responses to COVID-19 vaccines regardless of current treatment status (e.g., chronic lymphocytic leukemia, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, multiple myeloma, acute leukemia)
- Receipt of solid-organ transplant or an islet transplant and taking immunosuppressive therapy
- Receipt of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T-cell or hematopoietic stem cell transplant (within 2 years of transplantation or taking immunosuppressive therapy)
- Moderate or severe primary immunodeficiency (e.g., common variable immunodeficiency disease, severe combined immunodeficiency, DiGeorge syndrome, Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome)
- Advanced or untreated HIV infection (people with HIV and CD4 cell counts $<200/\text{mm}^3$, history of an AIDS-defining illness without immune reconstitution, or clinical manifestations of symptomatic HIV)
- Active treatment with high-dose corticosteroids (i.e., ≥ 20 mg prednisone or equivalent per day when administered for ≥ 2 weeks), alkylating agents, antimetabolites, transplant-related immunosuppressive drugs, cancer chemotherapeutic agents classified as severely immunosuppressive, and biologic agents that are immunosuppressive or immunomodulatory (e.g., B-cell depleting agents)

Figure 1. FDA emergency use authorization (EUA) criteria for the use of pemivibart for pre-exposure prophylaxis of COVID-19 in moderately or severely immunocompromised patients [2].

individuals may benefit from PrEP. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 mAbs have track records of efficacy for both treatment and prevention of COVID-19. In March 2024, the FDA conferred emergency use authorization for pemivibart for the PrEP of COVID-19 in adults and adolescents (12 years of age and older weighing at least 40 kg) based on immunobridging data from the CANOPY study, which suggests pemivibart should have similar efficacy against the newer Omicron subvariants as was previously seen with adintrevimab (the parent mAb of pemivibart) in the setting of circulating Delta variants and other anti-SARS-CoV-2 mAbs [2]. FDA authorization was based on immunobridging; the serum neutralization titer was used to compare pemivibart to other anti-SARS-CoV-2 mAbs that showed clinical efficacy.

In this focused update to the 2023 guideline [14], a recommendation and remarks are provided for pemivibart as PrEP. The primary audience for this recommendation is clinicians managing moderately or severely immunocompromised persons aged 12 years or older.

METHODS

The panel's recommendation is based on evidence derived from a systematic review and adheres to a standardized methodology for rating the certainty of evidence and strength of recommendation according to the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) approach

This EUA for the use of the unapproved products pemivibart for pre-exposure prophylaxis in adults and pediatric individuals (12 years of age and older weighing at least 40 kg) who are:

- Who are not currently infected with SARS-CoV-2 and who have not had a known recent exposure to an individual infected with SARS-CoV-2 and
- Who have moderate-to-severe immune compromise due to a medical condition or receipt of immunosuppressive medications or treatments and are unlikely to mount an adequate response to COVID-19 vaccination.

Figure 2. FDA EUA criteria for the use of pemivibart for pre-exposure prophylaxis of COVID-19 [2].

(Supplementary Figure 1) [15]. The recommendation has been endorsed by the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society, the Society of Infectious Diseases Pharmacists, the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America, and the Society of Critical Care Medicine.

Strong recommendations are made when the recommended course of action would apply to most people with few exceptions. Conditional recommendations are made when the suggested course of action would apply to the majority of people with many exceptions and shared decision making is important.

A literature search was conducted in May 2024 as part of a systematic review. Key eligibility criteria at both the topic and clinical question levels guided the selection of studies for inclusion. For this clinical question, immunocompromised persons aged 12 years or older were included. The primary comparator of interest was pemivibart versus no pemivibart; however, other mAbs were also considered.

A critical appraisal of the evidence according to the GRADE approach, along with an assessment of the benefits and harms of care options informed the recommendation(s) [15, 16]. Details of the systematic review and guideline development processes are available in the [Supplementary Material](#).

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

One ongoing randomized controlled trial (RCT) was identified studying PrEP with a single dose of 4500 mg IV pemivibart administration in adults aged ≥ 18 years at increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection or inadequate response to COVID-19 vaccination [17] (Supplementary Table 1). Results of the effect of pemivibart in preventing symptomatic COVID-19 infections are expected later in 2024. In the interim, to inform anticipated clinical benefits of pemivibart, the panel relied on indirect evidence from an RCT of adintrevimab (see Table 2), the ancestral neutralizing antibody from which pemivibart was derived,

previous studies evaluating other anti-SARS-CoV-2 mAbs, and immunobridging evidence [2, 10].

Benefits

In the EVADE RCT conducted in unvaccinated individuals, symptomatic COVID-19 infections occurred in 40/728 (5.5%) patients receiving placebo compared to 12/752 (1.6%) patients receiving adintrevimab (relative risk, 0.29; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.15–0.55) [18]. Additionally, prior studies found that *in vitro* neutralizing titers of anti-SARS-CoV-2 mAbs, including adintrevimab and other anti-SARS-CoV-2 mAbs, were associated with clinical benefit [2, 10]. *In vitro* neutralizing activity of pemivibart appears retained with currently circulating variants as of June 2024 [19].

