short **GUIDE**

Instrumental vaginal birth

IMPORTANT: Consider individual clinical circumstances. Read the full disclaimer at www.health.qld.gov.au/qcg

Classification of instrumental vaginal birth

Instrumental vaginal births are classified according to the station of the vertex and the degree of rotation of the sagittal suture from the midline¹

Classification	Description
Mid cavity ¹⁻³	 Fetal head is no more than 1/5th palpable abdominally above the symphysis pubis Leading point of the skull (not caput) is at or below the ischial spines and above station plus 2 cm Two subdivisions: Rotation of 45° or less from the occiput anterior (OA) position Rotation of more than 45° including the occiput posterior (OP) position
Low cavity ¹⁻⁴	 Leading point of the skull (not caput) is at or below station plus 2 cm and above the pelvic floor Two subdivisions: Rotation of 45° or less from the OA position Rotation of more than 45° including the OP position
Outlet ¹⁻⁴	 Fetal skull (not caput) has reached the pelvic floor Fetal scalp visible without separating the labia Sagittal suture is in the antero-posterior diameter or right or left OA or OP (rotation does not exceed 45°)
High ^{1,4}	Not recommended if head is 2/5th or more palpable abdominally and presenting part above level of ischial spines (except for second twin)

Aspect	Consideration
Caput succedaneum	 Serosanguinous extra aponeurotic collection that may cross suture line and extend beyond the midline⁵
Cephalo- haematoma	 Bleeding between the periosteum and underlying skull, with swelling not crossing the suture line⁵
Forceps birth	 Assisted or expedited vaginal vertex birth through the application of obstetric forceps⁶ Non-rotational used when baby's head is in correct position (linear traction)⁷ Rotational used when baby's head requires turning to correct position (axial traction)
Subgaleal haemorrhage (SGH)	 Bleeding in the space between epicranial aponeurosis and the periosteum, caused by rupture of the emissary veins with bleeding not confined by suture lines^{1,5}
Vacuum	 Assisted or expedited vaginal vertex birth through the application of a vacuum assisted device⁶ May have rigid (more likely to be successful⁷, or flexible cups⁷(fewer scalp injuries)⁸ May be connected to foot-operated or electric pump by tube or be handheld suction device⁷ (no difference in rates of success or rates of third or fourth degree tears)
Woman/women	QCG recognise that individuals have diverse gender identities. In QCG documents, the terms <i>woman</i> and <i>women</i> are used in an inclusive sense. These terms are not meant to exclude people who are pregnant or give birth, and who do not identify as female.
Standard care	 Refer to Queensland Clinical Guideline <u>Standard care</u>⁹ for care considered 'usual' or 'standard' Includes for example: privacy, consent, decision making, sensitive communication, medication administration, staff education and support, culturally appropriate care Discuss possibility of instrumental vaginal birth antenatally Refer to QCG consumer information <u>Instrumental vaginal birth</u>¹⁰

Definitions and clinical standards





Indications and contraindications for instrumental vaginal birth

Aspect	Consideration	
Indications	 Women with a live fetus with cephalic presentation in second stage labour where³: An operative vaginal birth is considered clinically safe Inadequate progress in active second stage in the presence of adequate uterine activity [refer to Queensland Clinical Guideline: <i>Normal birth</i>¹¹(e.g. maternal exhaustion^{1,3,4,7}) Maternal effort is contraindicated (e.g. cardiac conditions, hypertensive crisis^{1,3,4,7} Fetal compromise is suspected^{1,3,4,7} (e.g. pathological CTG, abnormal fetal blood sample, thick meconium) [refer to Queensland Clinical Guideline <u>Intrapartum fetal compromise</u>] Maternal exhaustion and request for assistance 	
Contraindications	 Clinician (or supervising clinician) does not have expertise in the procedure or management of complications¹ Head is above the ischial spines or 2/5th or more palpable abdominally above the symphysis pubis¹ Known or suspected fetal bone demineralising conditions or bleeding disorders (e.g. fetal coagulopathy, thrombocytopenia)^{1,3} Incomplete cervical dilatation³ 	
Risk factors for unsuccessful instrumental birth	 Limited and low-level evidence to identify risk factors influencing failure of the attempt—may include: Estimated fetal weight over 4000 g or a clinically 'big baby'^{1,12} OP/OT position at the time of application^{1,13} Prolonged second stage¹² [refer to Queensland Clinical Guideline: <u>Normal birth</u>¹¹ Higher station at the time of application (compared to outlet) mid-cavity or when 1/5th of fetal head is palpable abdominally^{1,13} Higher body mass index (BMI)^{1,12} Use clinical judgement and consider individual circumstances 	

