



GFCH 2023



Cytogenetics in the management of B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia: Guidelines from the Groupe Francophone de Cytogénétique Hématologique (GFCH)

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords

B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia
Cytogenetics
Cytogenomics
WHO classification
ICC classification

ABSTRACT

Cytogenetic analysis is mandatory at initial assessment of B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL) due to its diagnostic and prognostic value. Results from chromosome banding analysis and complementary FISH are taken into account in therapeutic protocols and further completed by other techniques (RT-PCR, SNP-array, MLPA, NGS, OGM). Indeed, new genomic entities have been identified by NGS, mostly RNA sequencing, such as Ph-like ALL that can benefit from targeted therapy.

Here, we have attempted to establish cytogenetic guidelines by reviewing the most recent published data including the novel 5th World Health Organization and International Consensus Classifications. We also focused on newly described cytogenomic entities and indicate alternative diagnostic tools such as NGS technology, as its importance is vastly increasing in the diagnostic setting.

1. Introduction

B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) is a malignant proliferation of immature B lymphoid cells in bone marrow (BM). These leukemic cells (blast cells or blasts) can invade peripheral blood, lymphoid organs - lymph nodes, spleen, and/or the central nervous system (CNS). B-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma is the lymphoma counterpart of B-ALL: a prominent infiltration of lymphoid organs with only minimal BM invasion (less than 20 % blasts), a rare event.

While ALL is a rare disease (40 cases/year/million inhabitants), it represents the most frequent childhood cancer. Pediatric cases correspond to 75 % of ALL cases. B-ALL is more frequent than T-ALL, accounting for 85 % and 75 % of ALL cases in children and adults, respectively.

B-ALL is heterogeneous at the cytogenomic level. Numerous recurrent primary cytogenetic abnormalities (CAs) define specific entities recognized in the successive World Health Organization (WHO) classifications and in the recent International Consensus Classification due their prognostic and/or theranostic value [1,2].

B-ALL prognosis has greatly improved by risk-adapted therapy according to cytogenetics and measurable residual disease (MRD) which are the most powerful predictors of outcome. Five-year overall survival (OS) and event-free survival (EFS) reach 90 % and 85 % in children [3, 4]. However, in adults, cure rates do not exceed 60 % [5].

Cytogenetics is thus required at diagnosis but chromosome banding analysis (CBA) in ALL can be hampered by poor chromosome morphology and/or low mitotic index and is therefore always complemented with FISH analysis and/or molecular investigations. Indeed, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA), chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA) and optical genome mapping (OGM)

can identify copy number variations (CNVs) including cytogenetically cryptic CNVs with prognostic value. Additionally, OGM and next generation sequencing (NGS) (RNA and DNA sequencing) can detect structural variants (SVs) such as fusion genes. Finally, NGS may identify mutations that are entity-defining or represent secondary genetic abnormalities with potential prognostic value [1,2].

Here, we propose guidelines for an optimal cytogenomic diagnosis in B-ALL based on a thorough review of the most recent data in literature, mainly focusing on cytogenetics as a cost-effective and validated method allowing a rapid diagnosis of most of the cytogenomic entities taken into account in the current therapeutic protocols.

2. Immunophenotypic features and their relationship with cytogenetic entities (Table 1)

B-ALL is characterized by expression of at least two early B cell markers CD19, CD22, cytoplasmic (c) CD79a. Expression of CD10 and cytoplasmic (c) immunoglobulin (Ig) μ chain allows the EGIL classification based on B-ALL blasts maturation degree [6]. Furthermore, correlations are observed between blasts immunophenotype and CAs [1].

Pro-B ALL (or EGIL B-I type) represents the most immature B-ALL stage, characterized by CD34 expression and no or low CD10 expression. Pro-B ALL frequently harbors t(v;11q23) with *KMT2A* rearrangements (-r) and less frequently *ZNF384-r* [1].

Common-B-ALL (pre-pre-B ALL or EGIL B-II type) with CD10 expression represents the next stage of B-ALL maturation and the largest phenotypic subgroup, associated with the two largest pediatric genetic subtypes, high hyperdiploidy (HeH) and t(12;21)(p13.2;q22.1)/*ETV6::RUNX1* and with the largest adult subgroup, the Philadelphia (Ph) positive ALL. In the two latest subgroups, aberrant expression of myeloid

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.retram.2023.103434>

Received 9 July 2023; Received in revised form 20 October 2023; Accepted 22 November 2023

Available online 24 November 2023

2452-3186/© 2023 Published by Elsevier Masson SAS.

markers (CD13 and/or CD33) can be observed [1].

Of note, co-expression of B-ALL and myeloid markers, such as cMPO or monocytic markers, can lead to a B/M mixed phenotype acute leukemia (MPAL). *KMT2A-r* and Ph-positive B/M MPAL are WHO recognized entities representing 15 % of B/M MPAL [1]. Other B-ALL defined genetic entities such as *ZNF384-r*, *DUX4-r* and *PAX5P80R* can present as B/M MPAL. These latter entities and the *KMT2Ar* B-ALL or B/M MPAL can switch to a myeloid or monocytic AML after therapy, especially after anti CD19 or CAR-T cells therapy [1].

Pre-B-ALL (or EGIL B-III type) is characterized by the μ chain expression and frequently associated with t(1;19)(q23;p13)/*TCF3::PBX1* [1].

Of note, the Burkitt mature B-cell acute leukemia/lymphoma expressing cell surface Ig light chains (previously EGIL B-IV type) is no longer included among B-ALL [1]. This entity is characterized by *IG::MYC* juxtaposition but it is important to note that this CA can also be observed in pre-B or common B-ALL [7].

3. Genetic abnormalities

Cytogenetic abnormalities (CAs) and molecular abnormalities can be primary or secondary. Primary CAs are mainly specific for a subtype of B-ALL recognized as a classical or a newly described WHO entity [1]. The latter are often referred to as B-other ALL and include Ph-like ALL. Secondary CAs as additional CAs (ACAs) represent clonal evolution and can be part of a complex karyotype (CK).

3.1. Primary cytogenetic and molecular abnormalities (Table 1 and 2)

3.1.1. Classical cytogenetic abnormalities

3.1.1.1. Numerical abnormalities. Two main entities are recognized by the WHO classification [1] according to the blast cells modal chromosome number (MCN):

- High hyperdiploidy (HeH): MCN ranging from 51 to 67.
- Hypodiploidy encompassing two different entities:
 - Low-hypodiploidy (LH): MCN ranging from 30 to 40.
 - Near haploidy (NH): MCN ranging from 23 to 29.

HeH is the most frequent cytogenetic entity in pediatric B-ALL, accounting for 25–35 % of cases and associated with a favorable prognosis [3]. In adults, the frequency is much lower: 6–7 % of Ph negative B-ALL and 2–4 % of total B-ALL [8,9]. Classical HeH is defined by a MCN of at least 54, with a characteristic cytogenetic profile: gain of chromosomes X, 4, 6, 10, 14, 17, 18 and 21 in the absence of another stratifying cytogenetic abnormality such as Ph chromosome. Typically, HeH cases lack or present with non-specific structural abnormalities (mainly +1q and del(6q)). An elevated MCN as well as the presence of “favorable” trisomies such as trisomy 4, 10, 17 and 18 have been associated with a better prognosis [10,11]. Conversely, cases lacking trisomy 17 and 18 or displaying only one of these trisomies and trisomy 5 or 20 have been associated with a poorer prognosis [12]. On the other hand, mutations in the *SETD2* epigenetic regulator gene have been associated with a less favorable outcome [13].

LH and NH share some cytogenetic features: loss of chromosomes 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 12, 13, 15 and 17 as well as the propensity to undergo endoreduplication leading to tetrasomies of retained chromosomes and uniparental disomies (UPD) of chromosomes that were lost in the hypodiploid clone. This doubling clone can be present at diagnosis either with the hypodiploid clone or alone as a “masked hypodiploid clone”. The typical chromosomal profile is therefore very helpful to distinguish a masked hypodiploid clone (disomies and tetrasomies of characteristic chromosomes) from a HeH clone (mainly trisomies except for chromosome 21, frequently tetrasomic). Of note, the doubling clone in the LH

entity leads to a near-triploid (60–80) modal number, thus LH is also named low hypodiploidy/near triploidy (Ho-Tr) (for review see Ref. [14]).

LH and NH share the same frequency in children (around 0.5 % of ALL) with a median age of 11.5 years and 5 years, respectively [14]. In adults, the frequency of LH is 6–9 % of B-ALL [8,9] increasing with age. No cases of NH have been described after the age of 19 years.

Although LH and NH cases share a poor prognosis, their molecular profile is clearly distinct. The most striking molecular feature, present in 91 % LH cases, is a *TP53* mutation, being constitutional in half of the pediatric cases (see joint article) [15,16].

3.1.1.2. Classical structural abnormalities

t(9;22)(q34;q11)/BCR::ABL1 (Ph-positive ALL). Philadelphia (Ph) chromosome resulting from t(9;22)(q34;q11), the hallmark of chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), is relatively rare in pediatric B-ALL (3 %), but is the most frequent CA in adult B-ALL with an overall incidence of 20–25 %, increasing with age, reaching over 50 % in patients over 50. The phenotype is mostly common B-ALL with frequent expression of aberrant myeloid markers (CD13 and/or CD33).

The t(9;22)(q34;q11) involves the tyrosine kinase *ABL1* (9q34) and the *BCR* genes (22q11) with two main types of *BCR* breakpoints and *BCR::ABL1* transcripts, mBCR (66 %) and MBCR (33 %), both leading to *ABL1* constitutive activation. Ph-positive B-ALL was previously associated with a poor prognosis but addition of tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) to the therapeutic regimen has dramatically improved patients outcome [17]. ACAs are observed in 60–70 % of Ph positive B-ALL and include +der(22)t(9;22), +8, del(7p)/-7, del(9p)/-9 and high hyperdiploidy (51–65 chromosomes) [18–20]. The latest, with +2 being a hallmark, must be distinguished from good prognosis Ph-negative HeH.

