Guidelines

# CAR and CSTR Cardiac Computed Tomography (CT) Practice Guidelines: Part I Coronary CT Angiography (CCTA)

Canadian Association of Radiologists Journal I–14



© The Author(s) 2024 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/08465371241233240 journals.sagepub.com/home/caj



Elsie T. Nguyen<sup>1</sup>, Courtney R. Green<sup>2</sup>, Scott J. Adams<sup>3</sup>, Helen Bishop<sup>4</sup>, Guylaine Gleeton<sup>5</sup>, Cameron J. Hague<sup>6</sup>, Kate Hanneman<sup>1</sup>, Scott Harris<sup>7</sup>, Jacek Strzelczyk<sup>8</sup>, and Carole Dennie<sup>9</sup>

These Practice Guidelines have been reviewed and endorsed by the North American Society for Cardiovascular Imaging (NASCI).

#### Abstract

Imaging the heart is one of the most technically challenging applications of Computed Tomography (CT) due to the presence of cardiac motion limiting optimal visualization of small structures such as the coronary arteries. Electrocardiographic gating during CT data acquisition facilitates motion free imaging of the coronary arteries. Since publishing the first version of the Canadian Association of Radiologists (CAR) cardiac CT guidelines, many technological advances in CT hardware and software have emerged necessitating an update. The goal of these cardiac CT practice guidelines is to present an overview of the current evidence supporting the use of cardiac CT in various clinical scenarios and to outline standards of practice for patient safety and quality of care when establishing a cardiac CT program in Canada.

#### Résumé

L'imagerie du cœur est l'une des applications de la tomodensitométrie (TDM) les plus exigeantes sur le plan technique en raison des mouvements cardiaques qui limitent la visualisation optimale de petites structures telles que les artères coronaires. La technique de synchronisation (*gating*) lors de l'acquisition des données élimine les artéfacts causés par ces mouvements et facilite ainsi l'imagerie des artères coronaires. Depuis la publication de la première version des lignes directrices de l'Association canadienne des radiologistes (CAR) relatives à la TDM cardiaque, de nombreuses avances technologiques liées à la TDM ont eu lieu sur les plans matériel et logiciel, et une mise à jour était donc de mise. L'objectif des présentes lignes directrices de pratique en matière de TDM du cœur est de présenter une vue d'ensemble des données de recherches actuelles en faveur du recours à la TDM cardiaque dans le cadre de divers scénarios cliniques et de fournir des normes de pratique en vue d'optimiser la sécurité des patients ainsi que la qualité des soins au Canada. Elles seront particulièrement utiles au moment de mettre en place un service de TDM cardiaque.

# Keywords

coronary artery computed tomography angiography, guidelines

**Corresponding Author:** 

Elsie T. Nguyen, Department of Medical Imaging, University of Toronto, 585 University Avenue, Toronto, ON M5G 2N2, Canada. Email: elsie.nguyen@uhn.ca

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Medical Imaging, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Canadian Association of Radiologists, Ottawa, ON, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Department of Medical Imaging, Royal University Hospital, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Department of Radiology, Institut Universitaire de Cardiologie et de Pneumologie de Québec, Laval University, Quebec City, QC, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Department of Diagnostic Radiology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Department of Radiology, Memorial University, St. John's, NL, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Department of Radiology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Department of Radiology, Radiation Oncology and Medical Physics, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

# Introduction

Many technological advances in computed tomography (CT) hardware and software have emerged since the first version of the Canadian Association of Radiologists (CAR) cardiac CT practice guidelines.<sup>1</sup> This has prompted a revision that incorporates technological improvements and changes in clinical practice to align with recently published international guidelines. The goal of these cardiac CT practice guidelines is to present an overview of the current evidence supporting the use of cardiac CT in various clinical scenarios and to outline standards of practice for patient safety and quality of care when establishing a cardiac CT program in Canada. Coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA) is a major component of cardiac CT volume that also includes valvular and pericardial imaging, tumour and mass evaluation, pulmonary vein imaging to guide ablation procedures, and imaging of congenital heart disease for diagnosis and monitoring.

Recommendations were written by a working group of Canadian cardiac imaging experts informed by a current literature review that prioritized systematic reviews and randomized controlled trials, where available, or based on consensus expert opinion in the absence of high-quality studies. These guidelines are intended to be relevant for community hospitals, as well as large academic centres that conduct cardiac CT. Part I focuses on CCTA and Part II outlines the use of cardiac CT for non-coronary indications.

# Standards for the Performance of Cardiac CT

# **CT** Requirements

Imaging the heart is one of the most technically challenging applications of CT due to the presence of cardiac motion limiting optimal visualization of small structures such as the coronary arteries. Electrocardiographic gating during CT data acquisition facilitates motion free imaging of the coronary arteries and their sub-millimetre branches. The ideal cardiac imaging platform combines high spatial and temporal resolution with high X-ray tube capacity while maintaining low radiation dose.

When the previous CAR guidelines were published, the mainstay of cardiac imaging consisted of 64-detector row CT scanners.<sup>1</sup> Since then, some CT scanner manufacturers have increased the number of detector rows facilitating complete coverage of the heart in one gantry rotation (ie, 320-detector row). Other CT scan manufacturers optimized dual X-ray tube design for greater temporal resolution in the range of 66 ms that further reduced cardiac motion blurring, even at higher heart rates, thereby reducing the need for beta-blockers.

Advances in noise reducing reconstruction algorithms were also introduced, facilitating more effective radiation dose reduction.<sup>2,3</sup>

For CCTA, the minimum CT scanner specifications should meet or exceed criteria outlined by the American College of Radiology, North American Society for Cardiovascular Imaging, and the Society for Pediatric Radiology practice parameters as listed below<sup>4</sup>:

- 64-detector row or greater
- Axial resolution  $\leq 0.5 \times 0.5 \,\text{mm}$
- Z-axis resolution  $\leq 1 \text{ mm}$
- Temporal resolution  $\leq 250 \, \text{ms}$

Volume scanners (128-detector rows or greater) or dualsource scanners are much more robust than 64-detector row scanners and are generally preferred for cardiac imaging.

# Radiation Safety

Depending on the type of CT scanner and specific technique used, ECG-gated cardiac CT can result in higher radiation dose exposure to the patient compared to helical non-gated CT. Published dose estimates are often erroneous due to the use of dose conversion factors that do not account for patient size or characteristics, or CT technique.<sup>5,6</sup> Current CT scanners offer a wide variety of radiation dose reduction strategies.