Principles of safe instrumental vaginal birth

Aspect	Consideration	
Clinician skill	 The clinician performing the instrumental birth (or the clinician's supervisor in attendance) has the knowledge, experience and skill to^{1,3,14} Safely perform the procedure Manage complications that may arise A clinician trained in neonatal resuscitation is required at the birth^{1,4} Refer to Queensland Clinical Guideline: <i>Neonatal resuscitation</i>¹⁵ 	
If clinical uncertainty	 Contact an experienced clinician without delay if there is clinical uncertainty about: Accuracy of fetal or maternal assessment (e.g. degree of fetal caput or moulding, fetal presentation or station) Performance of the procedure (e.g. decision for caesarean section (CS) or selection of instrument) Other clinical concern/uncertainty If assessment of fetal head station/position is uncertain, ultrasound (transabdominal or transperineal) may assist¹⁶ Routine use not recommended⁴—follow local protocols regarding use 	
Communication	 Provide information about the indications for and potential risks and benefits of instrumental birth antenatally¹ (e.g. during antenatal education or during antenatal visits), including the role of neonatal vitamin K prophylaxis When instrumental birth is contemplated, provide clear explanation to woman and partner/support person to facilitate understanding, woman's decision making and informed consent, including¹⁷ Risks of perineal trauma and associated morbidity (Risks and benefits of instrumental (ventouse or forceps) versus CS Risks and benefits to woman and baby of no intervention Inform other members of the health care team prior to procedure (e.g. paediatrician/nurse practitioner (NP), operating room suite (ORS) team leader) Use standard documentation formats (e.g. assisted vaginal birth clinical pathway¹⁸to accurately record the indications for instrumental vaginal birth and details of the procedure 	
Setting	 If difficulty is anticipated, perform in operating theatre to facilitate access to immediate CS 	
Sequential instrumentation	 Associated with increased rates of maternal^{4,19,20} and neonatal morbidity^{3,4,13,19} Balance risks of sequential instrumentation with the risks of CS in second stage of labour³ Maintain low threshold for CS after unsuccessful forceps³ 	

© State of Queensland (Queensland Health) 2024



Discontinuation

Aspect	Consideration
Context	 No high-level evidence on the maximum number of attempts or detachments or pulls^{1,21} In this guideline, traction applied during one contraction is considered to be the equivalent of one pull (even if there are multiple maternal 'pushes' within a contraction) Success is dependent on operator skill⁷ Suboptimal placement associated with increased risk of neonatal trauma, use of sequential instruments and caesarean birth due to failed assisted vaginal birth⁷
	 Instrumental birth effective and safe option in appropriately selected women balanced against expectant management¹ Maintain situational awareness that: Abandoning the procedure may be a safer option than prolonged, repeated or excessive traction efforts¹ The traction applied is an adjunct to the mother's expulsive effort, not the primary force to overcome resistance to descent²²
Consensus recommendation	 With a correctly applied instrument, consider discontinuation: With vacuum: After two detachments (or one if less experienced operator)⁴ Descent is inadequate (full diameter of cup not visible at the perineum with three pulls to bring fetal head onto perineum^{1,4} After three additional pulls to ease head out of perineum⁴ Duration of application of the vacuum cup reaches 20 minutes²³ With forceps⁴: Blades cannot be easily applied, handles do not easily approximate or rotation not easily achieved—all without undue force Descent is inadequate or birth is not imminent following three pulls
Caesarean section ¹	 Second stage CS (compared to first stage) associated with increased risk of maternal morbidity (e.g. tears in uterine incision, haemorrhage, blood transfusion, bladder trauma and need for intensive care) Emergency CS at full dilation can be technically difficult Fetal head can be deep in maternal pelvis—potential for maternal and fetal injury Consider fetal pillow or positioning woman in low lithotomy to allow elevation of fetal head by hand Notify anaesthetist of potential need for glyceryl trinitrate (GTN) in ORS

Instrument by type (vacuum or forceps)

Instrument selection is dependent on the individual clinical circumstances, the woman's preference, the clinician's skill and experience, and the resources available.^{1,3}