The prognostic value of ACAs in the TKI era is not established. In adult Ph-ALL, presence of ACAs per se had either no prognostic [20–22] or a poor prognostic value [23,24]. Among ACAs, -9/del(9p) and/or +der(22), in the absence of high hyperdiploidy [25] and monosomal karyotype (MK) mainly due to -7 or -9 [20,23,24] have been associated with a poor prognostic value. More recent studies in adult Ph-ALL have focused on copy number alterations (CNA), mainly del(7p)/*IKZF1* (7p12) deletion and del(9p)/*CDKN2A/B* (9p21) and *PAX5* (9p13) deletions, but their prognostic value is still discussed [9,26–28].

CBA and *BCR::ABL1* FISH are mandatory in B-ALL within one week after diagnosis in order to ensure rapid diagnosis of Ph-positive ALL and tailored TKI-based treatment. MRD evaluation based on *BCR::ABL1* transcript and *IG/TCR* quantification is mandatory. Recently, discrepancies between these two MRD techniques have led to the identification of a CML-like ALL subtype resembling CML blast phase with potential clinical implications [29–31].

t(v;11q23)/KMT2A-r. *KMT2A* rearrangements (*KMT2A-r*) represent around 10% of pediatric and adult B-ALL [32]. Half of cases occur in infants (80% of infant cases), then decrease in children and young adults (4 %) and peak again in older adults (15%). *KMT2A-r* ALL mainly present with high WBC counts, a pro-B phenotype with frequent aberrant myeloid markers and can present as a B/M MPAL [1]. More than one hundred translocation 3'partner genes have been identified [32]. Among *KMT2A-r*, t(4;11)(q21;q23)/*KMT2A::AFF1* is the most common (~50%), followed by t(11;19)(q23;p13.3)/*KMT2A::MLLT1* (22%), t(9;11)(p22;q23)/*KMT2A::MLLT3* (16%) and t(10;11)(p12;q23)/*KMT2A::MLLT10* (6%) [33]. Of note, deletion of the 3'part of *KMT2A* is detected by FISH in about 10% of *KMT2A-r* cases leading to an atypical FISH pattern [34].

KMT2A-r ALL present with low ACAs frequency, mainly +X [8] and del(9p21)/*CDKN2A/B* [9].

Interestingly, t(4;11) infants harbor a low mutational burden consistent with the known oncogenic potency of *KMT2A* fusion proteins and the very short disease latency in infants [35].

KMT2A-r ALL identifies a group of patients with poor prognosis

[8–10,33,36]. Adjunction of blinatumomab, a bispecific T-cell engager molecule targeting CD19, to standard chemotherapy has shown safety and efficacy in *KMT2A-r* ALL infants [37]. Moreover, *KMT2A-r* B-ALL blast cells are sensitive to BCL2 (Venetoclax), menin, DOTL1 and proteasome inhibitors, pointing to opportunities for targeted therapies [38–40].

t(12;21)(p13;q22)/ETV6::RUNX1. The *t(12;21)(p13;q22)* is a cryptic CA identified in ~25% of pediatric B-ALL cases, most frequently in young children, rarely in infants and exceptionally in adults after the age of 25 years. The characteristic immunophenotype is common B-ALL with frequent aberrant myeloid markers (CD13 and/or CD33) [1]. The translocation results in an in-frame fusion between 5'telomeric *ETV6* (12p13) and 3'centromeric *RUNX1* sequences (21q22) readily detectable by FISH or RT-PCR methods [1,2].

Although the *t(12;21)* originates *in utero*, gene fusion alone is not likely to cause overt leukemia, since around 1 % of healthy newborns are diagnosed with this translocation [41].

Patients with *t(12;21)* have a very good prognosis and excellent response to treatment [3,4].

ACAs are found in around 90% of cases, the most common being *del(12p)/ETV6* deletion. Other ACAs include *del(9p)/CDKN2A/B* deletion, *del(6q)*, +21 as duplication of the normal or the derivative 21, and tetraploidy [42] with a high diversity in subclones [43] but no prognostic impact [44]. Conversely, secondary mutations in the *TBL1XR1* epigenetic regulator gene have been associated with a less favorable outcome [13].

Intrachromosomal amplification of chromosome 21: iAMP21. B-ALL with intrachromosomal amplification of chromosome 21 (*iAMP21-ALL*) is a distinct WHO entity [1] occurring in 2% pediatric and 1% adult B-ALL, mainly older children and young adults [45–47]. *iAMP21* is a high risk form of B-ALL but intensive therapy can greatly improve patients' outcome [47]. The *iAMP21* chromosome is an abnormal chromosome 21 generated *via* breakage-fusion-bridge cycles and chromothripsis (CTH) leading to amplification, inversion and deletion of multiple regions of chromosome 21q [48]. The classical feature of *iAMP21-ALL* is amplification of *RUNX1* (21q22.1) and FISH probes targeting *RUNX1* remain a reliable detection tool for *iAMP21-ALL*. The classical FISH pattern is at least 3 extra *RUNX1* copies on an abnormal chromosome 21 and/or, on nuclei, at least 5 *RUNX1* copies of which at least 4 are clustered [49]. The diagnosis is suspected on karyotype by the existence of an abnormal chromosome 21 or *der(21)* [47] and is confirmed by FISH and CMA showing the coexistence of amplified (*RUNX1*, *ERG*,...) and deleted (mainly subtelomeric) genes on chromosome 21 [49].

Recently, the COG group has shown the existence of atypical or "unusual" forms with extra copies of the *RUNX1* gene located either on a chromosome different from chromosome 21 or on the derivative 21 but in a lesser number [50]. CMA and/or FISH with an *ERG* (21q22.2) probe can be helpful for the detection of these rare cases. Indeed, recent studies have shown a 7.8 Mb common region of amplification including *RUNX1* and *ERG* genes [51].

ACAs are present in about 80 % *iAMP21* cases, mainly within a CK. Main ACAs are +X, and structural abnormalities of chromosomes 1q, 7q, 9p, 11q and 12p [47,52]. CMA and NGS studies have shown frequent deletions involving *RBT1*, *IKZF1*, *ETV6*, *CDKN2A/B*, and *SH2B3*, *P2RY8::CRLF2* fusion and a high burden of mutations mainly in the RAS signalling pathway [49,51,53,54].

Of note, children with a constitutional structural abnormality of chromosome 21 such as the *der(15;21)* Robertsonian translocation, ring (21) and isodicentric (21)(q22) are predisposed to *iAMP21-ALL* (see joint article) [48,50,55,56].

t(1;19)(q23;p13)/TCF3::PBX1. The *t(1;19)(q23;p13)* translocation fusing the 5'part of the *TCF3* gene (19p13.3) with the 3' part of the *PBX1* gene (1q23.3) [57], accounts for 3 %–5 % pediatric and adult B-ALL, mainly pre-B ALL [1].

Both balanced *t(1;19)* and *der(19)t(1;19)* occur, the unbalanced

form being prevalent. Both forms which have been initially associated with poor prognosis and increased risk of CNS relapse, are now, due to treatment intensification, associated with a favorable to intermediate risk [3,8–10].

Most cases present with ACAs, mainly *del(9p)* frequently as an *i(9)(q10)* and 1q gains [8,9].

Of note, *t(1;19)* resembling *t(1;19)(q23;p13)* at the CBA level, but *TCF3::PBX1* negative at the molecular level, can occur either as a secondary abnormality in HeH and Ph-positive ALL or as a primary CA involving *MEF2D::DAZAP1* (see *MEF2D-r* chapter). Consequently, all *t(1;19)* cases have to be checked by FISH or another molecular method [57].

t(17;19)(q22;p13)/TCF3::HLF. This primary CA is difficult to detect by CBA and, in some cases, only the *der(19)t(17;19)* is observed. FISH using *TCF3* break apart or *TCF3::HLF* probe or other molecular technique are necessary for identification [1,57,58].

This very rare WHO entity (<1 % B-ALL), associated with a dismal prognosis, is occurring mainly in adolescents and young adults (AYA) that present with high WBC, hypercalcemia, disseminated intravascular coagulation and CNS involvement [3,58–60]. *TCF3::HLF* positive cells have shown a striking sensitivity to the BCL2-inhibitor Venetoclax that can be combined with the bispecific anti CD19 monoclonal antibody (Blinatumomab) as a bridge to allogeneic HSCT [60,61].

t(5;14)(q31;q32)/IGH::IL3. In this very rare entity, the juxtaposition of the *IGH* locus (14q32) to the interleukin-3 (*IL3*) gene (5q31), induces an *IL3* overproduction leading to an important eosinophilia that can impair the detection of blast cells and/or CA in the bone marrow. The outcome depends on both eosinophilia-induced damage and acute leukemia. Most patients are AYA, mainly males. *IKZF1* deletions are frequent and the prognosis is considered as intermediate [1,62].

3.1.2. B-other and Ph-like ALL (Tables 1 and 2)

3.1.2.1. Ph-like/BCR::ABL1-like ALL. Ph-like ALL represents approximately 12 % and 25 % of childhood and adult B-ALL cases, respectively, mainly found in AYA. This WHO subtype shares a « kinase-activated » gene expression signature with Ph-positive ALL but lacks the *t(9;22)(q34;q22)/BCR::ABL1* fusion [63,64]. Over 70 genetic aberrations, CAs and mutations, targeting kinase genes have been identified. Like in Ph-positive ALL, *IKZF1* alterations are frequently found in this entity [63–65].

Two main subtypes of Ph-like ALL are currently recognized, based on expression pattern similarities and TKI sensitivity [66–68].

- Fusions involving ABL-class genes (mainly *ABL1/2*, *PDGFRA/B* and *CSF1R*).
- Alterations driving JAK-STAT signaling (including *CRLF2*, *JAK2* and *EPOR* alterations).