ECG-gated cardiac CT synchronizes data acquisition to the cardiac cycle, usually in diastole when there is less motion while the ventricles are filling, to minimize cardiac motion blurring. Retrospective ECG-gating is required for ventricular functional assessment and may also be needed when heart rates are high. However, it results in higher radiation dose compared to prospective ECG-triggered or helical non-gated techniques. ECG-based tube current modulation, whereby the mAs is reduced to 20% or 40% during part of the cardiac cycle, typically systole and utilizing the lowest kVp possible, can significantly reduce radiation exposure.<sup>7</sup> At higher heart rates systolic phase imaging may be optimal due to decreased diastolic filling time and relatively less motion at the end of systole at higher heart rates.<sup>8,9</sup>

Prospective ECG-triggering is most commonly used for CCTA (Table 1). The X-ray tube output and data acquisition are timed to diastole when there is less cardiac motion. Depending on the number of detector rows and scan coverage per gantry rotation, multiple heart beats may be required to image the entire heart. In contrast, CT scanners that have 320 detector rows can cover the entire heart with a single gantry rotation and thus are less susceptible to arrhythmias such as atrial fibrillation.

A high pitch helical scan (pitch of 3.2-3.4) is available on dual source CT scanners that enables imaging of the entire heart during a single beat, which reduces radiation exposure<sup>7</sup> and facilitates sub-millisievert imaging.<sup>10</sup> Use of this scanning mode may require more aggressive beta-blockade to achieve heart rates of <65 beats per minute for third-generation scanners.<sup>11</sup>

# Table 1. Common Indications and Scan Techniques.

Cardiac CT protocol	Prospective ECG-triggering	Retrospective ECG-gating	High-pitch helical	Comment
Coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA)	Х		×	With high heart rates despite use of beta blockers, widening the acquisition window and possibly using retrospective gating to obtain diagnostic images may be required. High-pitch helical mode is most suited to regular heart rates <60-65 beats/min
Coronary artery stents	Х		Х	Reconstruction kernels to minimize stent artifact may be used
Coronary artery bypass grafts (CABG)	х		Х	Greater coverage from clavicles to below heart to capture left internal thoracic (mammary) artery
Calcium Score	Х		Х	Typically, no medications are given for heart rate control or coronary vasodilatation; may be included as part of CCTA protocol
Thoracic aorta	X		Х	If there is no aortic root dilatation, helical non-gated CTA could be used to reduce radiation dose. With dual source scanners, gated thoracic aorta scans can be routinely performed at relatively low radiation dose using high pitch helical scanning.
Pulmonary vein	Х		Х	May need to increase z-axis coverage compared to CCTA scan volume in order to capture anomalous pulmonary veins
Left atrial appendage closure devices (planning and post insertion)		Х		Delayed imaging may be required. Retrospective gating can demonstrate the dynamic morphologic changes in the left atrial appendage that can help with sizing of closure devices.
Cardiac vein mapping	Х			Delayed imaging is required
Left ventricular ablation	Х			Dedicated protocol for contrast injection and delayed enhancement imaging
Ventricular function		Х		Biventricular contrast protocol required (triphasic injection)
Valvular assessment (native and prosthetic valves)		Х		No tube current modulation may be preferable. Higher kVp may be required for prosthetic valves.
Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation/ Replacement (TAVI/ TAVR)		х		Some institutions only perform systolic phase imaging of the aortic annulus. Consider no tube current modulation for better quality
Transcatheter Mitral Valve Replacement (TMVR)		Х		Consider no tube current modulation for better quality
Transcatheter Tricuspid Valve Replacement (TTVR)		Х		Consider no tube current modulation for better quality imaging.
Transcatheter pulmonary valve replacement (TPVR)		×		Consider no tube current modulation for better quality imaging.
Cardiac mass	Х	Х		Non-contrast, arterial, delayed phase imaging recommended. CT perfusion can be completed but requires higher radiation dose. Retrospective gating may be required to assess valvular
Pericardial disease	х	х		involvement. Retrospective gating may be used to assess ventricular
				interdependence.
Congenital heart disease	Х	Х		Depends on the clinical question; retrospective ECG-gating required for ventricular functional or valvular assessment such as for annular measurements etc.
Left ventricular assist device (LVAD), right ventricular assist devices (RVAD)	×	Х		Reconstruction kernels to minimize stent artifact may be used. Prospective or retrospective technique may be used depending on the clinical indication
Pacemaker/defibrillator lead perforation		Х		Retrospective gating recommended as some micro- perforations may only be seen during ventricular systole when the right ventricle is contracting; biventricular contrast protocol required.

Higher tube potential or kVp contributes to higher radiation dose in a non-linear relationship. Imaging with a lower kVp means that a greater proportion of X-ray is near the K-edge of iodine, resulting in improved contrast enhancement per volume of contrast used due to increased photoelectric interactions. By reducing the tube potential from 120 to 100 kVp, it is possible to reduce the radiation dose while improving vascular enhancement. The ability to reduce kVp is often guided by the patient's weight. Larger patients require a higher kVp for optimal image quality as higher energy X-ray photons are needed to penetrate the soft tissues and reach the detectors.<sup>9</sup>

The use of automatic exposure control, or tube current modulation along the *z*-axis, adjusts the tube current to the attenuation of the region being scanned. In this way, the image noise is kept at a constant level and the tube current is reduced when scanning less attenuating anatomy.

Filtered back projection was the standard method of image reconstruction for several decades after the introduction of CT technology. Due to increased computational power, more advanced noise reduction methods such as iterative reconstruction were introduced in 2008 as an effective radiation dose reduction strategy.<sup>12-14</sup> Iterative reconstruction reduces image noise and permits imaging with lower radiation doses. Recently introduced methods of deep learning reconstruction further reduce radiation dose while maintaining image quality.<sup>15,16</sup>

Average radiation dose for CCTA will vary depending on patient body habitus and heart rate at the time of image acquisition and CT scanner hardware and scanning parameters. However, sub-millisievert scanning is achievable on most CT scanner platforms. The CCTA radiation dose ranges between 3 and 5 mSv and is lower than typical radiation doses for nuclear perfusion scanning or invasive coronary angiography (ICA).<sup>17</sup>

## Contrast Medium Administration

Excluding coronary Agatston scoring, most cardiac CT examinations require the administration of intravenous contrast. For CCTA, the optimal vascular enhancement is between 250 and 300 HU.<sup>9,18</sup> In adult patients, an injection rate of 5 to 7 mL/s using an 18G size needle is recommended in an antecubital vein, preferably on the right to avoid dense contrast in the left innominate vein causing beam-hardening (streak) artifact and obscuring vascular structures such as the aorta.<sup>4,9,18</sup> The timing of contrast administration should be determined by using a test injection or bolus tracking technique. Higher contrast injection rates are required in larger patients and pregnant women, due to increased intravascular blood volume.<sup>9</sup>

With the use of a dual injector, biphasic (contrast followed by saline) and triphasic (contrast followed by mix of diluted contrast and then saline) protocols are possible. Triphasic injection allows maximum enhancement of the structure of interest and reduced right heart enhancement. Beam-hardening artifacts related to contrast in the right atrium are reduced, while maintaining ability to assess the right heart.