Aspect	Vacuum	Forceps
Indication	 May be preferred: By clinician If no indication for a specific instrument²⁴ Used for OA, occiput transverse (OT) or OP position 	 May be preferred By clinician If rotation required²⁵ Used for OA, OT or OP position (straight or curved according to intention to rotate)
Contraindication	 Non-vertex presentation (e.g. face, brow)^{1,24} Less than 34+0 weeks gestation^{1,2} Inadequate analgesia¹ 	 If OT position, blades that cross at the articulation midpoint²⁶ Inadequate analgesia¹
Relative contraindication	 34+0 to 36+0 weeks gestation^{1,2} Prior scalp blood sampling¹ 	
Procedural considerations	 For both vacuum and forceps Apply steady traction only during a contract Plan for potential complications (e.g. shout perineal trauma) Minimise shearing forces on the scalp (i.e. avoid 'rocking')¹ Ensure no maternal tissue trapped under cup after application of suction Rapid application of negative pressure RCOG recommends rapid application to reduce the duration of the procedure⁴ No difference in detachment rates²⁷ from stepwise application Higher rate of perineal suture in rapid method²⁷ No difference in neonatal outcomes²⁷ 	 ction and with maternal effort¹ Ider dystocia, postpartum haemorrhage (PPH), Perform the following ONLY between contractions¹: Application of instrument Correction of asynclitism Rotation of fetal head

Comparison of outcome by instrument

Outcome/complication	Any vacuum type	Any forceps type
Maternal		
Failure of attempt (11 studies, n=3080, RR 0.58, 95% CI 0.39 to 0.88) ⁷	Higher	Lower
	Low certainty of evidence	
Third or fourth degree tears with or without episiotomy (9 studies, n=2493, RR	Lower	Higher
1.83, 95% CI 1.32 to 2.55) ⁷	Low certainty evidence	
Any maternal trauma (perineal, vulval and vaginal) (5 studies, n=1356, OR	Lower	Higher
2.48, 95% CI 0.98 to 2.40) ⁷	Low certainty evidence	
Flatus incontinence/altered continence ⁷	No appropriate data	
Levator avulsion (7 studies; n=977, OR 4.45, 95% CI 3.09 to 6.42) ²⁸	Lower	Higher
Postpartum haemorrhage (greater than or equal to 500 mL, (2 studies, n= 523, RR	No evidence of difference	
1.71, 95% CI, 0.59 to 4.95) ⁷	Low certainty evidence	
*Pain relief ⁷	Lower	Higher
**Other maternal outcomes blood loss, pain on day four, caesarean section (CS), vulval trauma, episiotomy or perineal tear requiring suturing with or without pudendal analgesia ²⁴	No significant difference	
Neonatal	1	
Subgaleal haemorrhage (SGH) Rate per 1000 instrumental births	3 to 7.6/1000 ²⁹	1.6/1000 ³⁰
*Jaundice	Higher	Lower
Cephalohaematoma (10 studies, n= 2729,RR 0.41, 95 Cl, 0.3 to 0.56) ⁷	More common	Less common
Retinal haemorrhage (10 studies, n= 2923,OR 2.4, 95 CI, 1.3 to 2.2)	More common	Less common
 Other neonatal outcomes:, Apgar score less than 7 at 5 minutes (7 studies, n = 16440.83, 95% Cl,0.46 to 1.51 (moderate certainty)⁷ Mean umbilical artery pH less than 7.2 (2 studies, n =789, RR 1.33, 95% Cl0.91 to 1.93) low certainty, imprecise including both benefit and harm⁷ 		ence
Scalp injury, facial injury, intracranial injury, admission to neonatal intensive care unit		

*12 RCT (n=3129 women). ** 32 RCT (n=6597). Not all comparisons included data on all outcomes. Outcome definitions varied among studies. Heterogeneity between some studies.

CI: confidence interval; n: number; RR: risk ratio; OR odds ratio.