Ph-like ALL are associated with high MRD levels and a poor prognosis. However, TKI may be included in the therapeutic regimen thus improving patients' outcome, especially for ABL-class subtypes [66, 68–70].

ABL-class Ph-like ALL. ABL-class anomalies, including *ABL1* (9q34), *ABL2* (1q25), *CSF1R* (5q32), *PDGFRA* (4q12) and *PDGFRB* (5q32) alterations, represent 19 % of Ph-like ALL and are more frequently observed in children than adults [66,68]. Multiple fusions have been described, all of them preserving the kinase domain and the most frequent being *EBF1::PDGRB* arising from a cryptic *del(5)(q32q33)*. FISH with ABL-class probes is considered the most powerful tool to detect these rearrangements that will be later fully characterized by RNA-seq [66–68]. These B-ALLs are sensitive to imatinib and other ABL-class TKI.

JAK-STAT class Ph-like B-ALL. JAK-STAT class Ph-like B-ALL represent 70 % of Ph-like ALL and include B-ALL with *CRLF2* deregulation,

JAK2 fusions and *EPOR* rearrangements. Patients show a poor OS. These cases may be sensitive to ruxolitinib or other JAK inhibitors [9,66–68].

Xp22 or Yp11 abnormalities/CRLF2 deregulation. *CRLF2* (Xp22/Yp11) deregulation/overexpression is the most frequently detected genetic abnormality in Ph-like ALL (50 % of Ph-like ALL). It usually results from *CRLF2-r* either as a translocation involving the immunoglobulin (*IG*) heavy chain (*IGH*) enhancer, t(X;14)(p22;q32) or t(Y;14)(p11;q32), or more frequently from a cryptic deletion of the pseudo-autosomal region (PAR1) on chromosome X or Y, leading to *CRLF2* juxtaposition to *P2RY8* promoter. *P2RY8::CRLF2* juxtaposition is frequently reported in Down syndrome B-ALL and as a secondary abnormality, mainly in iAMP21 and *PAX5alt* subgroups. While *CRLF2* alterations are cryptic at CBA level, they can be evidenced by FISH analysis using break-apart probes targeting the *P2RY8/CRLF2* locus [71].

CRLF2-r have been associated with *JAK2* non-V617F and, less frequently, with *JAK1* mutations. Associations with *IKZF1* or *SH2B3* mutations have also been reported [66,68].

t(9p24)/JAK2-r. At least 19 fusions involving the *JAK2* (9p24) locus have been described including the *PAX5::JAK2* rearrangement resulting from t(9;9)(p13;p24), inv(9)(p13p24) or del(9)(p13p24). The two latest forms are very difficult to detect with *PAX5* or *JAK2* FISH probes. In all cases, the *JAK2* kinase domain is preserved, leading to constitutive activation of the JAK-STAT pathway [65,67].

t(19p13.2)/EPOR. CAs involving *EPOR* (19p13.2) are rare and mainly result from rearrangements with *IG* genes. They lead to a deregulated expression of *EPOR* and sustained JAK-STAT signaling. The most frequent is t(14;19)(q32;p13)/*IGH::EPOR*, mainly found in AYA and associated with an aggressive clinical course [54,65,67,72].

3.1.2.2. B-other ALL, non-Ph-like

Other IG abnormalities (14q32/IGH, 2p12/IGK, 22q11/IGL). *IG* CAs are found in around 5 % of B-ALL (up to 10 % if we include *DUX4-r* ALL), mainly in AYA [72–74]. *IG* translocations juxtapose an *IG* enhancer to a partner gene whose transcription is upregulated and thus constitute an important mechanism of oncogenic activation. These CAs can be detected by FISH with *IG* probes and/or probes targeting *IG* partner genes. However, the identification of the partner gene with *IG* probes can be challenging, even if metaphase FISH is used. Indeed, FISH with *IG* probes can identify *IG* 5'telomeric deletions related to the physiological V-D-J recombination occurring in B cells. As another important remark for clinical interpretation, *IG* translocations can be present as secondary CAs [7,75]. Besides *IG* CAs described above, we can consider:

ins(14;4)(q32;q35q35) or ins(14;10)(q32;q26q26)/IGH::DUX4 juxtaposition and ERG deletion. *DUX4-r* rearranged (*DUX4-r*) ALLs represent 4% of pediatric and 6% of adult B-ALL, mainly found in AYA. *DUX4* gene is located within a subtelomeric *D4Z4* repeat region on 4q35 and 10q26. *IGH::DUX4* rearrangement induces *DUX4* overexpression. Most cases result from a cytogenetically cryptic insertion of part of the *DUX4* gene into *IGH* [76–78]. As a unique phenomenon, *DUX4* truncated protein binds to an intragenic region of the transcription factor *ERG* (21q22), resulting in *ERG* loss of function either by focal deletion (*ERG-del*) and/or by expression of an aberrant *ERG* isoform (*ERG-alt*) [77]. *DUX4-r* and *ERG-del/ERG-alt* cases share the same distinctive gene expression profile (GEP), peculiar immunophenotype (CD2+) and frequently deleted *IKZF1* but a good outcome [3,46,79,80]. FISH analysis can detect an abnormal *IGH* signal, as a split in the *IGH* break-apart probe or, more frequently, as a loss of the 5' telomeric region (W. Cucuini, personal communication). *ERG* deletion, detected by CMA in around 55 % of *DUX4-r* cases, is also a good surrogate marker. However, RNA-seq is the best tool for diagnosis [49].

t(v;14q32)/IGH::CEBP family juxtaposition. *CEBP* genes are involved as *IG* partner genes in around 1 % of B-ALL and 11 % of *IGH-r* cases [74, 81]. *CEBPA* and *CEBPG* (both located at 19q13), *CEBPB* (20q13), *CEBPD* (8q11) and *CEBPE* (14q11) are juxtaposed to *IGH* via t(14q32) and/or inv(14)(q11q32) for *CEBPE* [72,74,75,81,82]. Of note, *IG::CEBP* have

been described as secondary CAs [75]. Interestingly, *IGH::CEBPE* cases cluster with *ZEB2* H1038R mutation cases in a small specific GEP subgroup [46,83].

t(6;14)(p22;q32)/IGH::ID4 juxtaposition. 1 % of B-ALL display the t(6;14)(p22;q32)/*IGH::ID4* juxtaposition, which is mainly found in AYA and associated with an intermediate prognosis [54,72,74]. Most cases present with numerous ACAs including +5 and del(9p) leading to *PAX5* and *CDKN2AB* deletions [54,72].

t(8;14)(q24;q32)/IGH::MYC and other IG::MYC. A recent international study analyzed 90 cases of adult and pediatric B-ALL harboring an *IG::MYC-r*, representing around 0.5 % of B-ALL [7]. *IG::MYC-r* occurs as a secondary CA in 16 % of cases, the primary CA being *KMT2A-r*, *DUX4-r* or rarely *iAMP21* or *BCR::ABL1* fusion. In two-thirds of cases *IG::MYC-r* is the only defining feature. In the remaining cases (16 %), it co-occurs with a *BCL2* (18q21) and/or more rarely a *BCL6* (3q27) rearrangement. Of note, as described in Burkitt lymphoma, +1q is a prevalent ACA in this B-ALL subtype [7]. Children with *IG::MYC-r* as a primary CA without *BCL2/BCL6-r* had a 3-year EFS and OS of 47 % and 60 %, respectively, thus representing a high-risk BCP-ALL.

t(14;18)(q21;q32)/IGH::BCL2 and t(3;14)(q27;q32)/IGH::BCL6. In B-ALL, t(14;18)(q32;q21)/*IGH::BCL2* and the very rare t(3;14)(q27;q32)/*IGH::BCL6* most often co-occur with an *IG::MYC-r* as in double or triple-hit NHL (see joint article). These subtypes represent 1 to 3 % of adult and young adult B-ALL, respectively, are very rare in children and correlate with a poor prognosis [7,8,84].

t(12p13.3)/ZNF384-r. *ZNF384-r* leukemias represent a specific B-ALL subtype, mostly with a B-I phenotype (CD10 negative or weak) and aberrant myeloid antigens (CD13 and/or CD33) or B/M MPAL [85–87]. *ZNF384-r* are observed in ~4 % of childhood, ~2 % of adult B-ALL, and 48 % of childhood B/M MPAL [9,46,83,84,86]. In t(12p13.3)/*ZNF384-r*, the 3' telomeric part of *ZNF384* is translocated to create a *v::ZNF384* fusion gene. More than twenty 5' partner genes have been described, the most frequent being *EP300* (22q13.2), *TCF3* (19p13.3), *TAF15* (17q12) and *CREBBP* (16p13.3) [83,84,86].

The prognosis of B-ALL with *ZNF384-r* is considered intermediate in children but could depend on the partner gene as *EP300::ZNF384* present with a lower risk of relapse [86]. In adults, it is considered as relatively good [9] to intermediate [46]. Most of *ZNF384-r* are cryptic, being identified by RNA-seq studies [83,84,86]. Of note, *ZNF384-r* can be assessed by FISH with specific break-apart probes [86].

t(1q22)/MEF2D-r. t(1q22)/*MEF2D-r* mainly affects female teenagers and AYA patients and are evidenced in 2–4 % of pediatric and 2 % of adult B-ALL [46,83,88,89].

MEF2D-B-ALLs have been associated with two distinct immunophenotypes either pro-B or pre-B [88–90]. Numerous 3'partner genes have been described, the most frequent being *BCL9* (1q21) and *HNRNPUL1* (19q13.2). Other 3'partners are *DAZAP1* (19p13), *CSF1R* (5q32), *FOXJ1* (12p13) and *SS18* (18q11) [3,46,49,83,89,90]. Cases with t(1;5)(q22;q32)/*MEF2D::CSF1R* are very rare but present with a Ph-like gene expression and can benefit from *ABL*-class TKI [90,91].

Prognosis is intermediate to poor and could depend on the partner gene and/or expression of CD10 which is more frequent in t(1;19)/*MEF2D::HNRNPUL1* cases [46,89].

