

## Special article

## 2024 SIAC guidelines on cardiorespiratory rehabilitation in pediatric patients with congenital heart disease



Paulo Valderrama,<sup>a,\*</sup> Romina Carugati,<sup>b</sup> Angela Sardella,<sup>c</sup> Sandra Flórez,<sup>d</sup> Isabela de Carlos Back,<sup>e</sup> Carlos Fernández,<sup>f,g</sup> Inés T. Abella,<sup>c</sup> Angelica Grullón,<sup>h</sup> Aida Luiza Ribeiro Turquetto,<sup>i</sup> Astrid Fajardo,<sup>j</sup> Pedro Chiesa,<sup>k</sup> Barbara Cifra,<sup>l</sup> Luisa Garcia-Cuenllas,<sup>m</sup> Michele Honicky,<sup>n</sup> Luiz Rodrigo Augustemak De Lima,<sup>o</sup> Yara María Franco Moreno,<sup>m</sup> María Eugenia Salinas,<sup>o</sup> Tales de Carvalho,<sup>p</sup> Liane Hülle Catani,<sup>q</sup> Manuel Huertas-Quiñones,<sup>r</sup> and Lucelli Yáñez-Gutiérrez<sup>s,t</sup>, SIAC WG from the chapter on CV prevention in children and adolescents of the pediatric cardiology council and CHD in adults<sup>◇</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Finis Terrae, Santiago, Chile

<sup>b</sup> División de Cardiología, Hospital de Niños Pedro de Elizalde, Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>c</sup> División de Cardiología, Hospital de Niños Dr. Ricardo Gutiérrez, Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>d</sup> Servicio de Cardiología Pediátrica, Clínica Medical Duarte, Cúcuta, Colombia

<sup>e</sup> Departamento de Pediatría, Universidad Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Brazil

<sup>f</sup> Centro Cardiovascular Pediátrico, Clínica Santa María, Santiago, Chile

<sup>g</sup> Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de los Andes, Santiago, Chile

<sup>h</sup> Departamento de Cardiología Pediátrica, Hospital Infantil Dr. Robert Reid Cabral, Santo Domingo, República Dominicana

<sup>i</sup> Unidade de Cirurgia Cardíaca Pediátrica, Instituto de Coração, Hospital de Clínicas da Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>j</sup> Departamento de Medicina Física y Rehabilitación, División de Rehabilitación Cardíaca, Fundación Cardio Infantil, LaCardio, Bogotá, Colombia

<sup>k</sup> Facultad de Medicina, Centro Hospitalario Pereira Rossell, Instituto de Cardiología Integral, Montevideo, Uruguay

<sup>l</sup> Department of Cardiology, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada

<sup>m</sup> Servicio de Pediatría, Hospital Álvaro Cunqueiro, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Vigo, Vigo, Pontevedra, Spain

<sup>n</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Nutrição, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Brazil

<sup>o</sup> Instituto de Educação Física e Desporto da Universidade Federal de Alagoas, Maceio, Brazil

<sup>p</sup> Servicio de Cardiología, Hospital Juan Noe Crevani, Arica, Chile

<sup>q</sup> Programa de Pós-graduação em Ciências do Movimento Humano, Universidade Estadual de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Brazil

<sup>r</sup> Clínica Ambulatoria de Medicina Esportiva para Crianças e Adolescentes, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>s</sup> Instituto de Cardiopatías Congénitas, Fundación Cardioinfantil-Instituto de Cardiología. Departamento de Pediatría, Universidad Nacional de Colombia y Universidad del Rosario, Bogotá, Colombia

<sup>t</sup> Servicio de Cardiopatías Congénitas, Hospital de Cardiología, Centro Médico Nacional Siglo XXI, Ciudad de México, Mexico

## Article history:

Received 9 February 2024

Accepted 23 February 2024

Available online 13 May 2024

## Keywords:

Cardiorespiratory rehabilitation

Congenital heart diseases

Pediatric patients

## ABSTRACT

The 2024 Interamerican Society of Cardiology (SIAC) guidelines on cardiorespiratory rehabilitation (CRR) in pediatric patients with congenital heart disease aim to gather and evaluate all relevant evidence available on the topic to unify criteria and promote the implementation of CRR programs in this population in Latin America and other parts of the world. Currently, there is no unified CRR model for the pediatric population. Consequently, our goal was to create these CRR guidelines adapted to the characteristics of congenital heart disease and the physiology of this population, as well as to the realities of Latin America. These guidelines are designed to serve as a support for health care workers involved in the care of this patient group who wish to implement a CRR program in their workplace. The guidelines include an easily reproducible program model that can be implemented in any center. The members of this Task Force were selected by the SIAC on behalf of health care workers dedicated to the care of pediatric patients with congenital heart disease. To draft the document, the selected experts performed a thorough review of the published evidence.

© 2024 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: paulovalderrazo@gmail.com (P. Valderrama).

✉ @drpaulovalderra

◇ The scientific institutions to which the signing authors belong are detailed in appendix 1.

## Guía SIAC 2024 sobre rehabilitación cardiorrespiratoria en pacientes pediátricos con cardiopatías congénitas

### RESUMEN

#### Palabras clave:

Rehabilitación cardiorrespiratoria  
Cardiopatías congénitas  
Pacientes pediátricos

La guía de la Sociedad Interamericana de Cardiología (SIAC) de 2024 sobre rehabilitación cardiorrespiratoria (RCR) en pacientes pediátricos con cardiopatías congénitas tiene como objetivo reunir y evaluar toda la evidencia relevante actualmente disponible con la finalidad de unificar criterios y alentar la implementación de programas de RCR para esta población en Latinoamérica y otras partes del mundo. Actualmente no existe un modelo unificado de RCR para la población pediátrica; por ello nuestro interés en desarrollar esta guía adaptada a las particularidades tanto de los pacientes con sus cardiopatías y fisiologías como a las realidades de nuestro continente. Esta guía pretende ser un apoyo para los profesionales de la salud involucrados en el cuidado de estos pacientes que deseen implementar un programa de RCR en su lugar de trabajo. La guía incluye un modelo de programa de RCR fácilmente reproducible para que esté al alcance de todos los centros. Los miembros del grupo de trabajo fueron seleccionados por la SIAC en representación de los profesionales de la salud dedicados a los cuidados de niños con cardiopatías congénitas. Los expertos seleccionados realizaron una revisión exhaustiva de la evidencia publicada para elaborar esta guía sobre RCR en pediatría.

© 2024 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights are reserved, including those for text y data mining, AI training, y similar technologies.