#### Patient Preparation

CCTA image quality is maximized at low and regular heart rates. Typically, beta-blockers are administered to reduce heart rate to a target level depending on the type of CT scanner (Table 2). Most current CT scanners can acquire satisfactory images at higher heart rates (>80 beats per minute [bpm]), but this is typically accomplished at the expense of using higher radiation doses compared to imaging at lower heart rates (<60 bpm). Dual source CT scanners however, can produce high quality images at relatively higher heart rates due to superior temporal resolution.

Sublingual nitroglycerine is often administered as either a tablet or spray 5 minutes prior to the scan acquisition. Nitroglycerine works as a smooth muscle relaxant resulting in dilatation of coronary arteries. This improves visualization, especially of the smaller coronary artery branches.<sup>9,19,20</sup>

## Acquisition Protocols

Cardiac CT scan modes are reviewed in the radiation safety section above. Whenever feasible, the lowest radiation mode available should be used. Retrospective ECG-gating should only be used when functional data is required, or the patient's heart rate cannot be reduced sufficiently (Table 1).

*Z*-axis coverage should be limited to the minimum clinically required. For coronary imaging, scanning from the carina to just beyond the bottom of the heart is generally sufficient. If there is a history of coronary artery bypass surgery, the coverage should begin at the lung apices to include the entire course of internal mammary grafts.

Images should be acquired with the thinnest slice reconstruction possible. For coronary imaging, the reconstructed field of view should be limited to the heart to maximize the spatial resolution for coronary assessment. If desired, larger reconstructed field of view with thicker slices may be obtained for assessment of incidental findings.

If possible, images should be reviewed by a cardiac imager for diagnostic quality immediately after the scan. If a diagnostic quality study has not been obtained, the examination can be repeated.

## Post-Processing

In addition to the axial data set, more advanced techniques may be required to assess cardiac anatomy. These include multiplanar reformats, curved multiplanar reformats, volumerendered three-dimensional images, and cinematic rendering. For coronary arteries, curved multiplanar reformats are particularly useful. Reformatted images should always be correlated with the original axial data set to ensure that the anatomy is correctly displayed.

Table 2.	Medications	Commonly	<sup>,</sup> Used for	CCTA
----------	-------------	----------	-----------------------	------

Medication				
Beta-blocker (metoprolol)*	Oral	Intravenous		
	<ul> <li>50-100 mg oral metoprolol given at least 1 h prior to CCTA; some suggest giving 24 h prior to CCTA for more effective heart rate reduction<sup>21,22</sup></li> <li>-If target heart rate is not reached after oral dose of metoprolol, can give additional intravenous metoprolol on CT table</li> </ul>	5 mg intravenous metoprolol given with repeated checks of blood pressure and heart rate up to maximum of 25 mg.		
	-Patients on chronic beta-blockers can receive supplemental oral or intravenous metoprolol			
Common Contra-indications	-Second- or third-degree heart block, severe first-degree heart block, hypotension (systolic blood pressure <100 mmHg), moderate to severe systolic dysfunction, poorly controlled asthma, severe aortic valve stenosis, severe bradycardia (HR <50 bpm), sick sinus syndrome, known allergy			
Nitroglycerin**	Spray	Oral (sublingual)		
	<ul> <li>0.4-0.8 mg (1-2 sprays)</li> <li>*0.8 mg dose given with metred lingual spray is preferred over tablets for maximal coronary vasodilatation<sup>9</sup></li> <li>-given 5 min prior to CCTA as the coronary vasodilatation usually lasts 20-30 min</li> </ul>	0.4-0.8 mg (1-2 tablets)		
Common Contra-indications	-Erectile dysfunction medication taken within last 24-48h (sildenafil, tadalafil etc.), increased intracranial pressure, right-sided myocardial infarction, severe anemia, known allergy or hypersensitivity			

\*Metoprolol is often used due to wide availability, safety, and low cost; esmolol can be used as an alternative to metoprolol for patients with relative contra-indications to beta blockers and given at dose of 0.8 mg/kg (can be repeated to achieve target heart rate) and has benefits of rapid onset of action and shorter half-life than metoprolol.

\*\*Nitroglycerin patch may also be administered 30 to 45 minutes prior to imaging as an alternative.<sup>23</sup>

If functional information is obtained, then specialized software can be used to navigate the four-dimensional data set and generate dynamic multiplanar or dynamic volume-rendered images. Segmentation of the ventricular cavities can be used to quantify ventricular volumes and systolic function. Artificial intelligence (AI) has been progressively integrated into routine image processing of cardiac CT studies and has been used for automated segmentation of ventricular volumes, atherosclerotic plaque characterization, and epicardial coronary artery segmentation and analysis, as well as epicardial fat volume assessment.<sup>24-29</sup> Use of AI is rapidly evolving, as are consensus discussions on standards of practice and how AI should be used in routine clinical practice. As such, we have currently refrained from issuing a statement on AI use for cardiac CT until more is known about standards and incremental benefits to workflow, accuracy and prognostic value of information provided.

#### Reporting Standards

The CCTA report should contain the relevant imaging findings, their interpretation, an overall summary/conclusion, and recommendations for further management, if appropriate. The components of a comprehensive report have previously been described in the literature.<sup>30-32</sup> For CCTA, the Coronary Artery Disease-Reporting and Data System (CAD-RADS 2.0) was created to standardize reporting and interpretation (Figure 1).<sup>33</sup> It includes management recommendations based on the most severe coronary plaque stenosis and the overall burden of coronary atherosclerosis.<sup>33</sup> A sample structured report is included in Appendix A.

# Cardiac CT Consensus Recommendations

# Coronary CTA

*Calcium Score.* Coronary artery calcium CT informs atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) risk stratification and guides preventive measures in asymptomatic individuals.<sup>34</sup> Coronary artery calcium (CAC) is an independent predictor of cardiovascular risk and multiple studies that incorporate CAC in risk models result in improved prediction of ASCVD events.<sup>35,36</sup> A calcium score of 0 has high negative predictive value for ASCVD events.<sup>37-39</sup>

The primary indication for coronary artery calcium CT is to assess ASCVD risk in asymptomatic, intermediate-risk individuals in whom the presence or absence of CAC would result in a reclassification into low or high-risk groups. The



Figure I. CAD-RADS 2.0 categories (A) CAD-RADS 0. No plaque or stenosis. (B) CAD-RADS 1/P1. Minimal stenosis (1%-24%). Mild plaque burden. (C) CAD-RADS 2/P2/HRP. Mild stenosis (25%-49%). Moderate plaque burden. High-risk plaque in the proximal LAD suggested by positive remodelling and spotty calcification. (D) CAD-RADS 3/P3. Moderate stenosis (50%-69%). Severe plaque burden. (E) CAD-RADS 4A/P3. Severe stenosis (70%-99%) without left main ≥50% or 3-vessel obstructive (≥70%) disease. Severe plaque burden. (F) CAD-RADS 5/P4. Total occlusion. Extensive plaque burden. Most severe stenosis depicted by arrows in B-F.