Of note, del(1)(q21q22)/*MEF2D::BCL9* is a cryptic CA that can be detected by CMA. Importantly, at the CBA level, t(1;19)/*MEF2D::HNRNPUL1* and t(1;19)/*MEF2D::DAZAP1* are very similar to t(1;19)/*TCF3::PBX1*, therefore FISH analysis with a break-apart probe targeting *TCF3* should be performed for all B-ALL cases harboring a t(1;19). Most *MEF2D-r* cases present with del(9p)/*CDKN2AB* deletion [89,90].

t(15q14)/NUTM1 fusions. Fusions of *NUTM1* (15q14) define a novel B-ALL subtype occurring only in pediatric cases, more especially in infants (1 % and 4 % of pediatric and infant cases, respectively) and associated with a favorable outcome [92]. *NUTM1-r* cases are mainly associated with a common or pre-B ALL but *BRD9::NUTM1* cases consistently present with a pro-B ALL [92]. At least ten 5' partner genes that drive aberrant *NUTM1* expression have been described, the most

Table 1
Primary cytogenetic abnormalities.

Primary cytogenetic abnormalities	Modal chromosome number or genes	Typical chromosome gains/losses and gene location ^a	Frequency in B-ALL by age class	Clinical and biological features	Prognosis	Refs.
Classical numerical abnormalities						
• High hyperdiploidy (HeH)	51 to 67	Trisomies 4, 6, 10, 17, 18, 21	25–35 % (C), 2–4 % (A)	B-II (CD10+)	Favorable ^b	[11,12]
• Near haploidy (NH)	23 to 29	Loss of all chromosomes except X, 14, 18 and 21 ^c	0.5 % (C)	<i>NFI</i> and <i>RAS</i> aberrations	Unfavorable	[14,15]
• Low hypodiploidy (LH) /Near-triploidy (Ho/Tr)	30 to 40/60 to 78	Loss of chromosomes 3, 7, 9, 13 and 17 ^c	0.5 % (C),6–9 % (A)	<i>TP53</i> mutation (constitutional or somatic)	Unfavorable	[14,15]
Classical structural abnormalities						
•t(9;22)(q34;q11)	<i>BCR::ABL1</i>	<i>BCR(22q11), ABL1(9q34)</i>	3 % (C), 30 % (A), 50 % after age 50	B-II (CD10+) with aberrant myeloid markers and B/M MPAL, <i>IKZF1</i> intragenic deletion ^d , TKI indication, frequency increasing with age	Unfavorable before TKI era	[18,20]
•t(4;11)(q21;q23) and other t(v;11q23)e / <i>KMT2A-r</i>	<i>KMT2A::AFF1</i> <i>KMT2A::MLLT3</i> <i>KMT2A::MLLT1</i>	<i>KMT2A(11q23), AFF1(4q21),</i> <i>MLLT3(9p22) MLLT1(19p13.3)</i>	5–10 % (C), 5–10 % (A) 80 % (I)	B-I (CD10-) and B/M MPAL. Switch to AML possible after therapy	Unfavorable	[32,33,36]
•t(12;21)(p13;q22) ^e	<i>ETV6::RUNX1</i>	<i>ETV6(12p13.2), RUNX1(21q22)</i>	25 % (C),1 % (A) exceptional >25 years	B-II (CD10+) frequently CD13+ or CD33+,	Favorable	[42,44]
• iAMP(21)	Chromothripsis of 21q At least 3 extra copies of <i>RUNX1</i> on a der(21) which are clustered on nuclei	<i>RUNX1(21q22.1), ERG(21q22.2)</i>	2 % (C), 1 % (A)	Atypical forms (see text) Constitutional structural abn. of chromosome 21 are predisposing factors	Unfavorable (intermediate if intensive treatment)	[47, 49–51]
• t(1;19)(q23;p13)/der(19)t(1;19)(q23;p13)	<i>TCF3::PBX1</i>	<i>TCF3(19p13.3),PBX1(1q23.3)</i>	3–5 % (C), 3–5 % (A)	Frequent <i>CRLF2</i> deregulation and <i>RB1</i> deletion B-III (intracytoplasmic m+)	Intermediate	[3,9,57]
• t(17;19)(q22;p13) ^e	<i>TCF3::HLF</i>	<i>TCF3(19p13.3), HLF(17q22)</i>	less than 1 % (C and A)	Teenagers and AYA, DIC, hypercalcemia	Unfavorable	[59–61]
• t(5;14)(q22;q14)	<i>IGH::IL3</i>	<i>IGH(14q32), IL3(5q32)</i>		Eosinophilia	Intermediate	[62]
Ph-like (<i>BCR::ABL1</i>-like) abnormalities			25 % (C), 12 % (A) 25–30 % (AYA)	Frequent <i>IKZF1</i> intragenic deletion ^d		
• ABL class abnormalities						
<i>ABL1-r/ t(v;9q34) and t(1;9)(q24;q34)</i>	<i>v::ABL1 and RCSD1::ABL1</i>	<i>RCSD1(1q24), ABL1(9q34)</i>	15–20 % of Ph-like ALL	Sensitivity to ABL class TKI as imatinib or dasatinib	Unfavorable before TKI era	[63–65, 70]
<i>ABL2-r/ t(v;1q25) and t(1;1)(q24;q25)/inv(1)(q24q25)</i>	<i>v::ABL2 and RCSD1::ABL2</i>	<i>RCSD1(1q24), ABL2(1q25)</i>				
<i>CSF1-r/ t(v;5q32) t(5;5)(q14;q32) t(1;5)(q22;q32)</i>	<i>v::CSF1R SSBP2::CSF1R</i>	<i>SSBP2(5q14), CSF1R(5q32)</i>				
<i>PDGFRB/ t(v;5q32) and del(5)(q32q33)</i>	<i>v::PDGFRB and EBF1::PDGFRB</i>	<i>EBF1(5q33),PDGFRB(5q32)</i>				
<i>PDGFRA-r/ t(v;4q12)</i>	<i>v::PDGFRA</i>	<i>PDGFRA(4q12)</i>				
• JAK-STAT activating alterations			70 % of Ph-like ALL 50 % of Ph-like ALL	Possible sensitivity to JAK inhibitors <i>CRLF2</i> deregulations frequently associated with DS and <i>JAK2</i> mutations	Depending on the primary CA	[71]
<i>CRLF2(Xp22/Yp11) deregulation t(X;14)(p22;q32)/t(Y;14)(p11;q32)</i>	<i>IGH::CRLF2</i> <i>P2RY8::CRLF2</i>	<i>IGH(14q32), CRLF2(Xp22/Yp11)</i>		<i>IGH::CRLF2</i> : mainly initiating event <i>P2RY8::CRLF2</i> : frequent secondary event in iAMP(21)		
<i>del(X)(p22p22)/del(Y)(p11p11)</i>		<i>P2RY8(Xp22/Yp11), CRLF2(Xp22/Yp11)</i>		and <i>PAX5</i> alt ALL		
<i>JAK2-r / t(v;9p24) and inv(9)(p24p13)/del(9)(p24p13)</i>	<i>v::JAK2 and PAX5::JAK2</i> <i>SSBP2::JAK2</i> <i>BCR::JAK2</i>	<i>PAX5(9p13), JAK2(9p24)</i> <i>SSBP2(5q14)</i> <i>BCR(22q11)</i>	7 % of Ph-like ALL		Unfavorable	[65]