### Abbreviations

CHD: congenital heart disease  
CRR: cardiorespiratory rehabilitation  
CRRP: cardiorespiratory rehabilitation program  
FC: functional capacity  
HR: heart rate

### PREFACE

Our guidelines for cardiorespiratory rehabilitation (CRR) in pediatric patients with congenital heart disease (CHD) are intended as a roadmap for implementing a rehabilitation program tailored to the unique characteristics of this population. These include ongoing physiological development and growth, and the evolving nature of their condition, setting them apart from adults. To this end, the Inter-American Society of Cardiology (SIAC) established the Task Force on Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation in Pediatric Patients with Congenital Heart Disease within the Chapter on Cardiovascular Prevention in Children and Adolescents of the Pediatric Cardiology Council and Congenital Heart Disease in Adults of the SIAC. This working group includes representatives of various scientific and academic societies across the continent, as well as experts in several subspecialties, indicated in [appendix 1](#).

We have taken into account the sociodemographic characteristics of Latin America to ensure that the program can be implemented in multiple health care and home care settings, regardless of the available infrastructure.

**Table 2**

Levels of evidence

Level	Evidence
Level of evidence A	Data derived from multiple randomized clinical trials or meta-analyses
Level of evidence B	Data derived from a single randomized clinical trial or large randomized studies
Level of evidence C	Consensus of opinion of experts and/or small studies, retrospective studies, registries

The level of evidence and strength of the recommendation for each therapeutic option were assessed according to predefined scales ([table 1](#) and [2](#)).

### INTRODUCTION

CHD has a prevalence of 1% among live births. Approximately 70% of patients with this condition will require surgical interventions or cardiac catheterizations, while only 30% will need follow-up and medical treatment.<sup>1</sup> Physical activity during childhood is crucial for proper social, intellectual, and physical development, as well as for preventing noncommunicable chronic diseases, such as obesity, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular conditions.<sup>2</sup>

According to current recommendations of the World Health Organization, the pediatric population should engage in moderate to vigorous physical activity for 60 minutes per day, every day, and strength exercises 3 times per week to achieve significant metabolic changes.<sup>3</sup>

**Table 1**

Classes of recommendations

Class	Definition	Suggested wording to use
I	Evidence and/or general agreement that a given treatment or diagnostic procedure is beneficial, useful, effective	Recommended/Indicated
II	Conflicting evidence and/or divergence of opinion about the usefulness/efficacy of the given treatment or procedure	
Ila	Weight of evidence/opinion is in favor of usefulness/efficacy	Should be considered
Ilb	Usefulness/efficacy is less well established by evidence/opinion	May be recommended
III	Evidence or general agreement that the given treatment or procedure is not useful/effective, and in some cases may be harmful	Is not recommended

Most children with CHD show decreased functional capacity (FC) and are at a higher risk of mid-term morbidity and mortality.<sup>4</sup> This can be attributed to both central causes (reduced cardiac output due to myocardial dysfunction or chronotropic incompetence) and peripheral causes (skeletal muscle impairment,<sup>5</sup> inadequate tissue perfusion,<sup>6</sup> intrinsic metabolic defects causing inefficient energy use, early anaerobic metabolism leading to rapid lactate accumulation, and a higher proportion of type IIb muscle fibers).<sup>7</sup>

Moreover, pulmonary function and perfusion are disrupted, with a restrictive ventilatory pattern resulting from phrenic nerve injury, diaphragmatic plications, thoracosternotomies, and chest deformities. Respiratory muscle weakness, pulmonary hypoplasia due to compression, and intrapulmonary shunts also contribute to reduced FC and an exaggerated increase in ventilation rate per minute during physical exertion. This occurs independently of elevated carbon dioxide levels due to changes in the ventilation-perfusion ratio.<sup>8</sup>

Physical exercise and cardiorespiratory rehabilitation programs (CRRP) are useful for improving: *a*) the patient's FC; *b*) peak oxygen consumption (VO<sub>2</sub>); *c*) left ventricular ejection fraction; *d*) peripheral muscle pump efficiency; *e*) ventilatory pump efficiency; *f*) morbidity associated with complex CHD<sup>9</sup>; *g*) oxygen saturation (SaO<sub>2</sub>); *h*) self-esteem and functional independence; and *i*) overall quality of life (QoL). These benefits are achieved with no reported adverse effects<sup>10</sup> and the improvements are significant and sustained (6–9 months).<sup>11</sup>

CRRP should include aerobic and muscle strength exercises to enhance the patient's physical capacities and metabolic systems. For prepubescent children, the approach should be entertaining, involving games and challenges, to be effective and sustainable. Unlike adults, this population experiences less muscle fatigue due to greater use of the oxidative system and a higher proportion of slow-twitch muscle fibers (type I), with lower lactate accumulation, acidosis, and oxygen debt, and faster recovery following high-intensity exercise.<sup>12</sup> In addition, muscle strength exercises increase physical power and bone density, reduce the risk of injuries, and improve motor skills, sports performance, body composition, insulin sensitivity, the lipid profile, and cardiovascular function.<sup>13</sup>

## OBJECTIVES

The primary objective of this study was to design a muscular and metabolic CRRP for children and adolescents with CHD, taking into account the circumstances of our continent. Secondary goals include improving the FC, QoL, and self-esteem of these patients, while also reducing cardiovascular risk factors, morbidity, and mortality.

## CARDIORESPIRATORY AND MUSCLE REHABILITATION PROGRAMS

A multidisciplinary team is essential, comprising a pediatric cardiologist, pediatrician, dietitian, pediatric nutritionist, nurse, kinesiologist, physiotherapist, social worker, and psychologist. All members should have a thorough understanding of exercise physiology, CHDs, management and alleviation of these conditions, and pre- and postintervention pathophysiology, and be adept at recognizing signs and symptoms of alarm (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C). All rehabilitation programs involve 3 phases (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C) (table 3).<sup>14</sup>

**Table 3**  
Rehabilitation phase

Phase	Rehabilitation
Phase I	Hospitalized Initial postoperative Duration varies depending on severity Evaluate strength of respiratory muscles and peripheral skeletal muscles Exercises include kinetic breathing exercises, early mobilization, and low-load exercises
Phase II	At hospital discharge, with the patient in satisfactory hemodynamic status, adequately managed for pain, and in safe condition Do not delay the start of rehabilitation Ideally, perform an exercise test to determine the intensity of the training designed
Phase III	At completion of phase II and continuing indefinitely Main objective and challenge of cardiorespiratory rehabilitation, as the patient must independently maintain the healthy lifestyle changes acquired

**Table 4**  
Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Age between 6 and 21 years, with CHD, awaiting a heart transplant, or following heart transplantation	Unable to follow or maintain simple instructions to carry out assessment or CRR
HR decreased	Lack of motivation on the part of the patient or guardians
Stable clinical condition, with steady heart rhythm and hemodynamics, and no signs of decompensation or acute exacerbation	Acute inflammatory or infectious condition
Attending physician approves initiation of CRR	
Guardians provide informed consent and patient agrees to start and continue in the CRR program	Withdrawal of informed consent

CHD, congenital heart disease; CRR, cardiorespiratory rehabilitation; FC, functional capacity.