Harms

In the CANOPY trial, serious adverse events included anaphylaxis, which was observed in 4/623 (0.6%) participants receiving pemivibart, 2 of which were described as life-threatening (absolute risk increase of 6 more anaphylactic reactions in 1000; 95% CI, from 0 more to 12 more) [2].

Other Considerations

The panel's suggestion for the use of pemivibart is based on the following lines of evidence: the track record of success of anti-SARS-CoV-2 mAbs for both treatment and prevention; the phase 2/3 randomized controlled trial of the parent mAb adintrevimab demonstrating a 71% protection from symptomatic COVID-19; and immunobridging data.

The panel agreed the overall certainty of evidence for this recommendation was low (Table 2) because of concerns about: indirectness of evidence, given that efficacy of pemivibart is derived from immunobridging studies compared to adintrevimab and other anti-SARS-CoV-2 mAbs; uncertainty that pemivibart is active against the currently circulating variants; uncertain risks of pemivibart, including anaphylaxis; uncertainty

Table 2. GRADE Evidence Profile: In moderately or severely immunocompromised persons 12 years or older, should pemivibart be used for pre-exposure prophylaxis?

Certainty Assessment			No. of Patients			Effect		
No. of Studies	Study Design	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other Considerations	Pemivibart	No Pemivibart
All-cause mortality								
No data								
Symptomatic infections (as inferred by immunobridging neutralization study of pemivibart 4500 mg IV based on titers against JN.1 at day 28)								
1 ^{2,17}	Nonrandomized studies	Serious ^a	Not serious	Serious ^b	Not serious	None	12/752 (1.6%)	40/728 (5.5%)
Immunobridging is established if the lower limit of the 2-sided 90% CI of the ratio of the geometric mean titer value is >0.8. Results: the geometric mean ratio between the calculated titer for pemivibart against JN.1 (based on an authentic virus neutralization assay EC50 value of 63.6 ng/mL) and the calculated titer for adintrevimab against Delta (based on a similar authentic virus neutralization assay EC50 value of 7 ng/mL) was 0.82 (90% CI: 0.80–0.85). The authors conclude that the calculated pemivibart serum neutralizing antibody titers were consistent with the titer levels associated with efficacy in prior clinical trials of adintrevimab and certain other monoclonal antibody products previously authorized for the prevention of COVID-19.							39 fewer per 1000 (from 47 fewer to 25 fewer)	⊕⊕○○ Low
Symptomatic infections (as inferred by indirect evidence from adintrevimab 300 mg PrEP cohort) (follow-up: 3 mo)^c								
1 ¹⁸	Randomized trial	Not serious	Not serious	Serious ^d	Serious ^e	None	4/623 (0.6%)	0/162 (0.0%)
RR 0.29 (0.15–0.55)							6 more per 1000 (from 0 more to 12 more) ^f	⊕⊕○○ Low
Anaphylaxis								
1 ^{2,17}	Nonrandomized studies	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	None	4/623 (0.6%)	0/162 (0.0%)
Not estimable							6 more per 1000 (from 0 more to 12 more) ^f	⊕⊕○○ Low

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; EC50, half maximal effective concentration; GRADE, Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation; PrEP, pre-exposure prophylaxis; RR, relative risk.

^aNo control group comparison (see [Supplementary Table 2](#)).

^bNot based on patient-important outcomes. Neutralizing activity only.

^cAdintrevimab is the ancestral neutralizing antibody that is no longer active against circulating virus but was used to create pemivibart.

^dSeveral layers of indirectness are present: (1) Indirect data from parent monoclonal antibody against SARS-CoV-2 variant that is no longer in circulation; (2) indirectness whether JN.1 will be susceptible to pemivibart to the same degree (ie, uncertainty of retaining effect estimate with currently circulating variants); and (3) uncertainty of baseline risk: over time, the proportion of symptomatic infections have declined and whether the historical 5.5% symptomatic infection rate seen with adintrevimab (enrollment in 2021) within 3 months is still applicable is unknown. With declining baseline risk for symptomatic infections, the absolute risk difference of downstream patient-important outcomes (eg, hospital admission, severe COVID-19) resulting from pemivibart declines as well and may become less clinically relevant over time.

^eFragility present; low number of events.