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

Primary cytogenetic abnormalities	Modal chromosome number or genes	Typical chromosome gains/losses and gene location ^a	Frequency in B-ALL by age class	Clinical and biological features	Prognosis	Refs.
<i>EPOR-r</i> / t(v;19p13) and t(14;19)(q32;p13) or ins(14;19)	<i>IG::EPOR and IGH::EPOR</i>	<i>IGH</i> (14q32), <i>EPOR</i> (19p13.2)	9 % of Ph-like ALL		Unfavorable	[65,74]
Other defined structural abnormalities						
• Other IG abnormalities						
		<i>IGH</i> (14q32), <i>IGK</i> (2p11), <i>IGL</i> (22q11)	10 %		Depending on the IG partner gene	[72,74]
<i>DUX4-r</i> as ins(14;4)(q32;q35q35)/t(4;14)(q35;q32) ^e	<i>IGH::DUX4</i>	<i>DUX4</i> (4q35)	4 % (C), 6 % (A)	Frequent <i>ERG</i> and <i>IKZF1</i> intragenic deletion ^d	Favorable (even with <i>IKZF1</i> deletion)	[46, 76–78]
<i>CEBP</i> family genes rearrangements including t(14;14)(q11;q32)/inv(14)(q11q32)	<i>IGH::CEBPA</i> <i>IGH::CEBPB</i> <i>IGH::CEBPD</i> <i>IGH::CEBPE</i> <i>IGH::CEBPG</i>	<i>CEBPA</i> (19q13) <i>CEBPB</i> (20q13) <i>CEBPD</i> (8q11) <i>CEBPE</i> (14q11) <i>CEBPG</i> (19q13)	1 %	Mainly AYA Primary or secondary CA	Intermediate (depending on the primary CA if secondary CA)	[72,74,75, 81,82]
t(6;14)(p22;q32) <i>MYC-r</i>	<i>IGH::ID4</i> <i>IG::MYC</i>	<i>ID4</i> (6p22) <i>MYC</i> (8q24)	1 % 1–3 % (A)	Mainly AYA Exclude Burkitt lymphoma (IgS+), primary CA and search for <i>BCL2</i> (18q22) and <i>BCL6</i> (3q27) associated rearrangements	Intermediate Unfavorable	[54,74] [7]
<i>BCL2-r</i> and <i>BCL6-r</i>	<i>IG::BCL2</i> <i>IG::BCL6</i>	<i>BCL2</i> (18q21) <i>BCL6</i> (3q27)	1–3 % (A)		Unfavorable	[7]
• t(v;12p13)/ <i>ZNF384-r</i> ^e	<i>EP300::ZNF384</i> <i>TCF3::ZNF384</i> <i>TAF15::ZNF384</i> <i>CREBBP::ZNF384</i>	<i>ZNF384</i> (12p13.3), <i>EP300</i> (22q13.2) <i>TCF3</i> (19p13.3) <i>TAF15</i> (17q12) <i>CREBBP</i> (16p13.3)	~4 % (C), ~3 % (A), ~4 % (AYA), 48 % of pediatric B/M MPAL	B-I (CD10- or weak) frequently CD13+ and/or CD33+ and B/M MPAL. Switch to AML possible after therapy. Mostly cryptic translocations	Intermediate (better for <i>EP300::ZNF384</i>)	[9,46, 83–87]
• t(1q22;v) and del(1)(q21q22)e/ <i>MEF2D-r</i> ^g	<i>MEF2D::BCL9</i> <i>MEF2D::HNRNPUL1</i> <i>MEF2D::DAZAP1</i>	<i>MEF2D</i> (1q22), <i>BCL9</i> (1q21) <i>HNRNPUL1</i> (19q13) <i>DAZAP1</i> (19p13.3)	4 % (C), 2 % (A)	B-I (CD10- or weak) or B-III(CD10+, cμ chain+), CD5+ Teenagers and AYA, mainly female patients	Intermediate depending on CD10 expression and partner gene	[46,83, 88–90]
• t(v;15q14) / <i>NUTM1</i> fusions	<i>ACIN1::NUTM1</i> <i>CUX1::NUTM1</i> <i>BRD9::NUTM1</i> <i>ZNF618::NUTM1</i>	<i>NUTM1</i> (15q14), <i>ACIN1</i> (14q11) <i>CUX1</i> (7q22) <i>BRD9</i> (5p15) <i>ZNF618</i> (9q32)	1 % (C) 4 % (Infants)	Only infants and children; hyperexpression of <i>NUTM1</i>	Favorable	[83,84,92, 93]
• t (9p13) and dic(9p13)/ <i>PAX5</i> fusions / <i>PAX5</i> alt ^h dic(9;12)(p13;p13) ⁱ	<i>PAX5::ETV6</i>	<i>PAX5</i> (9p13), <i>ETV6</i> (12p13)	3 % (C), 1 % (A)	<i>CRLF2</i> deregulation is a frequent secondary event	Intermediate	[46,83,84, 94,95]
dic(9;20)(p13;q11) ⁱ t(3;9)(p14;p13) t(7;9)(q11;p13) t(7;9)(q11;p13) t(7;9)(q11;p13)	<i>PAX5::NOL4L</i> <i>PAX5::FOXP1</i> <i>PAX5::AUTS2</i> <i>PAX5::POM121</i> <i>PAX5::ELN</i>	<i>NOL4L</i> (20q11) <i>FOXP1</i> (3p14) <i>AUTS2</i> (7q11) <i>POM121</i> (7q11) <i>ELN</i> (7q11)				

Abbreviations: A: adults; AYA: adolescents and young adults; C: children; DIC: disseminated intravascular coagulation; DS: Down Syndrome; I: infants; -r: rearrangement; TKI: tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

^a main location of the critical fusion gene is indicated in bold. it does not apply to gene juxtapositions such as *IG::v* juxtapositions and to insertions.

^b cases with trisomies 4, 10, 17, 18 and modal chromosome number higher than 53 are associated with an even better prognosis.

^c can present as duplicated clone (see text).

^d detected by PCR.

^e cryptic or possibly cryptic translocation, FISH or RT-PCR must be performed.

^f resulting from juxtaposition of *IGH* enhancer or *P2RY8* promoter at the vicinity of *CRLF2* gene.

^g t(1;5)(q22;q32) /*MEF2D::CSF1R* is a Ph-like *ABL-class* ALL.

^h Most *PAX5* fusions are primary CA belonging to the *PAX5* alt subgroup; inv(9)(p24p13)/del(9)(p24p13)/*PAX5::JAK2* belongs to the Ph-like subgroup; del(9)(p13p13)/inv(9)(p13p13)/ *PAX5::ZCCHC7* is a cryptic secondary CA in B-ALL.

ⁱ dic(9p13;v) can also present as a secondary CA in *PAX5 P80R B* ALL as a mechanism of deletion of the wild type *PAX5* allele.

frequent being *ACIN1* (14q11), *CUX1* (7q22), *BRD9* (5p15) and *ZNF618* (9q32) [83,84,92,93]. The resulting translocations can be detected on CBA and confirmed by FISH with *NUTM1* probe and RNA sequencing [92,93].

t(9p13) and dic(9p13) /PAX5 fusions. *PAX5* gene (9p13.2) is frequently abnormal in B-ALL. Translocations and dicentric chromosomes leading to *PAX5-r* and in frame fusion genes are present in ~3 % pediatric and ~1 % adult B-ALL, as a primary CA, mainly within simple karyotypes [94,95]. More than twenty 3'partners have been identified. The most frequent are *ETV6* (12p13) and *NOL4L* (20q11) resulting from *dic(9;12)(p13;p13)* and *dic(9;20)(p13;q11)* respectively. Of note, this latter CA can be difficult to detect, but an apparent monosomy 20 should prompt attention [96]. Furthermore, both dicentric chromosomes are heterogeneous at the molecular level and can be also found as secondary CAs leading to complete loss of one *PAX5* allele [95].

Other frequent *PAX5-r* are *PAX5::AUTS2* or *PAX5::ELN* fusions resulting from *t(7;9)(q11.2;p13.2)* or fusion with genes leading to a *PAX5*-altered (*PAX5-alt*) subgroup GEP (see below) [83,84]. Of note, cases with *t(9;9)(p13;p24)/inv(9)(p13p24)/del(9)(p13p24)* inducing *PAX5::JAK2* fusion cluster with Ph-like B-ALL whereas the cryptic *inv(9)(p13p12)/del(9)(p13p13)* inducing *PAX5::ZCCHC7* fusion has been identified as a secondary CA in *ETV6::RUNX1* or *IGH::DUX4* B-ALLs [84].

B-ALL with other defined molecular abnormalities (Table 2). Recent gene expression studies using whole transcriptome sequencing (WTS) have identified new B-ALL subtypes that do not or partially match with a specific primary CA but are clinically relevant [13,46,78,83,84,97–99].

The *PAX5-alt* subgroup represents 3–10 % and 7–12 % of pediatric and adult B-ALLs, respectively. It is defined by a specific GEP with diverse *PAX5* abnormalities: rearrangements leading to in frame fusion genes (see above), *PAX5* non P80R mutations and *PAX5* focal intragenic amplification (*PAX5amp*) [46,83,84,98,99].

PAX5 amp or *PAX5* intragenic tandem duplications (*PAX5-ITD*) were previously defined as B-ALL cases with 3 or more partial *PAX5* copies lacking a classical primary CA. *PAX5-ITD* represents 1 % of pediatric B-ALL and is associated with a higher relapse rate [100]. Frequent ACA are *del(9p)* (26 %)/*CDKN2A/B* deletion (82%), +5 (23%) and –7 (12%)/*IKZF1* deletion (13%).

The most frequent ACA/additional molecular abnormality (AMA) in the *PAX5-alt* subgroup are visible or cryptic *del(9p)* leading to *PAX5*, *CDKN2A/B* and *MTAP* deletions [46,83,84,98,99].

PAX5-alt B-ALL is associated with an intermediate prognosis [46,83,84,99].

The *PAX5 P80R* subgroup represents 2–3 % of childhood and adult

B-ALL [46,83,84,101,102]. *PAX5 P80R* mutation results in *PAX5* loss of function. Indeed, both alleles are inactivated as mutations are usually hemizygous mainly due to *dic(9;20)(p11;q11)* and *dic(7;9)(p11;p11)* leading to loss of wild-type *PAX5* and *CDKN2A/B* and, for *dic(7;9)* cases, loss of *IKZF1* [101]. Mutations in *RAS* or *JAK2* signaling pathway genes are frequent [83,84,101]. *PAX5 P80R* subgroup is associated with an intermediate to favorable outcome [46,84,101,102].

The *IKZF1 N159Y* subgroup has been identified in rare cases (<1 %) of pediatric and adult B-ALL. Interestingly, most of these patients harbored a +21 [84].

The *ETV6::RUNX1-like* subgroup clusters with the *t(12;21)(p13;q22)/ETV6::RUNX1* subgroup but lacks *ETV6::RUNX1* transcript thus representing a phenocopy subgroup [78]. Interestingly, it also shares the same immunophenotype [97] and cytogenetic profile with +21 and *ETV6* deletions [84]. Conversely, it is also characterized by co-occurrence of *ETV6* and *IKZF1* abnormalities such as fusion genes and deletions, a rare finding in other B-ALL subtypes [78,83,84]. *ETV6::RUNX1-like* ALL represents 3% of pediatric, but only 0.5–1% of adult B-ALL cases, mainly young adults [46,78,84] and confers a relatively favorable prognosis [46,83,99].

Other WHO/ICC phenocopy subgroups such as *KMT2A*-like and *ZNF384*-like (mainly harboring *ZNF362-r*) subgroups are rare and not fully characterized [83,84].

The recently reported *CDX2/UBTF* subgroup is defined by a unique gene expression profile and characterized by the association of two microdeletions: one located at 13q12.2 leading to *CDX2* overexpression and the other at 17q21.3 leading to *UBTF::ATXN7L3* in-frame fusion. This subtype mainly found in AYA, represents 0.2% of children (all cases older than 10) and 2% of adult B-ALL, mainly female patients. It is associated with a low WBC and a pro-B phenotype. 1q gain is the most frequent ACA. The outcome is poor due to higher risk of induction treatment failure, higher post-induction MRD level and higher cumulative incidence of relapse [103,104].