The target population includes individuals meeting the specified inclusion and exclusion criteria, evaluated by the rehabilitation team to initiate CRR (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C) (table 4).

## IMPLEMENTATION PHASES FOR CARDIORESPIRATORY REHABILITATION PROGRAMS IN CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS

CRRPs involve 3 essential steps: conducting a comprehensive initial assessment to stratify risk, setting safety limits to minimize adverse effects, and determining the positive impact of the program upon completion (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C) (figure 1).

### Step 1

Comprehensive medical history: underlying heart disease, surgical interventions and catheterizations, noncardiac comorbidities, medication, and signs and symptoms triggered by physical exercise (table 5).

<b>Step 1</b>	<b>Clinical history and physical examination</b>	Family history Personal surgical and/or hemodynamic history, and medical background Medication Familiarity and/or signs and/or symptoms with PA or PE Subjective FC
<b>Step 2</b>	<b>Risk stratification</b>	Low, moderate, and high
	a. Evaluation of 5 parameters while at rest	Ventricular function Pulmonary arterial pressure Aorta Arrhythmias Arterial saturation at rest and during exercise
	b. Evaluation during exercise	Objective FC Symptoms and/or arrhythmias and/or risk of ischemia and/or desaturation during PA/PE Correlate with RPE
<b>Step 3</b>	<b>Individualized PA/PE schedule according to FITT</b>	1. Respiratory physiotherapy 2. Muscle warm-up and strengthening exercises 3. Aerobic training 4. Cool-down and stretching
<b>Step 4</b>	<b>Reassessment/follow-up</b>	Advance or modify according to follow-up parameters

**Figure 1.** Central illustration. Steps for proper cardiorespiratory rehabilitation. Modified from Budts et al.<sup>15</sup> with permission. FC, functional capacity; FITT, frequency, intensity, time, and type; PA, physical activity; PE, physical exercise; RPE, rating of perceived exertion.

**Step 2**

The important tests for risk stratification include the following (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C):

- Resting ECG and 24-hour Holter monitoring for arrhythmias.
- Transthoracic echocardiography study.
- 6-minute walk test. This is a simple, accessible, low-cost, submaximal evaluation that is well tolerated and does not require complex equipment: measuring tape, 2 cones, a 30-meter track, a heart rate (HR) monitor, SaO<sub>2</sub>, and a standardized protocol. It is preferably administered in children less than 8 years of age, along with a subjective effort scale (RPE, rating of perceived exertion) for the initial assessment and subsequent monitoring.
- Conventional exercise testing or cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) with analysis of gases. These tests are used to evaluate the cardiovascular response to physical activity in CHD patients. Conventional exercise tests monitor blood pressure, HR, and SaO<sub>2</sub> during exertion and can detect arrhythmias and other ECG abnormalities. CPET, however, is the reference technique, delivering data on peak and maximum VO<sub>2</sub>, respiratory efficiency, respiratory reserve, anaerobic threshold, oxygen pulse, and ventilation-perfusion ratio, among numerous other parameters. The drawbacks of this test include its high cost and limited availability. CPET is not recommended for prescribing

exercise regimens in children younger than 8 years due to inadequate quality (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).<sup>15</sup>

Risk stratification should be carried out (figure 2). Patients are assigned to 1 of the 3 training intensity levels described by Budts et al.,<sup>15</sup> and subsequently incorporated into the CRRP (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C) (figure 3 and figure 4).

Use of the FORCE<sup>21</sup> tool is recommended, an acronym for the following analysis: F, ventricular function; O, O<sub>2</sub> saturation; R, heart rhythm; C, complexity of the coronary anatomy, E, elevated pressure or elevated ventricular volume.

Currently, hybrid programs are being implemented: initially, patients and guardians undergo training and education in the hospital, and thereafter, they continue activities at home. This approach fosters acceptance, adherence, and favorable results.<sup>22</sup> Patients with values in red must undergo fully monitored hospital-based CRR due to the associated risk, while those at low to moderate risk may transition to home-based CRR, as further explained below (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).

**Step 3**

At the start, a meeting will be held with the patient and guardians to explain the assessment and training schedule. A local informed consent form detailing potential adverse effects during CRR is then signed. A record of the planned activities should be maintained for monitoring and adjustment purposes.

**Programming physical activity**

Use of the FITT acronym (frequency, intensity, time, and type of exercise) is recommended for designing the exercise regimen (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C) (table 6).<sup>23</sup>

The sessions will include groups of 2 to 6 children, 2 to 3 times per week for 12 weeks, with each session lasting 45 to 60 minutes, all under the supervision of a professional. Groups will be organized based on pubertal development and familiarity with the exercises performed, rather than age, sex, or body size (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).

**Table 5**  
Main features of individualized exercise prescription

Physical examination	Laboratory biometric evaluation*
Exercise testing	Functional capacity assessment
Musculoskeletal evaluation	Strength Balance Flexibility Coordination
Quality of life assessment	Questionnaires (PedsQL, ConQol)
Recommendations for healthy lifestyle	Patient- and family-centered Regarding physical activity and self-efficacy questionnaires

\* Monitoring cardiovascular risk parameters (lipid profile, glucose level, insulin, etc).