^fAnaphylaxis was observed in 4/263 (0.6%) participants receiving pemivibart, 2 of which were described as life-threatening.

regarding likelihood of symptomatic infections leading to hospitalizations and severe COVID-19 because of a lower risk of progression in 2024 than earlier in the pandemic when the adintrevimab study was conducted; lack of peer review for the immunobridging study; study risk of bias ([Supplementary Table 2](#)) in the CANOPY results reported; and imprecision because of the low number of symptomatic infections in the indirect data from adintrevimab. An additional source of uncertainty in adolescents is indirectness related to the inclusion of just 9 participants aged <18 years in the PrEP cohort of the EVADE trial and no participants <18 years of age in the CANOPY trial, necessitating extrapolation from adult data.

In the CANOPY study, 4/623 (0.6%) of participants were diagnosed with anaphylaxis, including 2 who were considered to have a severe reaction requiring emergency department visit and/or hospitalization. Because of the small number of participants who have received pemivibart in this trial, the true frequency of severe anaphylaxis remains unclear.

EQUITY CONSIDERATIONS

Efforts should be made to provide equitable access to this therapy for patients who may benefit, including those from marginalized communities, underserved populations, and diverse socioeconomic backgrounds. These include addressing barriers such as geographical disparities, financial constraints, language accessibility, and cultural considerations to ensure that all individuals have fair and inclusive opportunities to receive this treatment.

CONCLUSIONS AND RESEARCH NEEDS

The guideline panel issued a conditional recommendation for PrEP with pemivibart in moderately or severely immunocompromised individuals. Because of the limited clinical evidence, the resulting net benefit remains unknown for adults and may be clarified when final randomized trial evidence is available; it will remain unknown for patients aged 12–17 years because they were not included in the trial. Detailed data on the efficacy of PrEP specifically in immunocompromised individuals who have received COVID-19 vaccines are needed. Additionally, data regarding safety, serum neutralizing against emerging variants, clinical efficacy, and pharmacoeconomic analyses are needed.

Supplementary Data

[Supplementary materials](#) are available at *Clinical Infectious Diseases* online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

Notes

Acknowledgments. The expert panel acknowledge the work of former panelists for their work on the primary guideline, as well as Drs. Scott

Dryden-Peterson, Emmy Rubin, Alyssa Letourneau, and Ann Woolley for creating an early version of the risk stratification table. The panel also acknowledges the following organizations and selected reviewers for their review of the draft manuscript: Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society, Society of Infectious Diseases Pharmacists, Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America, Society of Critical Care Medicine, and Drs. Roy Gulick and Annie Luetkemeyer.

Drs. Adarsh Bhimraj and Rajesh T. Gandhi are chair and vice chair of the panel, respectively. The Immunocompromised subgroup, under the leadership of Dr. Arthur Kim, led the development of the recommendation and associated remarks. Remaining panelists assisted with interpretation of data, as well as drafting, revising, and approving the recommendation and manuscript. Dr. Yngve Falck-Ytter, lead methodologist, and Dr. Rebecca Morgan, methodologist, were responsible for designing and performing the data analyses and leading the panel according to the GRADE process. Jennifer Loveless, methodologist, was responsible for project planning and management, including revisions to and final approval of the recommendation and manuscript.

Disclaimer. It is important to recognize that guidelines cannot always account for individual variation among patients. They are assessments of current scientific and clinical information provided as an educational service; are not continually updated and may not reflect the most recent evidence (new evidence may emerge between the time information is drafted and when it is published or read); should not be considered inclusive of all proper methods of care, or as a statement of the standard of care; do not mandate any course of medical care; and are not intended to supplant clinician judgment with respect to particular patients or situations. Whether to follow guidelines and to what extent is voluntary, with the ultimate determination regarding their application to be made by the clinician in the light of each patient's individual circumstances. While IDSA makes every effort to present accurate, complete, and reliable information, these guidelines are presented "as is" without any warranty, either express or implied. IDSA (and its officers, directors, members, employees, and agents) assume no responsibility for any loss, damage, or claim with respect to any liabilities, including direct, special, indirect, or consequential damages, incurred in connection with these guidelines or reliance on the information presented.

The guidelines represent the proprietary and copyrighted property of IDSA. All rights reserved. No part of these guidelines may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of IDSA. Permission is granted to physicians and health care providers solely to copy and use the guidelines in their professional practices and clinical decision making. No license or permission is granted to any person or entity, and prior written authorization by IDSA is required to sell, distribute, or modify the guidelines, or to make derivative works of or incorporate the guidelines into any product, including, but not limited to, clinical decision support software or any other software product. Except for the permission granted above, any person or entity desiring to use the guidelines in any way must contact IDSA for approval in accordance with the terms and conditions of third-party use, in particular any use of the guidelines in any software product.

Financial support. This work was supported by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. This work was funded in part by a cooperative agreement with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC; grant number 6 NU50CK000477-04-01). The CDC is an agency within the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). The contents of this guideline do not necessarily represent the policy of CDC or HHS and should not be considered an endorsement by the Federal Government.