3.2. Secondary cytogenetic and molecular abnormalities

3.2.1. Secondary CAs, complex karyotype and monosomal karyotype

Secondary CAs detectable at the CBA level and/or at the CMA/MLPA level are frequently characteristic of B-ALL primary subtypes (see above). They are mainly unbalanced CAs: deletions and monosomies such as *del(6q)*, *del(7p)/-7*, *del(9p)/-9*, *del(12p)*, *del(13q)/-13*, *del(17p)/-17*, and chromosomal gains such as +X and +21 [8,10,105].

In adult Ph-negative ALL, CK has been defined by the MRC-ECOG group as cases lacking an established translocation and presenting

Table 2
Comparison of WHO-HAEM5 [1] and ICC 2022 [2] classifications for B “other” ALL

WHO-HAEM5 classification	ICC 2022 classification
B-ALL with <i>BCR::ABL1</i>-like features	B-ALL, <i>BCR::ABL1</i> like , ABL-class rearranged B-ALL, <i>BCR::ABL1</i> like , JAK-STAT activated B-ALL, <i>BCR::ABL1</i> like , NOS
B-ALL with other defined genetic abnormalities[§]:	New entities in B ALL:
B-ALL with with <i>DUX4</i> rearrangement [§]	B-ALL with with <i>DUX4</i> rearrangement
B-ALL with <i>MYC</i> rearrangement [§]	B-ALL with <i>MYC</i> rearrangement
B-ALL with <i>ZNF384</i> rearrangement [§]	B-ALL with <i>ZNF384</i> (or <i>ZNF362</i>) rearrangement
B-ALL with <i>MEF2D</i> rearrangement [§]	B-ALL with <i>MEF2D</i> rearrangement
B-ALL with <i>NUTM1</i> rearrangement [§]	B-ALL with <i>NUTM1</i> rearrangement
B-ALL with with <i>PAX5</i> alterations [§]	B-ALL with <i>PAX5</i> alterations [§]
B-ALL with <i>PAX5 P80R</i> mutation [§]	B-ALL with <i>PAX5 P80R</i> mutation
B-ALL with <i>ETV6::RUNX1</i> -like features [§]	B-ALL, <i>ETV6::RUNX1</i> -like [§]
	B-ALL with <i>IKZF1 N159Y</i> mutation
	B-ALL, <i>CDX2/UBTF</i>
	B-ALL with <i>ZEB2B</i> mutation/ <i>IGH::CEBPE</i> [§]
	B-ALL, <i>ZNF384</i> -rearranged like [§]
	B-ALL, <i>KMT2A</i> -rearranged like [§]
B-ALL, NOS	B-ALL, NOS

Abbreviations: B-ALL: B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma; NOS: not otherwise specified

[§] Provisional entity

with at least 5 unrelated CAs within the 40–50 MCN range [105]. This CK (CK5) is mainly due to combinations of classical ACAs. CK5 is found in 5–6 % of adult Ph-negative B-ALL cases. The prognosis of CK5 in B-ALL is still a matter of debate [8,9,105].

In adult Ph-negative B-ALL (after exclusion of the LH subtype), MK has no significant prognostic value [8].

3.2.2. Secondary molecular abnormalities

Alterations of genes involved in B cell development (such as *IKZF1*, *PAX5* or *EBF1*), and cell cycle regulators (such as *CDKN2A/B*) are frequent secondary molecular events [106,107]. These copy number alterations (CNA), mainly cryptic, can be easily evidenced by CMA, NGS or MLPA kits detecting the most frequent deleted loci: *EBF1* (5q33.3), *IKZF1* (7p12.2), *PAX5* (9p13.2), *CDKN2A/B* (9p21.3), *ETV6* (12p13.2), *BTG1* (12q21.3), *RB1* (13q14.2) and *PAR1* (Xp22/Yp11). Furthermore, these CNA, either as isolated or in unique combinations, are prognostically relevant, mostly in cases lacking a stratifying primary CA [108,109].

Table 3

GFCH guidelines in a routine cytogenetic laboratory according to karyotype results.

Karyotype results (1)	FISH analyses (2)
Informative karyotype with recurrent primary CA t(9;22)(q34;q11)/Ph t(4;11)(q21;q23) or t(v;11q32) t(1;19)(q23;p13) t(17;19)(q22;p13) High hyperdiploidy (HeH) Hypodiploidy < 41 (Ho-Tr or NH)	Confirm primary CA and/or check for <i>BCR::ABL1</i> (3) <i>BCR::ABL1</i> (3) <i>KMT2A</i> (4) <i>TCF3/TCF3::PBX1</i> (5) <i>TCF3/TCF3::HLF</i> (5) <i>BCR::ABL1</i> <i>BCR::ABL1</i>
Non specific CA but evocative of a primary CA	Search for a specific primary CA
Chromosomal breakpoint suggesting a primary CA Abnormal chr. 21 suggesting an iAMP21 Suspicion of Hypodiploidy: Suspicion of High Hyperdiploidy: Suspicion of Atypical High Hyperdiploidy (7):	FISH according to the chromosomal breakpoint <i>ETV6::RUNX1</i> Combination of probes for one lost chr (3, 7 or 17) and one retained chr (1 or 6 in Ho-Tr; 18 or 21 in NH) chr 4, 10, 17 and 18 probes (7) chr 17 and 18 probes and, if both negative, chr 5 and chr 20 probes (7)
Normal or non informative karyotype (1) or abnormal karyotype without recurrent primary abnormality:	Sequential FISH analyses
Secondary abnormalities: +X, +21, del(6q), del(9p), del(12p),...	First FISH round <i>BCR::ABL1</i> (3), <i>KMT2A</i> (4), <i>TCF3</i> (5), <i>ETV6::RUNX1</i> (6)
Non specific ploidy abnormalities: Hypodiploidy 41–45 Hyperdiploidy (47–50) Atypical High Hyperdiploidy (7) Tetraploidy	Second FISH round (If first FISH is round negative and if atypical HeH) <i>ABL</i> class probes (<i>ABL1</i> , <i>ABL2</i> , <i>PDGFRB/CSF1R</i>) <i>MYC</i> and/or <i>IGH::MYC</i> (8)
	Third FISH round If <i>MYC-r</i> : <i>BCL2</i> and <i>BCL6</i> and possibly <i>IGH</i> , <i>IGK</i> and <i>IGL</i> (8) if <i>MYC</i> normal: <i>CRLF2/P2RY8</i> , <i>JAK2</i> (optional) (9)

Abbreviations: CA: chromosomal abnormality; CBA: chromosome banding analysis; chr: chromosome; HeH: high hyperdiploidy >50 chr.; r: rearrangement; Ho-Tr: hypodiploidy 30–39 chr./near triploidy 60–78 chr.; NH: near-haploidy 23–29 chr.; OGM: Optical Genome Mapping.

(1) If insufficient sample or failure, ask for a new BM or PB sample.

(2) FISH or other informative technique. Whenever possible, confirm a stratifying CA by another technique. For structural CA leading to fusion transcripts: FISH, RT-PCR, multiplex RT-MLPA or OGM. For chr. gains and losses: FISH, SNP-array or NGS (both allowing UPD diagnosis in duplicated hypodiploid cases and chr 21 chromothripsis in iAMP21 cases). NGS identifies mutations in the targeted areas, especially *TP53* mutations in Ho-Tr ALL.

(3) Ph/*BCR::ABL1* positive ALL is the most frequent CA in adults. Pediatric and adult Ph-ALL and Ph-like ALL can present with a HeH pattern. Ph-ALL and ABL-class Ph-like ALL require ABL-class TKI based treatment.

(4) *KMT2A*: FISH allows the diagnosis of most *KMT2A-r* cases; metaphase FISH can help in the identification of the partner gene; t(4;11)/*KMT2A::AFF1* is the most frequent t(11q23)/*KMT2Ar* in B-ALL.

(5) t(1;19)(q23;p13)/*TCF3::PBX1* is very similar at the CBA level to t(1;19)(q22;p13)/*MEF2D::DAZAP1* thus *TCF3-r* has to be confirmed; t(17;19)(q22;p13)/*TCF3::HLF* is a subtle CA at the CBA level and a very high-risk CA in B-ALL.

(6) t(12;21)(p13;q22)/*ETV6::RUNX1* is present in around a quarter of pediatric B-ALL cases. Cryptic CA to be searched for in < 25 year-old patient; *ETV6::RUNX1* probe can detect multiple copies of the *RUNX1* gene clustered (mostly on a der(21)) and in nuclei in iAMP21 cases or present as unique signals on multiple chr21 in HeH (trisomy or tetrasomy) or duplicated hypodiploid cases (tetrasomy).

(7) Classical HeH (54–59 chr) present with trisomy for chr 4, 10, 17, 18; Atypical HeH present with lack of trisomy 17 and 18 or lack of trisomy 17 or 18 and presence of trisomy 5 or 20, and/or 51–53 chr, and/or *IKZF1* del.

(8) *IG::MYC-r* detected in B-ALL as a primary CA are high-risk B-ALL; a Burkitt leukemia/lymphoma must be excluded (cf text); *BCL2-r* and/or a *BCL6-r* can be present in a subset of these *MYC-r* and could be DLCLBL blast phase thus excluded.

(9) *CRLF2-r* (Xp22/Yp11) and *JAK2-r* (9p24) cases belong to JAK-STAT Ph-like ALL that could benefit from JAK-class TKI therapy.

Of note, 3'*EBF1* and *PAR1* deletions can correspond to the formation of a *EBF1::PDGFRB* and *P2RY8::CRLF2* rearrangement, respectively.

IKZF1 intragenic deletion is observed in ~15 % of B-ALL and ~50 % of high-risk B-ALL. *IKZF1* deletions are mainly evidenced in Ph and Ph-like ALLs. However, it is important to note that *IKZF1* deletions are also frequent in patients with *DUX4-r/ERGdel* cases without altering their favorable prognosis [3,46,79,80].

Complete or partial monoallelic *PAX5* deletions occur in 30 % of B-ALLs. Of note, 3'*PAX5* deletion can reflect the formation of a *PAX5* gene fusion in a dicentric chromosome, mainly in the *PAX5alt* subgroup.