Anticipated absolute effect by instrument

	Anticipated absolute effects (95% CI)		
Outcome ⁷	Risk with any vacuum cup	Risk with any type of forceps	
Failed birth with allocated instrument (n = 3080, 11 RCT, low certainty of evidence)	137 per 1000	79 per 1000 (53 to 120)	
Any maternal trauma (n = 1356, 5 RCT, low certainty of evidence)	925 per 1000	950 per 1000 (924 to 968)	
Any neonatal injury (0 RCT)	Not reported	Not reported	
Third- or fourth-degree tear (with/without episiotomy) (n = 2493, 9 RCT, low certainty of evidence)	82 per 1000	150 per 1000 (108 to 209)	
PPH (> 500 mL) (n = 523, 2 RCT, low certainty of evidence)	20 per 1000	35 per 1000 (12 to101)	
Low Apgar score at 5 minutes (<7) (n = 1644, 7 RCT, moderate certainty of evidence)	28 per 1000	23 per 1000 (13 to 42)	
Low UA pH (<7.2) (n = 789, 2 RCT, low certainty of evidence)	106 per 1000	141 per 1000 (97 to 205)	





Pre-intervention care

Aspect	Consideration	
Bladder care	 Ensure bladder empty—if required catheterise (in/out)^{3,4} If indwelling catheter insitu, deflate balloon or remove^{3,4} 	
Abdominal and vaginal assessment	 Perform an abdominal and vaginal assessment to confirm ALL of the following^{1,3,4} Vertex presentation Head is 1/5th or less palpable abdominally Estimated fetal weight (EFW) considered Clinical assessment that pelvis is adequate for vaginal birth Cervix fully dilated and membranes ruptured Assessment of caput and moulding (for accurate assessment of station) Head position (OA, OT, OP) is known for correct placement of instrument 	
Analgesia/ anaesthesia	 Provide adequate analgesia prior to procedure¹ Insufficient evidence to support any particular analgesic agent or method of administration³¹ Considerations³²: More analgesia usually required for forceps than for vacuum If analgesia required, perineal infiltration is often sufficient for vacuum births Pudendal block effective for most low and outlet forceps births Regional block required for some low and most mid-cavity forceps birth 	
Episiotomy	 Discuss the potential indications, risks and benefits including option for no episiotomy Obtain woman's informed consent¹ Routine episiotomy is not recommended—use clinical judgement for each birth before recommending^{1-4,33} Refer to Queensland Clinical Guideline: <u>Perineal care</u>³⁴ Strongly consider episiotomy if forceps or vacuum are used³⁵, especially if first vaginal birth^{1,36,37} If episiotomy is indicated: Perform mediolateral Continue to apply warm compresses 	
Maternal and fetal observation	 Provide continuous one-to-one midwifery support Monitor vital signs as per second stage of labour and according to clinical circumstances Refer to Queensland Clinical Guideline: <u>Normal birth¹¹</u> Auscultate fetal heart rate (FHR) prior to procedure and between contractions Refer to Queensland Clinical Guideline: <u>Intrapartum fetal surveillance³⁸</u> 	





Post-intervention care

Aspect	Consideration	
Maternal observations	Routine postnatal observations as per individual clinical circumstances/local protocols	
Perineal care	 Perform a comprehensive perineal assessment Instrumental birth associated with higher rates of perineal injury³⁹⁻⁴¹ Refer to Queensland Clinical Guideline: <i>Perineal care³⁴</i> for recommendations about: Perineal recovery, hygiene and healing Pelvic floor muscle exercises, self-care and referral recommendations after obstetric anal sphincter injury (OASIS) Refer to a women's health physiotherapist as per local protocol 	
Bladder care	 Monitor and document frequency and volume of voiding after birth Risk of postpartum urinary retention is increased after instrumental birth^{17,42,43} If voiding has not occurred within six hours^{17,43} or urinary retention is suspected, consider post-void residual and/or indwelling catheter Refer to continence advisor/physiotherapist as required 	
Antibiotics	 Consider antibiotic prophylaxis against postpartum infectious morbidity^{1,44} Evidence (mainly from single study (n = 3420) in a high income country found that a single dose of prophylactic antibiotic administered within six hours of birth reduced⁴⁵ Superficial perineal wound infection (RR 0.53; 95% CI 0.4 to 0.69) Deep perineal wound infection (RR 0.46; 95% CI 0.31 to 0.69) Wound breakdown (RR 0.52; CI 0.43 to 0.63) Recommended regimen for women 36+0 weeks gestation or more^{45,46} (not allergic to penicillin) Amoxicillin and clavulanic acid 1 g + 200 mg intravenous (IV) as a single dose within 6 hours of instrumental vaginal birth If woman has immediate non-severe or delayed non-severe hypersensitivity to penicillins, administer cefazolin 2 g IV and metronidazole 500 mg IV as single dose s within 6 hours of instrumental vaginal birth If woman has immediate severe or delayed severe hypersensitivity to penicillins, consult with expert clinician (consider clindamycin 600 mg IV as a single dose)¹ Efficacy of prophylaxis administered to women less than 36+0 weeks gestation or after 6 hours following birth is not known 	
Analgesia	 Offer regular rectal non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents and paracetamol⁴ If pain not relieved by analgesia, perform a clinical assessment to exclude complications (e.g. haematoma or infection) 	
Venous thromboembolism (VTE) prophylaxis	 Perform a risk assessment and consider prophylactic measures for VTE Refer to Queensland Clinical Guideline: <u>Venous thromboembolism prophylaxis in pregnancy and the puerperium</u>¹⁷ 	
Psychological care	 Offer an opportunity to discuss the indications for the instrumental birth, the management of any complications and implications for future births Instrumental birth is associated with fear of subsequent birth and post-traumatic stress⁴⁷ Ask about psychological wellbeing in the postnatal period and offer referral if indicated 	