	NORMAL	MILD	MODERATE	SEVERE
Ventricular function (LVEF)	≥ 55%	55%-45%	45%-30%	< 30%
PAP TR	≤ 36 mmHg < 2.8 m/s	≤ 36 mmHg < 2.8 m/s	> 36 mmHg and no signs of RV dysfunction 2.8 m/s and no RV dysfunction	> 36 mmHg with signs of RV dysfunction > 2.8 m/s with RV dysfunction
Aortic dilation	≤ 30 mm or Z score < 2	< 35 mm or Z score at 2-2.5	35-45 mm or Z score at 2.5-3.5	45-50 mm, or Z score > 3.5 (> 50 mm or increase > 0.5 mm/y are indications for surgery)
Arrhythmias	No arrhythmias or isolated arrhythmias < 500/24 h		Frequent/double beats/AF/controlled flutter that does not worsen with exertion.	AF/uncontrolled flutter that worsens with exertion, sustained ventricular arrhythmia or sustained VT
Saturation	100%-95%	100%-95%	< 90%	< 90%
Ventricular pressure overload	No significant gradient in RVOT or LVOT	< 3 m/s for RVOT or LVOT AoCo < 20 mmHg between UL and LL	> 4 m/s in RVOT or LVOT AoCo > 20 mmHg between UL and LL	> 4 m/s in RVOT or LVOT AoCo > 20 mmHg between UL and LL
Ventricular volume overload	No dilation or insufficiency	Mild RV or LV dilation with severe insufficiency, but preserved systolic function	Moderate or severe dilation with RV or LV dysfunction, or univentricular physiology, or systemic RV	Moderate or severe dilation with RV or LV dysfunction, or univentricular physiology, or systemic RV
Ergometry CPET predicted VO <sub>2</sub> % % predicted maximum HR	≥ 12 MET + 80% 45 mL/kg/min > 91%	≥ 12 MET 79%-75% 45 ± 3 mL/kg/min > 91%	11 MET 74%-60% 38.5-28 mL/kg/min 89%-70%	≤ 6 MET < 60% ≤ 21 mL/kg/min < 69%

**Figure 2.** Cardiovascular factors to assess for risk stratification. AoCo, aortic coarctation; AF, atrial fibrillation; CPET, cardiopulmonary exercise testing; HR, heart rate; LL, lower limbs; LV, left ventricle; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVOT, left ventricular outflow tract; MET, metabolic equivalent of task; PAP, pulmonary arterial pressure; RV, right ventricle; RVOT, right ventricular outflow tract; TR, tricuspid regurgitation; UL, upper limbs; VT, ventricular tachycardia; VO<sub>2</sub>, oxygen consumption.

Complexity	VO <sub>2</sub> (mL/kg/min)	predicted VO <sub>2</sub> %
Mild (ASD, VSD, AoCo, PS)	43-37	95-80
Moderate (AoS, ToF, TGA, Ebstein anomaly)	36-31	79-70
Severe (ccTGA, univentricular heart)	30-25	69-55

**Figure 3.** Expected aerobic capacity in children and adolescents with CHD up to 16 years old. Compiled using data from Amedro et al.<sup>16</sup> and Ten Harkel et al.<sup>17</sup> ASD, atrial septal defect; AoCo, aortic coarctation; AoS, aortic stenosis; ccTGA, congenitally corrected transposition of great arteries; PS, pulmonary stenosis; TGA, transposition of great arteries; ToF, tetralogy of Fallot; VO<sub>2</sub>, oxygen consumption; VSD, ventricular septal defect.

Complexity	VO <sub>2</sub> (mL/kg/min)	predicted VO <sub>2</sub> %
Mild (ASD, VSD, AoCo, PS)	42-28	85-75
Moderate (AoS, ToF, TGA, Ebstein anomaly)	35-21	74-65
Severe (ccTGA, univentricular heart)	25-18	64-53

**Figure 4.** Expected aerobic capacity in children with CHD older than 17 years and adults. Compiled using data from Abella et al.,<sup>18</sup> Rhodes et al.,<sup>19</sup> and Kempny et al.<sup>20</sup> AoCo, aortic coarctation; AoS, aortic stenosis; ASD, atrial septal defect; ccTGA, congenitally corrected transposition of great arteries; PS, pulmonary stenosis; TGA, transposition of great arteries; ToF, tetralogy of Fallot; VO<sub>2</sub>, oxygen consumption; VSD, ventricular septal defect.

A kinesiologist, physical education specialist, or sports medicine physician will conduct the functional assessment of strength, flexibility, and coordination. All exercises should be supervised to ensure proper technique and prevent injuries (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).

Each CRR session consists of 4 stages: a) respiratory physiotherapy; b) muscle warm-up and strengthening exercises; c) aerobic training; and d) cooling down and stretching (table 7).

**Table 6**

Exercise prescription for aerobic and resistance training

Prescription	Aerobic	Resistance
Frequency (per week)	5-7 d	3 d
Intensity (load)	Moderate	Light to moderate
Time (min)	60	30
Type of exercise	Jogging Jump rope Scissor jumps Jump squats Cycling Swimming	Body weight: push-ups. Squats. Static and dynamic plank

FITT, frequency, intensity, time, and type.

## Respiratory physiotherapy

Inspiratory muscle strength should be assessed<sup>24</sup> by measuring the maximum inspiratory pressure<sup>25</sup> or maximum nasal inspiratory pressure (SNIP, sniff nasal inspiratory pressure)<sup>26</sup> (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C) (table 8). There is a good correlation between these 2 parameters, but the correlation decreases in the presence of airway obstruction.<sup>26</sup>



**Table 7**  
Training prescription for a cardiorespiratory rehabilitation session

Stages	Training prescription
Respiratory physiotherapy (10 min; 5 times/wk)	At least 2 sets of 30 repetitions/d, at least 5 times/wk At 30%-60% of the MIP obtained Always use a nasal clip Maintain a respiratory rate of 12 to 16 breaths per minute (avoid hyperventilation) and with a diaphragmatic breathing pattern
Muscle warm-up and strengthening exercises (20 min; 3/wk)	Initial exercises with body weight: Squats, lunges, jumps to work lower limbs Arm push-ups on wall or floor, triceps push-ups to work upper limbs Static, dynamic, and side planks or Swiss ball planks to work the core Adjust load using the RPE or 1-RM test. Start with low intensity and gradually increase to the recommended level Increase the number of sets according to capacity (3 sets of 8 to 12 repetitions) Resting for more than 60 s between sets allows BP and HR to return to resting values Avoid performing Valsalva maneuvers during exertion to prevent a CO decrease and syncope
Aerobic training (20 min 3 times/wk)	Start training at low intensity and progress to moderate and vigorous according to tolerance, usually within 8 to 10 wks after starting training MICT ( $\pm$ 30 min): 5 min warm-up, 20 min at the target HR, and 5 min cool-down HIIT ( $\pm$ 20 min): 5 min warm-up, 15 min of high intensity (alternating 1 min at high intensity with 1 min of low-intensity rest), and 5 min cool-down
Cool-down and stretching (5-10 min)	Low intensity exercises that enable gradual recovery of BP and HR

HR, heart rate; CO, cardiac output; HIIT, high-intensity interval training; MICT, moderate-intensity continuous training; BP, blood pressure; MIP, maximum inspiratory pressure; 1-RM, 1-repetition maximum; RPE, rating of perceived exertion.