Canadian Cardiovascular Society Guidelines provide a strong recommendation to consider coronary artery calcium CT for asymptomatic adults 40 years of age or older who are at intermediate risk (Framingham Risk Score 10%-20%) and for whom treatment decisions are uncertain.<sup>34</sup> The guideline also provides a strong recommendation that coronary artery calcium CT is not indicated for high-risk individuals, patients already receiving statin treatment, or most asymptomatic, low-risk adults. The guideline provides a weak recommendation for CAC screening in a subset of low-risk individuals 40 years of age or older with a family history of premature ASCVD (specifically, men 55 years or younger and women 65 years or younger).<sup>34</sup>

The Agatston score is the best validated method for quantifying CAC.<sup>40</sup> Each calcified lesion with a peak intensity of 130 HU or more is assigned a factor from 1 to 4 based on its



**Figure 2.** (A) Calcium score CT was <100 in a 62-year-old asymptomatic male who was intermediate risk by Framingham risk scoring. All the calcium was found within the proximal left anterior descending coronary artery (arrow). It is not necessary to repeat a calcium score CT after an initial non-gated chest CT is completed, as shown in (B), as multiple studies have shown excellent correlation between non-gated chest CT qualitative scoring (mild, shown in B, arrow) and quantitative Agatston score.

peak intensity (1 for 130-199 HU, 2 for 200-299 HU, 3 for 300-399 HU, and 4 for 400 HU or more). This factor is then multiplied by the area of the lesion to obtain the lesion score. The total Agatston score is calculated by summing the scores of all individual lesions. CAC is commonly categorized as no CAC (Agatston score 0), mild (1-100), moderate (101-400), or severe (>400).<sup>40</sup> In addition to reporting the Agatston score, the age, sex, and race-specific CAC percentile, the number of vessels with CAC, and the presence of CAC in the left main coronary artery, is also recommended.

The Coronary Artery Calcium Data and Reporting System (CAC-DRS), proposed by the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, aims to standardize the reporting and communication of CAC scan results and guide patient management.<sup>41</sup> CAC-DRS is a structured reporting system that classifies patients into 4 categories—CAC-DRS 0, 1, 2, and 3—based on the Agatston score. Each category reflects an increasing burden of coronary calcification and escalating risk of future ASCVD events. CAC-DRS also includes modifiers indicating the number of vessels with CAC and whether scoring was based on qualitative visual estimation or quantitative Agatston score, the former to be used only for non-contrast, non-gated CT (Figure 2).

Lipid-lowering therapies may increase Agatston scores despite regression of non-calcific plaques. Serial CAC scans are not recommended,<sup>34</sup> but in patients with a CAC score of 0 on the index scan, repeat CAC testing can be considered in 5 to 7 years for low-risk individuals, 3 to 5 years for intermediate-risk individuals, and in approximately 3 years for high-risk individuals or for patients with diabetes only if a reassessment would impact clinical management.<sup>42</sup>

*Native Coronary Arteries.* CCTA has evolved as an effective, non-invasive imaging modality for the evaluation of patients with stable typical or atypical chest pain or anginal equivalent, assessment of coronary artery bypass graft patency, and assessment of coronary anomalies. CCTA's ability to assess coronary artery stenosis and plaque characteristics can guide immediate and long-term treatment strategies based on risk prognostication arising from the likelihood of future cardiovascular events.

A large number of comparative effectiveness studies and meta-analyses have validated the diagnostic accuracy of CCTA for detection of obstructive and non-obstructive CAD compared to invasive coronary angiography (ICA) and, more recently, invasive fractional flow reserve (FFR) as reference standards.43,44 CCTA, stress myocardial perfusion imaging with MRI, and PET each have higher sensitivity than SPECT and stress echocardiography for detecting >50% diameter stenosis (with ICA as the reference standard). They also have higher sensitivity than SPECT and stress echocardiography for detecting lesions with FFR  $\leq 0.80$  (with invasive FFR as the reference standard).<sup>44</sup> However, among these modalities, CCTA has the lowest specificity for lesions with FFR  $\leq 0.80$ . The addition of CT-Fractional Flow Reserve (CT-FFR) and CT perfusion to CCTA anatomic imaging can increase the specificity of CT to that of MRI and PET.43

Several studies have demonstrated the high sensitivity and negative predictive value of CCTA for acute coronary syndrome (ACS). CCTA is now recognized as a first-line imaging test for patients with acute chest pain and low-to-intermediate pretest probability for ACS.<sup>45</sup> Other guidelines do not recommend routine imaging investigations for low risk patients presenting to emergency departments with ACS symptoms.<sup>20</sup> However, subsequent outpatient testing with calcium score CT can be considered in select patient populations for risk prognostication. Randomized controlled trials have demonstrated that the use of CCTA can reduce time to diagnosis in acute chest pain, decrease length of hospital stay, and reduce costs by minimizing the need for further downstream testing.<sup>46,47</sup> In patients with a high pretest probability for ACS, CCTA may also be a reasonable diagnostic strategy compared to using early ICA due to high bleeding risk or patient preference. CCTA can add increased specificity to high sensitivity troponin assays, that can have false positives or borderline results.<sup>48</sup>

CAD-RADS provides a structured framework to interpret and report CCTA findings and aims to improve communication between cardiac imagers and referring physicians, standardize reporting practices, provide a framework for patient management decisions, and facilitate research in CAD. CAD-RADS categorizes CAD based on the highest grade coronary artery stenosis identified by CCTA (based on a reduction in luminal diameter as seen on multiplanar reformats),<sup>49-51</sup> with 6 categories: CAD-RADS 0 (absence of CAD; no coronary stenosis), CAD-RADS 1 (minimal non-obstructive CAD; 1%-24% maximal coronary stenosis), CAD-RADS 2 (mild non-obstructive CAD; maximal coronary stenosis 25%-49%), CAD-RADS 3 (moderate stenosis; maximal coronary stenosis 50%-69%), CAD-RADS 4 (severe stenosis; 70%-99% stenosis or left main  $\geq$ 50% or 3-vessel obstructive [ $\geq$ 70%] disease), and CAD-RADS 5 (total coronary occlusion; 100% stenosis) (Figure 1A-E).