Care and observation of baby

Aspect	Consideration
Context	 SGH is a potentially lethal medical emergency⁵ Risk factors for SGH^{48,49} Vacuum duration Number of dislodgements Duration of second stage of labour Fetal head station Caput succedaneum Presence of meconium
Assessment	 Perform neonatal surveillance according to risk for SGH [refer to RANZCOG statement on <i>Subgaleal haemorrhage in the newborn</i>⁵] Use Neonatal Early Warning Tool (NEWT) or similar to record observations⁵⁰ Collect paired cord blood gas samples at birth^{3,20,38,39,45,49} If increased risk of SGH established at birth, collect cord blood for full blood count Assess for signs and symptoms of instrument-related injury Refer to Queensland Clinical Guideline <i>Routine newborn assessment</i>⁵¹
Management	 Notify paediatric/neonatal medical officer/NP of instrumental birth (if not already notified during labour) Administer vitamin K prophylaxis as soon as practical after birth⁵ Minimum surveillance for all babies post instrumental vaginal birth Baseline neonatal observations Avoid hats and bonnets so changing head shape, appearance or size can be identified In the presence of poor feeding, pallor or other concerns, increase frequency of monitoring and seek timely medical review⁵

References

1. The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Instrumental vaginal birth. [Internet]. 2020. [cited 20 October 2023]. Available from: <u>https://ranzcog.edu.au</u>.

2. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Operative vaginal delivery. Practice Bulletin No. 219. Obstetrics & Gynaecology. [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2023 October 20]; 135:e149.

3. The Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada. Assisted vaginal birth Clinical Practice Guideline No. 381. Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology Canada. [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2023 December 12]; 40(2):870-82 DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jogc.2018.10.020.

4. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Operative vaginal delivery. Green–top Guideline No. 26. [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2023 October 20]. Available from: <u>http://www.rcog.org.uk</u>.

5. The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Prevention, detection, and management of subgaleal haemorrhage in the newborn. College Statement C-Obs 28. [Internet]. 2021 [cited 08 June 2018]. Available from: <u>https://www.ranzcog.edu.au/</u>

6. Smith R. Netter's Obstetrics and Gynecology. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier; 2008.

7. Verma GL, Spalding JJ, Wilkinson MD, Hofmeyr GJ, Vannevel V, O'Mahony F. Instruments for assisted vaginal birth. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. [Internet]. 2021, Issue 9. Art No.: CD005455. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD005455.pub3.

8. Tonismae T, Canela C, Gossman W. Vacuum extraction. StatPearls. [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 November 3]. Available from: https://www.statpearls.com.

9. Queensland Clinical Guidelines. Standard care. Guideline No. MN22.50-V1-R27. [Internet]. Queensland Health. 2022. [cited 2023 December 12]. Available from: <u>https://www.health.qld.gov.au/qcg</u>.

10. Queensland Clinical Guidelines. Consumer information:Instrumental vaginal birth. Guideline No. C24.49-1-V3-R29. [Internet]. Queensland Health. 2024. [cited 2024 XXXXX]. Available from: <u>https://www.health.qld.gov.au/qcg</u>.

11. Queensland Clinical Guidelines. Normal birth. Guideline No. MN22.25-V4-R27. [Internet]. Queensland Health. 2017. [cited 2023 December 12]. Available from: <u>https://www.health.qld.gov.au/qcg</u>.