4. Novel technologies in ALL

Many of the newly discovered fusion genes and/or rearrangements in B-ALL have been recognized thanks to RNA sequencing (RNA-seq). For phenocopy subgroups, such as the *ETV6::RUNX1*-like good prognosis subgroup, it represents the best diagnostic technique [13,46,78,83,84,110]. (Targeted) RNA-seq is therefore very likely to complement routine

genetic diagnostics. However, its role and importance in light of whole genome sequencing (WGS) and the upcoming optical genome mapping (OGM) that have been proven useful for the identification of both SVs and CNVs, remains to be determined (see joint dedicated article) [98, 111]. Indeed, OGM is developed in many cytogenetic laboratories as a complement to karyotype and as a surrogate for multiple FISH analyses [112,113]. However, the technique is not suited for detection of mutations nor does it allow the design of primers necessary for molecular minimal residual disease (MRD) assessment as the identification of breakpoints is not sufficiently precise. Conversely, WGS could replace RNA sequencing as suggested by two recent studies [98,111].

5. Guidelines for the diagnosis of clinically relevant cytogenomic subgroups (Tables 3 and 4)

Keeping in mind that a clinically relevant cytogenomic abnormality must ideally be identified by two different techniques and that results must be given in a turnaround time (TAT) compatible with therapy, we propose guidelines for cytogenomic diagnosis in accordance with these assumptions.

5.1. Classical cytogenetics (Tables 3 and 4)

5.1.1. Chromosome banding analysis

In ALL, CBA must be performed on bone marrow. Peripheral blood can be used as a rescue, mainly in case of fibrosis, if it contains sufficient

Table 4
Available methods and performance for the detection of main chromosomal and genomic aberrations.

Main chromosomal / genomic aberrations	Detection methods						
	CBA	FISH	OGM	CMA	MLPA	RT-PCR	NGS
High Hyperdiploidy (HeH)	++ ^a	++ ^b	++	+++			+ ^c
Low Hypodiploidy (LH) and Near Haploidy (NH)	++ ^a	++ ^b	++	+++ ^d			+ ^c
t(9;22)(q34;q11)/ BCR::ABL1	++ ^{a,e}	+++	+++			+++	+++
t(v;11q23) / KMT2A-r	++ ^{a,f}	+++	+++			+++ ^g	+++
t(12;21)(p13;q22)/ ETV6::RUNX1	± ^h	+++	+++	±		+++	+++
iAMP21	± ⁱ	+++ ^j	+++	+++	++ ^k		++ ^c
t(1;19)(q23;p13)/ TCF3::PBX1	++ ^{a,l}	+++	+++	±		+++	+++
t(17;19)(q22;p13)/ TCF3::HLF	+ ^{a,f}	+++	+++			+++	+++
ABL-class fusions (ABL1, ABL2, CSF1R, PDGFRB)	+ ^{a,f}	++	+++			++ ^g	+++
JAK-STAT class rearrangements (CRLF2, JAK2, EPOR)	+ ^{a,f}	++	+++			++ ^g	+++
IG rearrangements including MYC	++ ^{a,f}	+++	++				+ ^m
Deletions (BTG1, CDKN2A/B, EBF1, ETV6, IKZF1, PAR1, PAX5, RB1)		++	+++	+++	+++ ^k		++ ^c
Mutations (IKZF1, JAKs, PAX5, and other relevant genes)							+++

Abbreviations.

CBA: chromosome banding analysis (karyotype); CMA: chromosomal microarray (SNP-array and array-CGH); iAMP21: intrachromosomal amplification of chromosome 21; OGM: optical genome mapping; MLPA: multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification; RT-PCR: reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction including reverse transcription multiplex MLPA (RT-MLPA); NGS: next generation sequencing including RNA sequencing (RNASeq) and Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS); r: rearranged.

+ : contributes to diagnosis, ++: good diagnostic tool, +++: sufficient tool for diagnosis.

±: can suggest but does not identify the anomaly.

^a Blast cells can present with a low mitotic index or poor chromosome morphology.

^b A “FISH cocktail” with probes for common trisomies/tetrasomies (for high hyperdiploid or duplicated hypodiploid cases) and for common monosomies (for hypodiploid cases) can be used (see Table 3).

^c Only when the technique allows CNV analysis (WGS).

^d SNP arrays identify all numerical changes and also provide information on uniparental disomies (UPDs), which is valuable for identifying duplicated near-haploid/low hypodiploid clones.

^e CBA can miss the translocation (due to e.g. cryptic insertions) and should not be used as the sole screening method, always confirm with a second technique.

^f CBA can miss subtle and cryptic rearrangements and should not be used as the sole screening method.

^g RT-PCR alone insufficient.

^h The ETV6::RUNX1 fusion is cytogenetically cryptic. Only the characteristic secondary chromosomal abnormalities can be detected.

ⁱ A structurally abnormal chromosome 21 is evocative of an iAMP21.

^j When interphase FISH analysis suggests iAMP21, this must be confirmed by metaphase FISH in order to verify that the extra RUNX1 signals are clustered and located on one abnormal chromosome, mostly chromosome 21.

^k Detected only with dedicated MLPA probes.

^l TCF3::PBX1 fusion must be identified with a second technique.

^m IG rearrangements are inconstantly detected by RNASeq techniques.

blast cells. Classical and optimal culture time is 1 day (overnight). A sufficient number of cells must be cultured (30–60 millions cells) in order to obtain a cytogenetic pellet allowing CBA and multiple FISH analyses. In case of karyotype failure, either due to poor sampling and/or poor chromosome morphology, the cytogeneticist should rapidly request a new sample [114,115]. CBA turnaround time (TAT) is 2–7 days; results should be optimally provided within 7 days.

5.1.2. FISH and other classical molecular techniques

In ALL, FISH and/or molecular techniques - according to the locally available facilities - are necessary as complementary methods to CBA in view of a correct assignment to stratifying CAs. Multiplex RT-MLPA can detect in a single analysis multiple targeted relevant transcripts whereas SNP-A is very efficient in the detection of chromosomal gains and losses and UPD allowing differential diagnosis between duplicated hypodiploid and high hyperdiploid cases [45,68].

FISH TAT is 1–3 days. FISH performed on the cytogenetic pellet allows metaphase and interphase FISH on the same slide. According to CBA results, we propose successive rounds of FISH (Table 3).

BCR::ABL1 FISH is mandatory in B-ALL in order to detect Ph-positive ALL that can rapidly benefit from TKI.

Other FISH probes can be used:

- To confirm a stratifying CA detected at the CBA level (informative karyotype).

- To search for a stratifying CA not detected at the CBA level (non-informative or insufficiently informative karyotype).

FISH results should be available within optimal delays after diagnosis according to therapeutic implications and in order to potentially launch successive FISH rounds or other complementary techniques (Table 3):

- First FISH round: *BCR::ABL1* and other classical primary CAs.
- Second FISH round: *ABL*-class CAs in B-ALL cases lacking classical primary CAs (B “other” ALL).
- Third FISH round: *MYC* rearrangements in B-ALL with an 8q24 CA or in cases with CBA failure.

5.2. New technologies (Table 4)

5.2.1. Optical genome mapping (OGM)

OGM has a turnaround time of 4–7 days. It detects prognostically relevant CNVs such as those observed in the *iAMP21* entity and those included in the UKALL chromosome number abnormality classifier and detected by the dedicated MLPA kit assays (CNVs involving the *PAR1* region and the *EBF1*, *IKZF1*, *CDKN2A/B*, *PAX5*, *ETV6*, *BTG1* and *RBI* genes).

OGM can also detect most fusion genes with a relevant prognostic and theranostic value, potentially avoiding multiple FISH analyses.

However, the technique is still very costly and incapable of detecting mutations and robertsonian translocations (which may be present in *iAMP21* patients). The ploidy assessment is not always reliable and minor (sub)clones are also easily missed [112,113].

5.2.2. Next generation sequencing (NGS)

NGS including Whole Transcriptome Sequencing and Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) are very efficient in ALL, yet also very costly with long turnaround times (reviewed in Ref. [116,117]). Targeted RNA and DNA sequencing focused on specific genes of interest are less costly and could be more effective in terms of detectability and turnaround time.

6. Conclusion

The identification of primary genetic abnormalities is critical in the management of B-ALL patients. Classical cytogenetic techniques (karyotype and FISH) following well-established guidelines remain essential in ALL patients' management, especially in low-income countries, as they are low-cost techniques, ensuring on-time stratifying and theranostic results. New technologies such as WGS are likely the most informative techniques, allowing the classification of virtually all B-ALL patients and the identification of secondary mutations that could be taken into account in future therapeutic protocols. Despite their cost and extensive turnaround times, WGS technologies are likely to take a vastly increasing place in the cytogenomic diagnosis of ALL in the future, as they become more widely available.

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Giulia Tueur: Writing – original draft. **Julie Quessada:** Writing – original draft. **Jolien De Bie:** Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **Benady Cuccuini:** Writing – original draft. **Saloua Toujani:** Writing – original draft. **Christine Lefebvre:** Writing – original draft, Software. **Isabelle Luquet:** Writing – original draft. **Lucienne Michaux:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. **Marina Lafage-Pochitaloff:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Validation, Supervision, Methodology, Conceptualization.

Acknowledgments

We thank H el ene Guermouche and Dominique Penther for fruitful discussions and helpful comments.