CAD-RADS 2.0, published in 2022, introduces additional parameters including plaque burden and ischemia.<sup>33</sup> Like the original version, CAD-RADS 2.0 maintains coronary artery luminal stenosis as an estimate of reduction in luminal diameter, defined on a per-patient basis, as the central component of assessment upon which the numeric category is based. However, it goes a step further by introducing methods to estimate, quantify, and report overall plaque burden, aligning with growing evidence that plaque characteristics and burden play an important role in cardiovascular risk stratification. The update incorporates new P1 to P4 descriptors, denoting increasing categories of plaque burden. The modifier HRP (high risk plaque, previously V to indicate vulnerable plaque) should be used when 2 or more high risk features are present, including spotty calcifications, low attenuation plaque (less than 30 Hounsfield Units), positive remodelling, and the napkin ring sign (defined as low attenuation plaque representing a "necrotic core" that is surrounded by a rim of higher attenuation representing fibroatheroma or the thin fibrous cap that is prone to rupture). CAD-RADS 2.0 also includes an I modifier (ischemia) to indicate whether CT-FFR or myocardial CT perfusion demonstrates lesion-specific ischemia or a reversible perfusion defect, and an E modifier (exceptions) to indicate any nonatherosclerotic narrowing of the coronary arteries such as spontaneous coronary artery dissection, vasculitis or extrinsic compression.<sup>33</sup> Other modifiers include S (presence of a coronary artery stent), G (presence of a coronary artery bypass graft), and N (non-diagnostic study). CAD-RADS 2.0 also provides post-test recommendations based on the CAD-RADS classification, such as whether further diagnostic testing, therapeutic changes, or cardiology consultation is advised.<sup>33</sup>

Coronary Artery Stents. Coronary artery stent implantation is a standard therapeutic strategy for CAD. Although efficacious, stents are subject to complications such as in-stent re-stenosis and stent thrombosis. The assessment of coronary stents using CCTA was historically fraught with challenges, primarily due to the metallic stent causing beam-hardening and partial volume averaging (blooming artifacts) that limit visualization of the stent lumen. Stent size, stent composition, and the patient's heart rate during imaging substantially influence CCTA's performance for stent evaluation. As such, functional ischemic testing has generally been preferred over CTA in the assessment of symptomatic patients with coronary stents. However, advancements in CT technology, including increased detector rows and iterative reconstruction algorithms, have substantially improved CCTA's ability to evaluate stent patency. As a result, CCTA is a reasonable test for evaluating patients with coronary stents >3 mm, particularly with current generation drug-eluting stents that have struts <100 µm.<sup>52</sup> Stents with smaller diameters (<3 mm) are more challenging to assess, but CCTA may still be a reasonable test for assessing proximal, non-bifurcation thin strut stents that are <3 mm.<sup>53</sup>

*Coronary Artery Bypass Grafts.* Coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) remains a cornerstone in the treatment of multivessel and complex CAD. CTA offers a non-invasive and reliable method to assess the patency of coronary artery bypass grafts, including both arterial and venous grafts. CTA can accurately depict graft patency, anastomotic sites, and stenosis, and can help in presurgical planning of redo sternotomies to assess left internal mammary artery graft location and retrosternal adhesions. While CTA has excellent diagnostic accuracy for assessing graft stenosis and patency, assessment of the native coronary arteries is more limited in patients with prior CABG, often due to severe coronary artery calcification,<sup>54</sup> and a functional test may be most appropriate to identify protected and unprotected territories in these patients.

## Advanced Techniques

*Computed Tomography-Fractional Flow Reserve (CT-FFR).* FFR is the gold standard for identifying hemodynamically significant CAD and guiding revascularization strategies.<sup>55</sup> Traditionally, FFR measurement has been an invasive procedure, requiring cardiac catheterization and specialized pressure wires to determine hemodynamically important reductions in blood flow within the coronary arteries. Technological advancements have facilitated the calculation of FFR from standard CCTA, termed CT-FFR paving the way for non-invasive functional evaluation of CAD.<sup>56</sup>

CT-FFR is based on computational fluid dynamics to simulate blood flow and pressure in the coronary arteries from anatomical data derived from CCTA based on vessel attenuation.<sup>56</sup> This computational approach estimates the pressure



**Figure 3.** (A) Moderate stenosis of the mid LAD (CAD-RADS 3) with (B) a CT-FFR value of 0.72, corresponding to a hemodynamically significant lesion (arrow). (C) Moderate stenosis of the mid RCA (CAD-RADS 3) with (D) a CT-FFR value of 0.88, suggesting that the lesion is not hemodynamically significant (arrow). *Images courtesy of Brian Pogatchnik, MD, Stanford University.* 

drop across a stenosis under simulated hyperemic conditions, providing a ratio indicative of lesion-specific ischemia. CT-FFR values >0.80, mirroring invasive FFR, are considered normal (Figure 3), while values between 0.76 and 0.8 are borderline and values  $\leq 0.75$  are indicative of a hemodynamically significant lesion (Figure 4).<sup>57</sup>

Multiple studies have demonstrated the efficacy of CT-FFR in the assessment of CAD and the ability for CT-FFR to increase the specificity of CCTA.<sup>58,59</sup> Initial work has been dedicated to determining the role of CT-FFR in reducing the proportion of patients referred for ICA with anatomical stenoses on CCTA that are not hemodynamically significant. This



**Figure 4.** (A) Severe stenosis of the proximal LAD (CAD-RADS 4A, arrow), with (B) a reversible perfusion defect in the LAD territory seen on stress imaging, (C) but not on rest imaging, corresponding to myocardial ischemia. (D) Severe stenosis of the mid LCx (CAD-RADS 4A, arrow) with (E) no perfusion defect seen on stress imaging or (F) rest imaging. *Images courtesy of Brian Pogatchnik, MD, Stanford University.* 

reduces the number of patients referred for ICA with nonhemodynamically significant lesions and increases the number of patients appropriately referred for ICA for percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) of obstructive CAD. The ADVANCE registry demonstrated that the addition of CT-FFR resulted in a change in management strategy in 66.9% of patients.<sup>60</sup> Improved specificity by CT-FFR may be particularly important as CCTA is increasingly used for patients with higher pre-test probabilities and higher calcium burden that limits accurate anatomic assessment of stenosis. In addition to its role in reducing ICA utilization, there is an increasing role for CT-FFR to guide revascularization strategies to ensure full revascularization in complex CAD.<sup>61</sup>

CT-FFR has not yet been validated for ACS, recent myocardial infarction (within 30 days), coronary artery bypass grafts, coronary anomalies, coronary dissection, or transcatheter aortic valve replacement.<sup>62</sup> In addition, CT-FFR has not been validated in the presence of coronary stents, but can still be performed in other coronary arteries if there are no stents in the left main coronary artery or in 2 or more systems.<sup>62</sup>

Despite its demonstrated utility, CT-FFR is not without challenges. It requires high-quality CTA images and significant computational resources, often leading to off-site analyses, increased cost of testing and consequently time delays. CT-FFR is currently not widely used in Canada due to lack of availability and reimbursement by provincial health care plans.

Myocardial Perfusion and Viability. Myocardial computed tomography perfusion (CTP) imaging offers insight into myocardial blood supply complementing the anatomical data provided by traditional CCTA. The primary role of myocardial CTP is to evaluate the presence and extent of myocardial ischemia by visualizing myocardial distribution of iodinated contrast material at rest and after pharmacologically induced stress.<sup>62,63</sup> It is based on the principle that areas of myocardium supplied by stenosed coronary arteries will exhibit a relative decrease in contrast enhancement, particularly during stress conditions, compared to areas supplied by non-diseased arteries. By integrating functional information about myocardial perfusion with anatomical data on CCTA, myocardial CTP can enhance diagnostic accuracy and prognostic evaluation compared to CCTA alone (Figure 4). This is specific to a select patient population in which CTA demonstrates coronary artery stenosis of unknown hemodynamic significance, severe coronary artery calcification, or coronary stents. Despite the capabilities of myocardial CTP, it is also important to consider the associated challenges, including the need for pharmacological stress agents and additional radiation exposure, making careful patient selection critical.