 Elfituri A, Datta T, Hubbrd H, Ganaoathy R. Successful versus unsuccessful instrumenatal deliveries-predictors and obstetric outcomes. European Journal of Obststics& Gynecology ane Reproductive Biology 2020;244:21-4.
 Ahlberg M, Norman M, Hjelmstedt A, Ekéus C. Risk factors for failed vacuum extraction and associated

complications in term newborn infants: a population-based cohort study. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine 2016;29(10):1646-51.

14. Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA). Focus on skills for vacuum-assisted births. Medical devices safety update volume 6, number 3. [Internet]. May 2018 [cited 2023 October 30]. Available from: https://www.tga.gov.au/publication-issue/medical-devices-safety-update-volume-6-number-3-may-2018.

15. Queensland Clinical Guidelines. Neonatal resuscitation. Guideline No. MN22.5-V6-R27. [Internet]. Queensland Health. 2022. [cited 2024 February 7]. Available from: <u>https://www.health.qld.gov.au/qcg</u>.

16. Chan VYT, Lau WL. Intrapartum ultrasound and the choice between assisted vaginal and cesarean delivery. American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology Maternal Fetal Medicine 2021;3(6, Supplement):100439.

17. Queensland Clinical Guidelines. Venous thromboembolism (VTE) prophylaxis in pregnancy and the puerperium. Guideline No. MN20.9-V7-R25. [Internet]. Queensland Health. 2020. [cited 2023 October 30]. Available from: https://www.health.qld.gov.au/qcg.

18. Queensland Health. Assisted vaginal birth clinical pathway. v1.00-05/2017. SW800. [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2023 December 12]. Available from: <u>www.health.qld.gov.au</u>.

19. Gardella C, Taylor M, Benedetti T, Hitti J, Critchlow C. The effect of sequential use of vacuum and forceps for assisted vaginal delivery on neonatal and maternal outcomes. American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology 2001;185(4):896-902.

20. Chamagne M, Perdriolle-Galet E, Baumann C, Morel O. How to proceed after a failed vacuum delivery. Gynaecolgy, Obstetrics, Fertility & Senology 2019;47(10):718-25.

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Operative vaginal delivery. Practice Bulletin No. 219.
 Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 2020 [cited 2023 October 20]; 135(4):e149-59 DOI:10.1097/AOG.00000000003764.
 Vacca A. Vacuum assisted delivery improving patient outcomes and protecting yourself against litigation. OBG management 2004;February(Supplement):S1-S12.

Vacca A. Vacuum-assisted delivery. Best Practice & Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology 2002;16(1):17-30.
 O'Mahony F, Hofmeyr G, Menon V. Choice of instruments for assisted vaginal delivery. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. [Internet]. 2010, [cited 2020 October 20]. Issue 11. Art No.: CD005455. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD005455.pub2.

25. Al Wattar HB, Wattar AB, Gallos MI, Pirie MA. Rotational vaginal delivery with Kielland's forceps: a systematic review and meta-analysis of effectiveness and safety outcomes. Current Opinion in Obstetrics and Gynecology 2015;27(6):438-44.

26. Vayssiere C, Beucher G, Dupuis O, Feraud O, Simon-Toulza C, Sentilhes L, et al. Instrumental delivery: Clinical Practice Guidelines from the French College of Gynaecologists and Obstetricians. European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology 2011;159(1):43-8.

27. Suwannachat B, Lumbiganon P, Laopaiboon M, Suwannachat B. Rapid versus stepwise negative pressure application for vacuum extraction assisted vaginal delivery. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. [Internet]. 2012, [cited 2023 December 12]. Issue 2012. Art No.: DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD006636.pub3.

(CC) BY-NC-ND

28. Friedman T, Eslick G, Dietz HP. Instrumental delivery and the risk of avulsion: a meta-analysis. International Uregynecology Journal 2017;28(S1): S26-S27.

29. Colditz MJ, Lai MM, Cartwright DW, Colditz PB. Subgaleal haemorrhage in the newborn: a call for early diagnosis and aggressive management. Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health 2015;51(2):140-6.

30. Swanson AE, Veldman A, Wallace EM, Malhotra A. Subgaleal hemorrhage: risk factors and outcomes. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2012;91(2):260-3.

31. Nikpoor P, Bain E, Nikpoor P. Analgesia for forceps delivery. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. [Internet]. 2013, [cited 2023 December 12]. Issue 9. Art No.: CD008878. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD008878.pub2.