**Table 8**  
Methods for assessing inspiratory muscle strength

Pressure	Measurement of inspiratory muscle strength
Maximum inspiratory pressure (MIP)	From age 6 y, while seated and using a nasal clip, following a maximal expiration Perform at least 3 measurements with < 10% difference between them Accept the highest value obtained
Maximum nasal inspiratory pressure (SNIP, sniff nasal inspiratory pressure)	Measure inspiratory pressure in one nostril during a deep, rapid inhalation. Perform 10 short, quick sniff maneuvers, with the mouth closed, following quiet breaths at residual functional capacity, with a 30-sec interval between each maneuver Accept the maximum value obtained

The inspiratory muscles should be trained using inspiratory valves, such as the Threshold IMT (Philips Respiroics, United States) or POWERbreathe (POWERbreathe Intl Ltd, United Kingdom). To work on muscle strength, pressures should be increased with fewer repetitions per session. These exercises improve maximum inspiratory pressure, vital capacity and total lung capacity, fatigue resistance, and peak  $\text{VO}_2$  in heart failure patients.<sup>27</sup> In addition, they enhance diaphragm thickness,<sup>28</sup> promote greater systemic venous return during inspiration,<sup>29</sup> and improve ventilatory pump function (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).<sup>30</sup> Furthermore, when combined with abdominal or abdominal plus diaphragmatic breathing, they help to reduce dyspnea and enhance HR on exertion, and improve QoL.<sup>31</sup>

### Muscle warm-up and strengthening exercises

It is important to train the major muscle groups, such as the deltoids, biceps, triceps, core muscles, quadriceps, hamstrings, and calf muscles. These exercises improve and enhance the peripheral muscle pump, leading to increased heart rate due to greater venous return and cardiac output, both at rest and during exercise (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).

Training for prepubescent patients focuses on promoting greater agility, balance, coordination, flexibility, and strength (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).<sup>15,25</sup>

### Aerobic training

Aerobic training can be done on a treadmill, stationary bicycle, rowing machine, or arm bicycle. Moderate-intensity continuous training (MICT) and high-intensity interval training (HIIT) are both effective for improving FC.<sup>32</sup> MICT is preferable for older patients with pulmonary or cardiac limitations, as it is better tolerated, although it demands more time. HIIT is more appealing to prepubescent patients and induces greater metabolic stress compared with MICT. It also requires better cardiorespiratory adaptation, because peak effort is rapidly reached. Patients with CHD can undertake HIIT, but only after a period of low- to moderate-intensity training to gradually build tolerance.<sup>33</sup> Risk stratification is particularly important for these high-intensity activities. In postpubescent individuals, programs can be structured similarly to those for adults, focusing on aerobic and muscle strength training (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).

Exercise intensity can be adjusted through: *a*) HR reserve; *b*) maximum HR, determined by conventional exercise testing or estimated using Tanaka's ( $208 - [0.7 \times \text{age}]$ ) or Karvonen's ( $220 - \text{age}$ ) formula; *c*) maximum or peak  $\text{VO}_2$ ; *d*) subjective effort scale; and *e*) 1-repetition maximum test (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C) (table 9).

The subjective effort scale,  $\text{SaO}_2$ , blood pressure, and HR should be monitored before, during, and after exercise, while always being attentive to signs and symptoms of alarm (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).

**Table 9**  
Exercise intensity based on various prescription methods

Intensity	Aerobic training target (%)	Subjective aerobic training	Ventilatory thresholds for CPET	Resistance training (%)
Low (mild)	20–39 RHR 40–54 HRmax 20–39 VO <sub>2</sub> max/peak	RPE: 2–3		30–49 1-RM
Moderate	40–59 RHR 55–69 HRmax 40–59 VO <sub>2</sub> max/peak	RPE: 4–5	Below VT <sub>1</sub>	50–69 1-RM
Vigorous	60–84 RHR 70–89 HRmax 60–84 VO <sub>2</sub> max/peak	RPE: 6–7	Between VT <sub>1</sub> and VT <sub>2</sub>	70–79 1-RM
High (intense)	≥ 85 RHR ≥ 90 HRmax ≥ 85 VO <sub>2</sub> max/peak	RPE: ≥ 8	Above VT <sub>2</sub>	≥ 80 1-RM

1-RM, 1-repetition maximum; HRmax, maximum heart rate; CPET, cardiopulmonary exercise test; RHR, resting heart rate; RPE, rating of perceived exertion – EPInfant-Ex (1–10); VO<sub>2</sub>max/peak, maximum or peak oxygen consumption; VT<sub>1</sub>, ventilatory threshold 1; VT<sub>2</sub>, ventilatory threshold 2.  
Table compiled using data from Tran et al.<sup>33</sup>

### Cooling down and stretching

These are low-intensity exercises that should last from 5 to 10 minutes. Omitting the cooling down stage could result in a temporary decrease in venous return and coronary flow when HR and myocardial oxygen consumption are still high, leading to hypotension, angina, ST-segment changes, and ventricular arrhythmias.<sup>34</sup>

### Step 4

Periodically (weekly or monthly) perform a reassessment of the type of training, the technique, and the workload, making adjustments based on any changes (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).

Upon completion of CRRP, follow-up is conducted to evaluate whether the benefits achieved are sustained. It is recommended to monitor patients at 3, 6, and 12 months following completion (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).

### HOME-BASED REHABILITATION AND TELEREHABILITATION

Remote cardiorespiratory rehabilitation (RCRR) for CHD patients is feasible, safe and effective, providing similar benefits to those described (class IIb recommendation, level of evidence B).<sup>35</sup> RCRR should be supervised in person by a family member and overseen by a remote professional.

The same 4 steps outlined in hospital-based CRR (figure 1) should be used. However, only individuals classified with low or moderate risk are able to undertake this approach. The initial individual assessment and prescription should be conducted in person to teach the proper exercise technique and instruct patients and guardians on signs and symptoms of alarm; thereafter, rehabilitation can be done remotely (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).<sup>36,37</sup>

For RCRR, it is advisable to provide a printed or digital manual containing recommendations, care instructions, and details of the activities, in order to determine compliance and adjustments (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).<sup>14</sup> To boost adherence and compliance, weekly activity manuals and contact (via WhatsApp or e-mail) are recommended, as well as alternating synchronous and asynchronous sessions using video tutorials (class I recommendation, evidence level B).<sup>36</sup> Weekly telephone contact increases adherence by addressing concerns, encouraging activities, and monitoring QoL<sup>36</sup> (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence B). An in-person or virtual motivational interview is

recommended for patients and their families to inform and educate on healthy lifestyle habits and HR improvements (class I recommendation, evidence level B).<sup>37</sup> RCRR fosters adherence and self-care in an every day, family setting at no cost. It is particularly useful in primary care, where there may be limitations, such as work and school obligations and geographic constraints (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).