Although MRI remains the predominant technique for assessing myocardial viability in patients with previous myocardial infarction, an additional CT acquisition 5 to 10 minutes after vascular contrast washout can assess myocardial scar.<sup>64</sup> While CT has a lower contrast to noise ratio compared to MRI, late enhancement CT imaging may be used as an alternative modality to evaluate myocardial viability in patients with MRI contraindications. *Mapping and ECV.* Extracellular volume fraction (ECV) is an important imaging parameter to estimate expansion of the myocardial extracellular space secondary to myocardial fibrosis or other infiltrative myocardial diseases.<sup>65</sup> While ECV measurements originated from MRI, recent advancements have enabled these measurements using cardiac CT. CT-based ECV measurements involve the acquisition of pre- and post-contrast images (or post-contrast images and virtual unenhanced images if acquired using dual-energy CT), allowing for the calculation of hematocrit-corrected ECV. The quantification of ECV using cardiac CT correlates well with MRI-derived ECV measurements<sup>66</sup> and histologic findings.<sup>67</sup> While preliminary studies have shown promising results, further research is needed to validate the clinical utility and prognostic significance of CT-derived ECV measurements in different patient populations.

# Conclusion

These practice guidelines have been revised to reflect technological advancements and changes in the use of cardiac CT since the first iteration published in 2009. As CT technology is constantly evolving and the use of AI will undoubtedly expand in future, these guidelines will undergo future revisions to include ongoing CT scanner innovations that shape and enhance the use of cardiac CT in clinical practice.

**Appendix A.** Sample structured report for coronary CTA. The structure may require modification depending on coronary anatomy, the presence of bypass grafts, etc. The CAD-RADS score may be included but should not replace the conclusion.

CTA CORONARY

STUDY INDICATION: []

COMPARISON: []

TECHNIQUE: Coronary CTA performed with [description of ECG gating, eg, prospective ECG gating]

MEDICATION: []

QUALITY: []

FINDINGS:

Normal coronary origins.

Coronary Dominance: []

Left main: []

Left anterior descending and branches: []

Left circumflex and branches: []

Right coronary and branches: []

Incidental findings: []

Conclusion: [Summary including most severe stenosis, extent of plaque, recommendations, significant incidental findings if any.]

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the members of the Canadian Association of Radiologists and the Canadian Association of Radiologists who took the time to provide their feedback and peer review during the drafting of these guidelines.

#### **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The author(s) declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Dr. Carole Dennie reported receiving payments for consultant fees from AstraZeneca and speaker honorarium from Boehringer-Ingelheim. Dr. Kate Hanneman reported receiving honorarium from Sanofi. No other authors declared potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

#### Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### **ORCID** iDs

Elsie T. Nguyen 😃	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6074-2968
Courtney R. Green	D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7870-0969
Kate Hanneman 问	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3077-2218
Carole Dennie ២ I	nttps://orcid.org/0000-0001-9612-6764

#### References

- Dennie CJ, Leipsic J, Brydie A; Canadian Association of Radiologists. Canadian Association of Radiologists: consensus guidelines and standards for cardiac CT. *Can Assoc Radiol J*. 2009;60(1):19-34. doi:10.1016/j.carj.2009.02.006
- Ghekiere O, Salgado R, Buls N, et al. Image quality in coronary CT angiography: challenges and technical solutions. *Br J Radiol.* 2017;90(1072):20160567. doi:10.1259/bjr.20160567
- Lewis MA, Pascoal A, Keevil SF, Lewis CA. Selecting a CT scanner for cardiac imaging: the heart of the matter. *Br J Radiol*. 2016;89(1065):20160376. doi:10.1259/bjr.20160376
- 4. American College of Radiology, North American Society of Cardiovascular Imaging, Society for Pediatric Radiology. ACR–NASCI–SPR practice parameter for the performance and interpretation of cardiac computed tomography (CT). 2021. Accessed July 10, 2023. https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/ Files/Practice-Parameters/CardiacCT.pdf
- Huda W, Rowlett WT, Schoepf UJ. Radiation dose at cardiac computed tomography: facts and fiction. *J Thorac Imaging*. 2010;25(3):204-212. doi:10.1097/RTI.0b013e3181cf8058
- Bárdyová Z, Horváthová M, Gomola I, Neuschl V, Šimková J. Precaution in the use of effective dose in coronary CT angiography. *Radiat Prot Dosimetry*. 2022;198(9-11):547-553. doi:10.1093/rpd/ncac097
- Hedgire S, Ghoshhajra B, Kalra M. Dose optimization in cardiac CT. *Phys Med.* 2017;41:97-103. doi:10.1016/j.ejmp.2017. 04.021
- Achenbach S, Manolopoulos M, Schuhbäck A, et al. Influence of heart rate and phase of the cardiac cycle on the occurrence of motion artifact in dual-source CT angiography of the coronary arteries. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr*. 2012;6(2):91-98. doi:10.1016/j.jcct.2011.11.006