32. Baskett TF. Assisted Vaginal Delivery. In: Baskett TF, Calder AA, Arulkumaran S, Ramsden I, Calder AA, editors. Operative Obstetrics. Twelfth ed. Edinburgh: Saunders Elsevier; 2014.

33. Murphy D, Macleod M, Bahl R, Goyder K, Howarth L, Strachan B. A randomised controlled trial of routine versus restrictive use of episiotomy at operative vaginal delivery: a multicentre pilot study. British Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology 2008;115:1695–703.

34. Queensland Clinical Guidelines. Perineal care. Guideline No. MN23.30-V4-R28. [Internet]. Queensland Health. 2023. [cited 2023 December 22]. Available from: <u>https://www.health.qld.gov.au/qcg</u>.

35. Okeahialam NA, Wong KW, Jha S, Sultan AH, Thakar R. Mediolateral/lateral episiotomy with operative vaginal delivery and the risk reduction of obstetric anal sphincter injury (OASI): A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int Urogynecol J 2022;33(6):1393-405.

36. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. The management of third-and fourth-degree perineal tears. Guideline No. 29. [Internet]. 2015. [cited 2024 February 7]. Available from: <u>https://rcog.org.uk</u>.

37. Ankarcrona V, Zhao H, Jacobsson B, Brismar Wendel S. Obstetric anal sphincter injury after episiotomy in vacuum extraction: an epidemiological study using an emulated randomised trial approach. BJOG 2021;128(10):1663-71.

38. Queensland Clinical Guidelines. Intrapartum fetal surveillance. Guideline No. MN19.5-V7-R24. [Internet]. Queensland Health. 2019. [cited 2023 December 20]. Available from: <u>https://www.health.qld.gov.au/qcg</u>.

39. Atan I, Lai SK, Langer S, Caudwell-Hall J, Dietz HP. The impact of variations in obstetric practice on maternal birth trauma. International Urogynecology Journal 2019;30:917-23.

40. Caudwell-Hall J, Weishaupt J, Dietz HP. Contributing factors in forceps associated pelvic floor trauma. International Urogynecology Journal 2020;31(1):167-71.

41. Leeuw J, Daly JO. Forceps and vacuum: one goal, two entities. International Urogynecology Journal 2021;32:2349– 52.

42. Ain Qu, Shetty N, Supriya K. Postpartum urinary retention and its associated obstetric risk factors among women undergoing vaginal delivery in tertiary care hospital. Journal of Gynecology Obstetrics and Human Reproduction 2021;50(2):101837.

43. Gupta A, Pampapati V, Khare C, Murugesan R, Nayak D, Keepanasseril A. Postpartum urinary retention in women undergoing instrumental delivery: a cross-sectional analytical study. Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica 2021;100(1):41-7.

44. Liabsuetrakul T, Choobun T, Peeyananjarassri K, Islam Q. Antibiotic prophylaxis for operative vaginal delivery. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. [Internet]. 2020, [cited 2023 October 20]. Issue 8. Art No.: CD004455. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD004455.pub4.

Knight M, Chiocchia V, Partlett C, Rivero-Arias O, Hua X, Hinshaw K, et al. Prophylactic antibiotics in the prevenation of infection after operative vaginal delivery (ANODE): a multicentre rndomised controlled trial. Lancet 2019;393:2395-403.
 Therapeutic Guidelines. Surgical prophylaxis for obstetric surgery. [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2024 April 2]. Available from: https://tgldcdp.tg.org.au.

47. Ayers S, Bond R, Bertullies S, Wijma K. The aetiology of post-traumatic stress following childbirth: a meta-analysis and theoretical framework. Psychological Medicine 2016;46(6):1121-34.

48. Ditzenberger GR. Subgaleal hemorrhage. Newborn and Infant Nursing Reviews 2016;16(1):36-8.

49. Levin G, Elchalal U, Yagel S, Eventov-Friedman S, Ezra Y, Sompolinsky Y, et al. Risk factors associated with subgaleal hemorrhage in neonates exposed to vacuum extraction. Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica 2019;98(11):1464-72.

50. Queensland Health. Newborn Early Warning Tool. [Intranet]. 2018 [cited 2023 December 12].

51. Queensland Clinical Guidelines. Newborn baby assessment (routine). Guideline No. MN21.4-V6-R26. [Internet]. Queensland Health. 2022. [cited 2023 October 30]. Available from: <u>https://www.health.qld.gov.au/qcg</u>.