Possible conflicts of interest. Evaluation of relationships as potential conflicts of interest is determined by a review process. The assessment of disclosed relationships for possible COIs is based on the relative weight of the financial relationship (ie, monetary amount) and the relevance of the relationship (ie, the degree to which an association might reasonably be interpreted by an independent observer as related to the topic or recommendation of consideration). The following panelists have research-related advisor/consultant roles for indicated companies: R.B. for Shionogi, Merck,

and Gilead Sciences; K.W.C. for Pardes Biosciences (concluded); E.D. for Gilead Sciences; D.V.G. for Gilead Sciences and Merck; A.K. for Shionogi; S.S. for Pfizer; P.T. for Merck and Shionogi. Importantly, these companies have developed COVID-19 treatment (but not prophylaxis) agents. No disclosures reported for all other authors including the chair and vice chair.

Additional information. More detailed information on the analysis and development of recommendations is available in the [Supplementary Material](#).

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. COVID Data Tracker, Variants and Genomic Surveillance. Available at: <https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#variant-summary>. Accessed 27 June 2024.
- U. S. Food and Drug Administration. Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) for pemivibart (Pemgarda) Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) review. Available at: <https://www.fda.gov/media/177333/download?attachment>. Accessed 27 June 2024.
- Evans RA, Dube S, Lu Y, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on immunocompromised populations during the Omicron era: insights from the observational population-based INFORM study. *Lancet Reg Health Eur* **2023**; 35:100747.
- Solera JT, Árbol BG, Mittal A, et al. Longitudinal outcomes of COVID-19 in solid organ transplant recipients from 2020 to 2023. *Am J Transplant* **2024**; 24:1303–16.
- Loo Y-M, Tamney PM, Arends RH, et al. The SARS-CoV-2 monoclonal antibody combination, AZD7442, is protective in nonhuman primates and has an extended half-life in humans. *Sci Transl Med* **2022**; 14:eabl8124.
- Rappazzo CG, Tse LV, Kaku C, et al. Broad and potent activity against SARS-like viruses by an engineered human monoclonal antibody. *Science* **2021**; 371:823–9.
- U. S. Food and Drug Administration. Evusheld Emergency Use Authorization. Available at: <https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/coronavirus-covid-19-update-fda-authorizes-new-long-acting-monoclonal-antibodies-pre-exposure>. Accessed 27 June 2024.
- Levin MJ, Ustianowski A, De Wit S, et al. Intramuscular AZD7442 (tixagevimab-cilgavimab) for prevention of COVID-19. *N Eng J Med* **2022**; 386:2188–200.
- Follmann D, O'Brien MP, Fintzi J, et al. Examining protective effects of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies after vaccination or monoclonal antibody administration. *Nat Commun* **2023**; 14:3605.
- Stadler E, Burgess MT, Schlub TE, et al. Monoclonal antibody levels and protection from COVID-19. *Nat Commun* **2023** 14:4545.
- U. S. Food and Drug Administration. Evusheld Emergency Use Authorization withdrawal. Available at: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-announces-evusheld-not-currently-authorized-emergency-use-us#:~:text=Based%20on%20this%20revision%2C%20Evusheld,SARS%2DCoV%2D2%20variants>. Accessed 27 June 2024.
- U. S. Food and Drug Administration. FDA guidance document on development and licensure of vaccines to prevent COVID-19. Available at: <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/development-and-licensure-vaccines-prevent-covid-19>. Accessed 25 July 2024.
- World Health Organization. WHO consultation on immunobridging. Available at: https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/blue-print/doran-fink_4_immunobridging_vrconsultation_6.12.2021.pdf. Accessed 25 July 2024.
- Bhimraj A, Morgan RL, Shumaker AH, et al. Infectious Diseases Society of America guidelines on the treatment and management of patients with COVID-19 (September 2022). *Clin Infect Dis* **2024**; 78:e250–349.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* **2008**; 336:924–6.
- Infectious Diseases Society of America. IDSA Handbook on Clinical Practice Guideline Development. Available at: <https://www.idsociety.org/practice-guideline/clinical-practice-guidelines-development-training-and-resources/>. Accessed 21 May 2021.
- Invivyd, Inc. A study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of VYD222 for prevention of COVID-19 (CANOPY). ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT06039449. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT06039449>. Accessed 27 June 2024.
- Ison MG, Weinstein DF, Dobryanska M, et al. Prevention of COVID-19 following a single intramuscular administration of adintrevimab: results from a phase 2/3 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial (EVADE). *Open Forum Infect Dis* **2023**; 10:ofad314.
- Invivyd announces antiviral activity of VYD222 (pemivibart) against SARS-CoV-2 KP.1.1 FLIRT & KP.3 variants. Available at: <https://investors.adagiotx.com/news-releases/news-release-details/invivyd-announces-antiviral-activity-vyd222-pemivibart-against>. Accessed 27 June 2024.