- Abbara S, Blanke P, Maroules CD, et al. SCCT guidelines for the performance and acquisition of coronary computed tomographic angiography: a report of the society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography Guidelines Committee: Endorsed by the North American Society for Cardiovascular Imaging (NASCI). *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr*. 2016;10(6):435-449. doi:10.1016/j.jcct.2016.10.002
- Henzler T, Hanley M, Arnoldi E, Bastarrika G, Schoepf UJ, Becker HC. Practical strategies for low radiation dose cardiac computed tomography. *J Thorac Imaging*. 2010;25(3):213-220. doi:10.1097/RTI.0b013e3181ec9096
- Ochs MM, Andre F, Korosoglou G, et al. Strengths and limitations of coronary angiography with turbo high-pitch thirdgeneration dual-source CT. *Clin Radiol.* 2017;72(9):739-744. doi:10.1016/j.crad.2017.03.010
- Willemink MJ, Noël PB. The evolution of image reconstruction for CT-from filtered back projection to artificial intelligence. *Eur Radiol.* 2019;29(5):2185-2195. doi:10.1007/s00330-018-5810-7
- Naoum C, Blanke P, Leipsic J. Iterative reconstruction in cardiac CT. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2015;9(4):255-263. doi:10.1016/j.jcct.2015.04.004
- Singh S, Khawaja RDA, Pourjabbar S, Padole A, Lira D, Kalra MK. Iterative image reconstruction and its role in cardiothoracic computed tomography. *J Thorac Imaging*. 2013;28(6):355-367. doi:10.1097/RTI.00000000000054
- Li W, Diao K, Wen Y, et al. High-strength deep learning image reconstruction in coronary CT angiography at 70-kVp tube voltage significantly improves image quality and reduces both radiation and contrast doses. *Eur Radiol.* 2022;32(5):2912-2920. doi:10.1007/s00330-021-08424-5
- Demircioğlu A, Bos D, Demircioğlu E, et al. Deep learning– based scan range optimization can reduce radiation exposure in coronary CT angiography. *Eur Radiol*. 2024;34(1):411-421. doi:10.1007/s00330-023-09971-9
- Stocker TJ, Deseive S, Leipsic J, et al. Reduction in radiation exposure in cardiovascular computed tomography imaging: results from the PROspective multicenter registry on radiaTion dose Estimates of cardiac CT anglOgraphy iN daily practice in 2017 (PROTECTION VI). *Eur Heart J.* 2018;39(41):3715-3723. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehy546
- Scholtz JE, Ghoshhajra B. Advances in cardiac CT contrast injection and acquisition protocols. *Cardiovasc Diagn Ther*. 2017;7(5):439-451. doi:10.21037/cdt.2017.06.07
- Rovere G, Meduri A, Savino G, et al. Practical instructions for using drugs in CT and MR cardiac imaging. *Radiol Med.* 2021;126(3):356-364. doi:10.1007/s11547-020-01261-4
- Gulati M, Levy PD, Mukherjee D, et al. 2021 AHA/ACC/ ASE/CHEST/SAEM/SCCT/SCMR guideline for the evaluation and diagnosis of chest pain: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2021;144(22):e368-e454. doi:10.1161/CIR.000000000001029
- Sadamatsu K, Koide S, Nakano K, Yoshida K. Heart rate control with single administration of a long-acting β-blocker at bedtime before coronary computed tomography angiography. *J Cardiol.* 2015;65(4):293-297. doi:10.1016/j.jjcc.2014.07.007
- Clayton B, Raju V, Roobottom C, Morgan-Hughes G. Safety of intravenous β-adrenoceptor blockers for computed tomographic coronary angiography. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2015;79(3):533-536. doi:10.1111/bcp.12516

- Scholtz JE, Baliyan V, Hedgire S, et al. Randomized trial comparing transdermal with sublingual nitroglycerin administration for coronary vasodilation in CTA. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2019;12(9):1890-1893. doi:10.1016/j.jcmg.2019.02.027
- Lin A, Kolossváry M, Cadet S, et al. Radiomics-based precision phenotyping identifies unstable coronary plaques from computed tomography angiography. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2022;15(5):859-871. doi:10.1016/j.jcmg.2021.11.016
- Dey D, Slomka PJ, Leeson P, et al. Artificial intelligence in cardiovascular imaging: JACC state-of-the-art review. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;73(11):1317-1335. doi:10.1016/j. jacc.2018.12.054
- Choi AD, Marques H, Kumar V, et al. CT Evaluation by Artificial Intelligence for Atherosclerosis, Stenosis and Vascular Morphology (CLARIFY): a multi-center, international study. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr*. 2021;15(6):470-476. doi:10.1016/j.jcct.2021.05.004
- Glessgen CG, Boulougouri M, Vallée JP, et al. Artificial intelligence-based opportunistic detection of coronary artery stenosis on aortic computed tomography angiography in emergency department patients with acute chest pain. *Eur Heart J Open*. 2023;3(5):oead088. doi:10.1093/ehjopen/oead088
- Mu D, Bai J, Chen W, et al. Calcium scoring at coronary CT angiography using deep learning. *Radiology*. 2022;302(2):309-316. doi:10.1148/radiol.2021211483
- West HW, Siddique M, Williams MC, et al. Deep-learning for epicardial adipose tissue assessment with computed tomography: implications for cardiovascular risk prediction. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2023;16(6):800-816. doi:10.1016/j. jcmg.2022.11.018
- Hartung MP, Bickle IC, Gaillard F, Kanne JP. How to create a great radiology report. *Radiographics*. 2020;40(6):1658-1670. doi:10.1148/rg.2020200020
- Canadian Association of Radiologists. CAR standard for communication of diagnostic imaging findings. 2010. Accessed July 10, 2023. https://car.ca/wp-content/uploads/Communicationof-Diagnostic-Imaging-Findings.pdf
- American College of Radiology. ACR practice parameter for communication of diagnostic imaging findings. 2020. Accessed July 10, 2023. https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/CommunicationDiag.pdf
- 33. Cury RC, Leipsic J, Abbara S, et al. CAD-RADS<sup>™</sup> 2.0 -2022 coronary artery disease-reporting and data system: an expert consensus document of the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography (SCCT), the American College of Cardiology (ACC), the American College of Radiology (ACR), and the North America Society of Cardiovascular Imaging (NASCI). J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2022;16(6):536-557. doi:10.1016/j.jcct.2022.07.002
- 34. Anderson TJ, Grégoire J, Pearson GJ, et al. 2016 Canadian Cardiovascular Society guidelines for the management of dyslipidemia for the prevention of cardiovascular disease in the adult. *Can J Cardiol*. 2016;32(11):1263-1282. doi:10.1016/j. cjca.2016.07.510
- Polonsky TS, McClelland RL, Jorgensen NW, et al. Coronary artery calcium score and risk classification for coronary heart disease prediction. *JAMA*. 2010;303(16):1610-1616. doi:10.1001/jama.2010.461
- 36. McClelland RL, Jorgensen NW, Budoff M, et al. 10-year coronary heart disease risk prediction using coronary artery calcium

and traditional risk factors: derivation in the MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis) with validation in the HNR (Heinz Nixdorf Recall) study and the DHS (Dallas Heart Study). *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2015;66(15):1643-1653. doi:10.1016/j. jacc.2015.08.035