The number of weekly sessions and their duration, length of the program, and interval between assessments are similar to those described for CRR. The FITT acronym is maintained for aerobic and resistance exercises. The exercises can be conducted using a treadmill or stationary bicycle, and by engaging in recreational activities that use body weight or household items for added weight (class I recommendation, evidence level B).<sup>38</sup>

### Role of the physiotherapist

A physiotherapist should be present throughout all phases of the CRRP. Their involvement starts in the immediate postoperative period, focusing on weaning patients off mechanical ventilation, providing respiratory physiotherapy, initiating early mobilization, and introducing light exercise, based on the patient's clinical and hemodynamic status. The objective is to prevent respiratory and thromboembolic complications, reduce the duration of mechanical ventilation, intensive care stay and hospitalization, ensure patients are discharged in the best physical condition, and provide educational guidance for prompt CRR initiation (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).<sup>39</sup>

After the initial physical evaluation, the physiotherapist will indicate the training program and identify any neuromuscular deficits that could hinder achievement of goals or aerobic training (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).

### Role of the nutritionist and healthy habits

In children with CHD, the prevalence of overweight ranges from 9.5% to 31.5% and that of obesity from 9.5% to 26%.<sup>40</sup> Therefore, it is essential to encourage a healthy diet to prevent poor nutrition due to excess, decrease blood pressure, improve peak VO<sub>2</sub>, lengthen endurance time,<sup>41</sup> and avert early cardiovascular disease. Evaluation of nutritional status (table 10) should be continuous, personalized, and involve the family (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).

Active and passive exposure to tobacco and electronic cigarettes, as well as alcohol consumption, should be avoided (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).<sup>42</sup>

**Table 10**  
Evaluation of nutritional status

Evaluation of nutritional status
<b>Anthropometry</b> Weight and height for age and sex, body mass index for age and sex, and waist circumference. Cutoff point for diagnosing malnutrition due to excess: BMI/age: overweight, > +1 and < +2 SD; obesity, > +2SD Waist circumference: central adiposity excess ≥ 90 <sup>th</sup> percentile or waist/height ratio > 0.5
<b>Healthy eating</b> Food intake routines (food frequency questionnaire and 24-h dietary recall)
<b>Laboratory</b> Complete blood count, biochemical and lipid profile, and glucose, insulin, TSH, free T4, and vitamin D levels

SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index.

**Role of the psychologist**

Psychological interventions alleviate distress and improve blood pressure and cholesterol levels.<sup>43</sup> Effective communication with health care staff is a key component in CRRPs. An approach to mental health care that promotes patient and family acceptance and commitment is important to motivate healthy behavior patterns (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).<sup>10</sup>

QoL questionnaires, such as PedsQL<sup>44</sup> or ConQol,<sup>45</sup> should be administered at the beginning and end of CRRP (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence B; table 11).

**Necessary, minimum, and ideal equipment**

The necessary equipment needed will depend on the type of patient, local infrastructure, and whether the approach is in-person or remote.

**Table 11**  
Recommendations for validated questionnaires


Evaluation	Questionnaire
Quality of life assessment	Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory (PedsQL), validated Spanish version, 4.0 <sup>44</sup> Congenital Quality of Life (ConQol), validated Spanish version <sup>45</sup> (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence B)
Assessment of attitude toward an active lifestyle	The Children's Self-perceptions for Adequacy in and Predislection for Physical Activity (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C) <sup>46</sup>
Level of physical activity	Physical Activity Questionnaire for Children (PAQ-C). Versions available in Spanish and Brazilian Portuguese (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C) <sup>47</sup>

**Professional team**

The optimal framework involves a multidisciplinary team. However, at the very least, a physician is required for risk assessment, together with a qualified professional for prescribing and conducting training.

**Physical setting**

The setting can be a closed, climate-controlled environment or an open space, such as a plaza or sports field.<sup>48</sup> Closed environments should have an area of at least 24 m<sup>2</sup>, be well-ventilated and illuminated, with a ceiling height of > 2.5 m, a temperature between 22 °C and 25 °C, and nonslip flooring (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).<sup>48</sup>



**Figure 5.** Subjective effort scale, EPInfant-Ex. PE, physical exercise; HR, heart rate. Reproduced with permission from Rodríguez-Núñez.<sup>50</sup>



## Equipment

In a closed environment, the following should be available: *a*) a treadmill with front and side support, a safety lock, and adjustable speed and incline, or a cycle ergometer with cadence or speed readings and power in watts<sup>10,49</sup>; *b*) suspended ropes or bands, dumbbells, weights, or elastic bands with various resistance levels for muscle strengthening<sup>48</sup>; and *c*) additional material, such as balls, trampolines, and recreational items (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).

## Surveillance

At a basic level, the following items should be available: *a*) a stethoscope, digital oximeter, sphygmomanometer, and anthropometry equipment<sup>48</sup>; *b*) subjective effort scale, such as EPInfant-Ex<sup>50</sup> (figure 5), OMNI, or Borg (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C); *c*) continuous ECG and saturation monitoring for patients at high risk<sup>48</sup>; *d*) smart watch for RCRR (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C); and *e*) platform for communication between the attending center and patients and guardians for RCRR. The platform should be user-friendly, enabling video calls to answer exercise-related questions, oversee proper execution, and provide periodic encouragement (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence B).<sup>48,49</sup>

## Safety

Given that any patient can experience a serious adverse event, it is essential to have an emergency protocol in place. Both the guardians and health care team should be trained in basic and advanced cardiopulmonary resuscitation and use of an automated external defibrillator with a full crash cart and supply of supplemental oxygen therapy (recommendation class IIa, level of evidence C).<sup>48</sup>

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

CRR for children and adolescents with CHD is still largely unexplored and underused, yet it is an absolutely essential resource, allowing these patients and their families to reach their full potential in life and improving integration into education, higher learning, and society in general. Multidisciplinary teamwork and motivation within and among our countries should be the focus for ensuring proper, effective pediatric CRR.

## FUNDING

None.

## STATEMENT ON THE USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

No artificial intelligence tools were used in the preparation of this article.

## AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors, members of the working group, contributed equally and have reviewed and approved the final version of the article.

## CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

## APPENDIX A. MEMBERS OF THE WORKING GROUP ON CARDIORESPIRATORY REHABILITATION IN PEDIATRIC PATIENTS WITH CONGENITAL HEART DISEASES FROM THE CHAPTER ON CARDIOVASCULAR PREVENTION IN CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS OF THE PEDIATRIC CARDIOLOGY COUNCIL AND CONGENITAL HEART DISEASES IN ADULTS OF THE INTERAMERICAN SOCIETY OF CARDIOLOGY AND SCIENTIFIC ENTITIES TO WHICH THEY BELONG

*Chile:* Sociedad Chilena de Cardiología (SOCHICAR); Sociedad Chilena de Pediatría (SOCHIPE); Paulo Valderrama Erazo, Carlos Fernández, María Eugenia Salinas Cisternas.

*Argentina:* Sociedad Argentina de Cardiología (SAC); Sociedad de Cardiología Buenos Aires (SOCABA): Romina Carugati, Angela Sardella, Inés Teresa Abella.

*Colombia:* Sociedad Colombiana de Cardiología y Cirugía Cardiovascular (SCCCC): Sandra Flórez Muñoz, Manuel Huertas-Quiñones. Asociación Colombiana de Medicina Física y Rehabilitación (ACMF); Asociación Colombiana de Medicina Interna (ACMI): Astrid Fajardo.

*Brazil:* Sociedad Brasileña de Cardiología (SBC); Sociedad Brasileña de Pediatría (SBP): Isabela de Carlos Back, Aida Luiza Ribeiro Turquetto, Michele Honicky, Luiz Rodrigo Augustemak de Lima, Yara María Franco Moreno, Tales de Carvalho, Liane Hulle Catani.

*Dominican Republic:* Sociedad Dominicana de Cardiología (SDC): Angelica Grullón.

*Uruguay:* Sociedad Uruguaya de Pediatría (SUP); Sociedad Uruguaya de Cardiología (SUC): Pedro Chiesa Corrados.

*Spain:* Sociedad Española de Cardiología Pediátrica y Cardiopatías Congénitas (SEPCPC); Sociedad Española de Cardiología (SEC); Asociación Española de Pediatría (AEP): Luisa García-Cuenllas Álvarez.

*Mexico:* Asociación Nacional de Cardiólogos de México (ANCAM): Lucelli Yáñez-Gutiérrez.

## REFERENCES

- van der Linde D, Konings EE, Slager MA, et al. Birth prevalence of congenital heart disease worldwide: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2011;58:2241–2247.
- Dimitri P, Joshi K, Jones N; Moving Medicine for Children Working Group. Moving more: physical activity and its positive effects on long term conditions in children and young people. *Arch Dis Child.* 2020;105:1035–1040.
- Takken T, Giardini A, Reybrouck T, et al. Recommendations for physical activity, recreation sport, and exercise training in paediatric patients with congenital heart disease: a report from the Exercise, Basic & Translational Research Section of the European Association of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation, the European Congenital Heart and Lung Exercise Group, and the Association for European Paediatric Cardiology. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* 2012;19:1034–1065.
- Fernandes SM, Alexander ME, Graham DA, et al. Exercise testing identifies patients at increased risk for morbidity and mortality following Fontan surgery. *Congenit Heart Dis.* 2011;6:294–203.
- Clark A, Poole-Wilson P, Coats A. Exercise limitation in chronic heart failure: central role of the periphery. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1996;28:1092–1102.
- Duscha BD, Kraus WE, Keteyian SJ, et al. Capillary density of skeletal muscle: a contributing mechanism for exercise intolerance in class II-III chronic heart failure independent of other peripheral alterations. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1999;33:1956–1963.
- Mancini DM, Coyle E, Coggan A, et al. Contribution of intrinsic skeletal muscle changes to 31P NMR skeletal muscle metabolic abnormalities in patients with chronic heart failure. *Circulation.* 1989;80:1338–1346.
- Buller NP, Poole-Wilson PA. Mechanism of the increased ventilatory response to exercise in patients with chronic heart failure. *Br Heart J.* 1990;63:281–283.
- Rhodes J, Curran TJ, Camil L, et al. Impact of cardiac rehabilitation on the exercise function of children with serious congenital heart disease. *Pediatrics.* 2005;116:1339–1345.