- Blaha M, Budoff MJ, Shaw LJ, et al. Absence of coronary artery calcification and all-cause mortality. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2009;2(6):692-700. doi:10.1016/j.jcmg.2009.03.009
- Blaha MJ, Cainzos-Achirica M, Greenland P, et al. Role of coronary artery calcium score of zero and other negative risk markers for cardiovascular disease: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Circulation*. 2016;133(9):849-858. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.018524
- Blaha MJ, Cainzos-Achirica M, Dardari Z, et al. All-cause and cause-specific mortality in individuals with zero and minimal coronary artery calcium: a long-term, competing risk analysis in the Coronary Artery Calcium Consortium. *Atherosclerosis*. 2020;294:72-79. doi:10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2019.11.008
- Agatston AS, Janowitz WR, Hildner FJ, Zusmer NR, Viamonte M, Detrano R. Quantification of coronary artery calcium using ultrafast computed tomography. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1990;15(4):827-832. doi:10.1016/0735-1097(90)90282-t
- Hecht HS, Blaha MJ, Kazerooni EA, et al. CAC-DRS: coronary artery calcium data and reporting system. An expert consensus document of the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography (SCCT). *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr.* 2018;12(3):185-191. doi:10.1016/j.jcct.2018.03.008
- Obisesan OH, Osei AD, Uddin SMI, Dzaye O, Blaha MJ. An update on coronary artery calcium interpretation at chest and cardiac CT. *Radiol Cardiothorac Imaging*. 2021;3(1):e200484. doi:10.1148/ryct.2021200484
- 43. Gonzalez JA, Lipinski MJ, Flors L, Shaw PW, Kramer CM, Salerno M. Meta-analysis of diagnostic performance of coronary computed tomography angiography, computed tomography perfusion, and computed tomography-fractional flow reserve in functional myocardial ischemia assessment versus invasive fractional flow reserve. *Am J Cardiol.* 2015;116(9):1469-1478. doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2015.07.078
- 44. Takx RAP, Blomberg BA, El Aidi H, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of stress myocardial perfusion imaging compared to invasive coronary angiography with fractional flow reserve meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2015;8(1):e002666. doi:10.1161/ CIRCIMAGING.114.002666
- 45. Maroules CD, Rybicki FJ, Ghoshhajra BB, et al. 2022 use of coronary computed tomographic angiography for patients presenting with acute chest pain to the emergency department: an expert consensus document of the Society of cardiovascular computed tomography (SCCT): endorsed by the American College of Radiology (ACR) and North American Society for cardiovascular Imaging (NASCI). J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2023;17(2):146-163. doi:10.1016/j.jcct.2022.09.003
- Hoffmann U, Truong QA, Schoenfeld DA, et al. Coronary CT angiography versus standard evaluation in acute chest pain. N Engl J Med. 2012;367(4):299-308. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1201161
- Litt HI, Gatsonis C, Snyder B, et al. CT Angiography for safe discharge of patients with possible acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;366(15):1393-1403. doi:10.1056/ NEJMoa1201163
- 48. Ferencik M, Liu T, Mayrhofer T, et al. hs-Troponin I followed by CT angiography improves acute coronary syndrome risk

stratification accuracy and work-up in acute chest pain patients: results from ROMICAT II Trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2015;8(11):1272-1281. doi:10.1016/j.jcmg.2015.06.016

- Canan A, Ranganath P, Goerne H, Abbara S, Landeras L, Rajiah P. CAD-RADS: pushing the limits. *Radiographics*. 2020;40(3):629-652. doi:10.1148/rg.2020190164
- Leipsic J, Abbara S, Achenbach S, et al. SCCT guidelines for the interpretation and reporting of coronary CT angiography: a report of the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography Guidelines Committee. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2014;8(5):342-358. doi:10.1016/j.jcct.2014.07.003
- 51. Cury RC, Abbara S, Achenbach S, et al. CAD-RADS<sup>™</sup> coronary artery disease reporting and data system. An expert consensus document of the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography (SCCT), the American College of Radiology (ACR) and the North American Society for Cardiovascular Imaging (NASCI). Endorsed by the American College of Cardiology. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2016;10(4):269-281. doi:10.1016/j.jcct.2016.04.005
- Dai T, Wang JR, Hu PF. Diagnostic performance of computed tomography angiography in the detection of coronary artery instent restenosis: evidence from an updated meta-analysis. *Eur Radiol.* 2018;28(4):1373-1382. doi:10.1007/s00330-017-5097-0
- Xu C, Yi Y, Xu M, et al. Coronary artery stent evaluation by CTA: impact of deep learning reconstruction and subtraction technique. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2023;220(1):63-72. doi:10.2214/AJR.22.27983
- Weustink AC, Nieman K, Pugliese F, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of computed tomography angiography in patients after bypass grafting: comparison with invasive coronary angiography. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2009;2(7):816-824. doi:10.1016/j. jcmg.2009.02.010
- Takagi H, Leipsic JA, McNamara N, et al. Trans-lesional fractional flow reserve gradient as derived from coronary CT improves patient management: ADVANCE registry. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2022;16(1):19-26. doi:10.1016/j. jcct.2021.08.003
- Taylor CA, Fonte TA, Min JK. Computational fluid dynamics applied to cardiac computed tomography for noninvasive quantification of fractional flow reserve: scientific basis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;61(22):2233-2241. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2012.11.083
- 57. Rajiah P, Cummings KW, Williamson E, Young PM. CT fractional flow reserve: a practical guide to application,

interpretation, and problem solving. *Radiographics*. 2022;42(2): 340-358. doi:10.1148/rg.210097

- Nørgaard BL, Gaur S, Fairbairn TA, et al. Prognostic value of coronary computed tomography angiographic derived fractional flow reserve: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart Br Card Soc.* 2022;108(3):194-202. doi:10.1136/ heartjnl-2021-319773
- Cook CM, Petraco R, Shun-Shin MJ, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of computed tomography-derived fractional flow reserve: a systematic review. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2017;2(7):803-810. doi:10.1001/jamacardio.2017.1314
- Fairbairn TA, Nieman K, Akasaka T, et al. Real-world clinical utility and impact on clinical decision-making of coronary computed tomography angiography-derived fractional flow reserve: lessons from the ADVANCE Registry. *Eur Heart J.* 2018;39(41):3701-3711. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehy530
- Leipsic J, Weir-McCall J, Blanke P. FFRCT for complex coronary artery disease treatment planning: new opportunities. *Interv Cardiol.* 2018;13(3):126-128. doi:10.15420/icr.2018.14.3
- Patel AR, Bamberg F, Branch K, et al. Society of cardiovascular computed tomography expert consensus document on myocardial computed tomography perfusion imaging. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2020;14(1):87-100. doi:10.1016/j. jcct.2019.10.003
- Rossi A, Merkus D, Klotz E, Mollet N, de Feyter PJ, Krestin GP. Stress myocardial perfusion: imaging with multidetector CT. *Radiology*. 2014;270(1):25-46. doi:10.1148/radiol.13112739
- Branch KR, Haley RD, Bittencourt MS, Patel AR, Hulten E, Blankstein R. Myocardial computed tomography perfusion. *Cardiovasc Diagn Ther.* 2017;7(5):452-462. doi:10.21037/ cdt.2017.06.11
- Miller CA, Naish JH, Bishop P, et al. Comprehensive validation of cardiovascular magnetic resonance techniques for the assessment of myocardial extracellular volume. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2013;6(3):373-383. doi:10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.112.000192
- 66. Lee HJ, Im DJ, Youn JC, et al. Myocardial extracellular volume fraction with dual-energy equilibrium contrast-enhanced cardiac CT in nonischemic cardiomyopathy: a prospective comparison with cardiac MR imaging. *Radiology*. 2016;280(1):49-57. doi:10.1148/radiol.2016151289
- Bandula S, White SK, Flett AS, et al. Measurement of myocardial extracellular volume fraction by using equilibrium contrastenhanced CT: validation against histologic findings. *Radiology*. 2013;269(2):396-403. doi:10.1148/radiology.13130130