10. Tikkanen AU, Oyaga AR, Riaño OA, et al. Paediatric cardiac rehabilitation in congenital heart disease: a systematic review. *Cardiol Young*. 2012;22:241–250.
11. Rhodes J, Curran TJ, Camil L, et al. Sustained effects of cardiac rehabilitation in children with serious congenital heart disease. *Pediatrics*. 2006;118:e586–e593.
12. Birat A, Bourdier P, Pignonier E, et al. Metabolic and Fatigue Profiles Are Comparable Between Prepubertal Children and Well-trained Adult Endurance Athletes. *Front Physiol*. 2018;9:387.
13. Stricker PR, Faigenbaum AD, McCambridge TM. Council on Sports Medicine and Fitness. Resistance Training for Children and Adolescents. *Pediatrics*. 2020;145:e20201011.
14. Duppen N, Takken T, Hopman MT, et al. Systematic review of the effects of physical exercise training programmes in children and young adults with congenital heart disease. *Int J Cardiol*. 2013;168:1779–1787.
15. Budts W, Pieleas GE, Roos-Hesselink JW, et al. Recommendations for participation in competitive sport in adolescent and adult athletes with Congenital Heart Disease (CHD): position statement of the Sports Cardiology & Exercise Section of the European Association of Preventive Cardiology (EAPC), the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Working Group on Adult Congenital Heart Disease and the Sports Cardiology, Physical Activity and Prevention Working Group of the Association for European Paediatric and Congenital Cardiology (AEPCC). *Eur Heart J*. 2020;41:4191–4199.
16. Amedro P, Gavotto A, Guillaumont S, et al. Cardiopulmonary fitness in children with congenital heart diseases versus healthy children. *Heart*. 2018;104:1026–1036.
17. Ten Harkel AD, Takken T, Van Osch-Gevers M, Helbing WA. Normal values for cardiopulmonary exercise testing in children. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil*. 2011;18:48–54.
18. Abella I, Tocci A, Morós C, Grippo M. Prueba de ejercicio cardiopulmonar: valores de referencia en pacientes adolescentes y adultos con cardiopatías congénitas. *Rev Argent Cardiol*. 2020;88:98–103.
19. Rhodes J, Alexander M, Opatowsky A. *Exercise physiology for the pediatric and congenital cardiologist*. Berna: Springer Nature; 2019.
20. Kempny A, Dimopoulos K, Uebing A, et al. Reference values for exercise limitations among adults with congenital heart disease. Relation to activities of daily life—single centre experience and review of published data. *Eur Heart J*. 2012;33:1386–1396.
21. Gauthier N, Reynolds L, Curran T, et al. FORCE Risk Stratification Tool for Pediatric Cardiac Rehabilitation and Fitness Programs. *Pediatr Cardiol*. 2023;44:1302–1310.
22. Amedro P, Gavotto A, Legendre A, et al. Impact of a centre and home-based cardiac rehabilitation program on the quality of life of teenagers and young adults with congenital heart disease: The QUALI-REHAB study rationale, design and methods. *Int J Cardiol*. 2019;283:112–118.
23. Caterini JE, Campisi ES, Cifra B. Physical Activity Promotion in Pediatric Congenital Heart Disease: Are We Running Late? *Can J Cardiol*. 2020;36:1406–1416.
24. Caruso P, Albuquerque AL, Santana PV, et al. Diagnostic methods to assess inspiratory and expiratory muscle strength. *J Bras Pneumol*. 2015;41:110–123.
25. Hulzebos E, Takken T, Reijneveld EA, et al. Reference Values for Respiratory Muscle Strength in Children and Adolescents. *Respiration*. 2018;95:235–243.
26. Uldry C, Fitting JW. Maximal values of sniff nasal inspiratory pressure in healthy subjects. *Thorax*. 1995;50:371–375.
27. Plentz RD, Sbruzzi G, Ribeiro RA, et al. Inspiratory muscle training in patients with heart failure: meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Arq Bras Cardiol*. 2012;99:762–771.
28. Enright SJ, Unnithan VB, Heward C, et al. Effect of high-intensity inspiratory muscle training on lung volumes, diaphragm thickness, and exercise capacity in subjects who are healthy. *Phys Ther*. 2006;86:345–354.
29. Hjortdal VE, Emmertsen K, Stenbøg E, et al. Effects of exercise and respiration on blood flow in total cavopulmonary connection: a real-time magnetic resonance flow study. *Circulation*. 2003;108:1227–1231.
30. Shafer KM, Garcia JA, Babb TG, et al. The importance of the muscle and ventilatory blood pumps during exercise in patients without a subpulmonary ventricle (Fontan operation). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;60:2115–2121.
31. Yamaguti WP, Claudino RC, Neto AP, et al. Diaphragmatic breathing training program improves abdominal motion during natural breathing in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2012;93:571–577.
32. Amir NH, Dorobantu DM, Wadey CA, et al. Exercise training in paediatric congenital heart disease: fit for purpose? *Arch Dis Child*. 2022;107:525–534.
33. Tran D, Maiorana A, Ayer J, et al. Recommendations for exercise in adolescents and adults with congenital heart disease. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*. 2020;63:350–366.
34. Dimsdale JE, Hartley LH, Guiney T, et al. Plasma catecholamines and exercise. *JAMA*. 1984;251:630–632.
35. Balady GJ, Williams MA, Ades PA, et al. Core components of cardiac rehabilitation/secondary prevention programs: 2007 update: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Exercise, Cardiac Rehabilitation, and Prevention Committee, the Council on Clinical Cardiology; the Councils on Cardiovascular Nursing, Epidemiology and Prevention, and Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism; and the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation. *Circulation*. 2007;115:2675–2682.
36. Meyer M, Brudy L, García-Cuénllas L, et al. Current state of home-based exercise interventions in patients with congenital heart disease: a systematic review. *Heart*. 2020;106:333–341.
37. Longmuir PE, Tyrrell PN, Corey M, et al. Home-based rehabilitation enhances daily physical activity and motor skill in children who have undergone the Fontan procedure. *Pediatr Cardiol*. 2013;34:1130–1151.
38. Stieber NA, Gilmour S, Morra A, et al. Feasibility of improving the motor development of toddlers with congenital heart defects using a home-based intervention. *Pediatr Cardiol*. 2012;33:521–542.
39. Pelliccia A, Sharma S, Gati S, et al. 2020 ESC Guidelines on sports cardiology and exercise in patients with cardiovascular disease. *Eur Heart J*. 2021;42:17–96.
40. Willinger L, Brudy L, Meyer M, et al. Overweight and Obesity in Patients with Congenital Heart Disease: A Systematic Review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18:9931.
41. Balfour IC, Drimmer AM, Nouri S, et al. Pediatric cardiac rehabilitation. *Am J Dis Child*. 1991;145:627–630.
42. The WHO cross-national study of health behavior in school-aged children from 35 countries: findings from 2001–2002. *J Sch Health*. 2004;74:204–206.
43. Linden W, Stossel C, Maurice J. Psychosocial interventions for patients with coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis. *Arch Intern Med*. 1996;156:745–752.
44. González-Gil T, Mendoza-Soto A, Alonso-Lloret F, et al. The Spanish version of the health-related quality of life questionnaire for children and adolescents with heart disease (PedsQL™). *Rev Esp Cardiol*. 2012;65:249–257.
45. Toledo M, Alarcón A, Bustos L, et al. Validación del cuestionario de calidad de vida Con-Qol, en niños chilenos portadores de cardiopatías congénitas. *Rev Med Chil*. 2012;140:1548–1553.
46. Grant-Beuttler M, Jennings J, McCauley C, et al. Development of an Electronic Version of The Children's Self-Perceptions of Adequacy in and Predisposition for Physical Activity (CSAPPA) Scale. *Pediatr Exerc Sci*. 2017;29:153–160.
47. Benítez-Porres J, Alvero-Cruz JR, Sardinha LB, et al. Cut-off values for classifying active children and adolescents using the Physical Activity Questionnaire: PAQ-C and PAQ-A Cut-off values for classifying active children and adolescents using the Physical Activity Questionnaire: PAQ-C and PAQ-A. *Nutr Hosp*. 2016;33:564.
48. McBride MG, Burstein DS, Edelson JB, et al. Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation in Pediatric Patients with Congenital and Acquired Heart Disease. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev*. 2020;40:370–377.
49. Williams CA, Wadey C, Pieleas G, et al. Physical activity interventions for people with congenital heart disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2020;CD013400.
50. Rodríguez-Núñez I. Prescribiendo ejercicio físico en períodos de cuarentena por COVID-19: ¿Es útil la autorregulación perceptual en niños? *Rev Chil Pediatr*. 2020;91:304–305.