References

- [1] Alaggio R, Amador C, Anagnostopoulos I, Attygalle AD, Araujo IB de O, Berti E, et al. The 5th edition of the World Health Organization classification of haematolymphoid tumours: lymphoid neoplasms. *Leukemia* 2022;36(7):1720–48.
- [2] Arber DA, Orazi A, Hasserjian RP, Borowitz MJ, Calvo KR, Kvasnicka HM, et al. International consensus classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemias: integrating morphologic, clinical, and genomic data. *Blood* 2022;140(11):1200–28.
- [3] Inaba H, Mullighan CG. Pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Haematologica* 2020;105(11):2524–39.
- [4] Teachey DT, Pui CH. Comparative features and outcomes between paediatric T-cell and B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Lancet Oncol* 2019;20(3):142–54.
- [5] Samra B, Jabbour E, Ravandi F, Kantarjian H, Short NJ. Evolving therapy of adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia: state-of-the-art treatment and future directions. *J Hematol Oncol J Hematol Oncol* 2020;13(1):70.
- [6] Bene MC, Castoldi G, Knapp W, Ludwig WD, Matutes E, Orfao A, et al. Proposals for the immunological classification of acute leukemias. European Group for the Immunological Characterization of Leukemias (EGIL). *Leukemia* 1995;9(10):1783–6.
- [7] Bomken S, Enshaei A, Schwalbe EC, Mikulasova A, Dai Y, Zaka M, et al. Molecular characterization and clinical outcome of B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia with IG-MYC rearrangement. *Haematologica* 2023;108(3):717–31.
- [8] Lafage-Pochitaloff M, Baranger L, Hunault M, Cuccuini W, Lefebvre C, Bidet A, et al. Impact of cytogenetic abnormalities in adults with Ph-negative B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* 2017;130(16):1832–44.
- [9] Moorman AV, Barretta E, Butler ER, Ward EJ, Twentyman K, Kirkwood AA, et al. Prognostic impact of chromosomal abnormalities and copy number alterations in adult B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: a UKALL14 study. *Leukemia* 2022;36(3):625–36.
- [10] Moorman AV, Ensor HM, Richards SM, Chilton L, Schwab C, Kinsey SE, et al. Prognostic effect of chromosomal abnormalities in childhood B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: results from the UK Medical Research Council ALL97/99 randomised trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2010;11(5):429–38.
- [11] Dastugue N, Suci S, Plat G, Speleman F, Cav e H, Girard S, et al. Hyperdiploidy with 58–66 chromosomes in childhood B-acute lymphoblastic leukemia is highly curable: 58951 CLG-EORTC results. *Blood* 2013;121(13):2415–23.
- [12] Enshaei A, Vora A, Harrison CJ, Moppett J, Moorman AV. Defining low-risk high hyperdiploidy in patients with paediatric acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: a retrospective analysis of data from the UKALL97/99 and UKALL2003 clinical trials. *Lancet Haematol* 2021;8(11):828–39.
- [13] Brady SW, Roberts KG, Gu Z, Shi L, Pounds S, Pei D, et al. The genomic landscape of pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat Genet* 2022;54(9):1376–89.
- [14] Safavi S, Paulsson K. Near-haploid and low-hypodiploid acute lymphoblastic leukemia: two distinct subtypes with consistently poor prognosis. *Blood* 2017;129(4):420–3.
- [15] Holmfeldt L, Wei L, Diaz-Flores E, Walsh M, Zhang J, Ding L, et al. The genomic landscape of hypodiploid acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat Genet* 2013;45(3):242–52.
- [16] Kim R, Bergugnat H, Larcher L, Duchmann M, Passet M, Gachet S, et al. Adult low-hypodiploid acute lymphoblastic leukemia emerges from preleukemic TP53-mutant clonal hematopoiesis. *Blood Cancer Discov* 2023;4(2):134–49.
- [17] Fo a R, Chiaretti S. Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 2022;386(25):2399–411.
- [18] Heerema NA, Harbott J, Galimberti S, Camitta BM, Gaynon PS, Janka-Schaub G, et al. Secondary cytogenetic aberrations in childhood Philadelphia chromosome positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia are nonrandom and may be associated with outcome. *Leukemia* 2004;18(4):693–702.
- [19] Wetzler M, Dodge RK, Mr ozek K, Stewart CC, Carroll AJ, Tantravahi R, et al. Additional cytogenetic abnormalities in adults with Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: a study of the cancer and leukaemia Group B. *Br J Haematol* 2004;124(3):275–88.
- [20] Motl o C, Ribera JM, Morgades M, Granada I, Montesinos P, Mercadal S, et al. Frequency and prognostic significance of additional cytogenetic abnormalities to the Philadelphia chromosome in young and older adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2018;59(1):146–54.
- [21] Chalandon Y, Thomas X, Hayette S, Cayuela JM, Abbal C, Huguet F, et al. Randomized study of reduced-intensity chemotherapy combined with imatinib in adults with Ph-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* 2015;125(24):3711–9.
- [22] Jaso J, Thomas DA, Cunningham K, Jorgensen JL, Kantarjian HM, Medeiros LJ, et al. Prognostic significance of immunophenotypic and karyotypic features of Philadelphia positive B-lymphoblastic leukemia in the era of tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *Cancer* 2011;117(17):4009–17.

- [23] Yanada M, Takeuchi J, Sugiura I, Akiyama H, Usui N, Yagasaki F, et al. Karyotype at diagnosis is the major prognostic factor predicting relapse-free survival for patients with Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated with imatinib-combined chemotherapy. *Haematologica* 2008;93(2):287–90.
- [24] Aldoss I, Stiller T, Cao TM, Palmer JM, Thomas SH, Forman SJ, et al. Impact of additional cytogenetic abnormalities in adults with Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant J Am Soc Blood Marrow Transplant* 2015;21(7):1326–9.
- [25] Short NJ, Kantarjian HM, Sasaki K, Ravandi F, Ko H, Cameron Yin C, et al. Poor outcomes associated with +der(22)t(9;22) and -9/9p in patients with Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia receiving chemotherapy plus a tyrosine kinase inhibitor. *Am J Hematol* 2017;92(3):238–43.
- [26] Chiaretti S, Messina M, Della Starza I, Piciocchi A, Cafforio L, Cavalli M, et al. Philadelphia-like acute lymphoblastic leukemia is associated with minimal residual disease persistence and poor outcome. First report of the minimal residual disease-oriented GIMEMA LAL1913. *Haematologica* 2021;106(6):1559–68.
- [27] Pfeifer H, Raum K, Markovic S, Nowak V, Fey S, Obländer J, et al. Genomic CDKN2A/2B deletions in adult Ph+ ALL are adverse despite allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Blood* 2018;131(13):1464–75.
- [28] Sasaki Y, Kantarjian HM, Short NJ, Wang F, Furudate K, Uryu H, et al. Genetic correlates in patients with Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated with Hyper-CVAD plus dasatinib or ponatinib. *Leukemia* 2022;36(5):1253–60.
- [29] Hovorkova L, Zaliouva M, Venn NC, Bleckmann K, Trkova M, Potuckova E, et al. Monitoring of childhood ALL using BCR-ABL1 genomic breakpoints identifies a subgroup with CML-like biology. *Blood* 2017;129(20):2771–81.
- [30] Nagel I, Bartels M, Duell J, Oberg HH, Ussat S, Bruckmueller H, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell involvement in BCR-ABL1-positive ALL as a potential mechanism of resistance to blinatumomab therapy. *Blood* 2017;130(18):2027–31.
- [31] Zuna J, Hovorkova L, Krotka J, Koehrmann A, Bardini M, Winkowska L, et al. Minimal residual disease in BCR::ABL1-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia: different significance in typical ALL and in CML-like disease. *Leukemia* 2022;36(12):2793–801.
- [32] Meyer C, Larghero P, Almeida Lopes B, Burmeister T, Gröger D, Sutton R, et al. The KMT2A recombinome of acute leukemias in 2023. *Leukemia* 2023;37(5):988–1005.
- [33] Attarbaschi A, Mörické A, Harrison CJ, Mann G, Baruchel A, De Moerloose B, et al. Outcomes of childhood noninfant acute lymphoblastic leukemia With 11q23/KMT2A rearrangements in a modern therapy era: a retrospective international study. *J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol* 2023;41(7):1404–22.
- [34] Barber KE, Ford AM, Harris RL, Harrison CJ, Moorman AV. MLL translocations with concurrent 3' deletions: interpretation of FISH results. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2004;41(3):266–71.
- [35] Andersson AK, Ma J, Wang J, Chen X, Gedman AL, Dang J, et al. The landscape of somatic mutations in infant MLL-rearranged acute lymphoblastic leukemias. *Nat Genet* 2015;47(4):330–7.
- [36] Richard-Carpentier G, Kantarjian HM, Tang G, Yin CC, Khoury JD, Issa GC, et al. Outcomes of acute lymphoblastic leukemia with KMT2A (MLL) rearrangement: the MD Anderson experience. *Blood Adv* 2021;5(23):5415–9.
- [37] van der Sluis IM, de Lorenzo P, Kotecha RS, Attarbaschi A, Escherich G, Nysom K, et al. Blinatumomab added to chemotherapy in infant lymphoblastic leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 2023;388(17):1572–81.
- [38] Kamens JL, Nance S, Koss C, Xu B, Cotton A, Lam JW, et al. Proteasome inhibition targets the KMT2A transcriptional complex in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat Commun* 2023;14(1):809.
- [39] Cheung LC, Aya-Bonilla C, Cruickshank MN, Chiu SK, Kuek V, Anderson D, et al. Preclinical efficacy of azacitidine and venetoclax for infant KMT2A-rearranged acute lymphoblastic leukemia reveals a new therapeutic strategy. *Leukemia* 2023;37(1):61–71.
- [40] Kotecha RS. Updates in infant acute lymphoblastic leukemia and the potential for targeted therapy. *Hematol Am Soc Hematol Educ Program* 2022;2022(1):611–7.
- [41] Greaves M. Pre-natal origins of childhood leukemia. *Rev Clin Exp Hematol* 2003;7(3):233–45.
- [42] Raynaud SD, Dastugue N, Zoccola D, Shurtliff SA, Mathew S, Raimondi SC. Cytogenetic abnormalities associated with the t(12;21): a collaborative study of 169 children with t(12;21)-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia* 1999;13(9):1325–30.
- [43] Anderson K, Lutz C, van Delft FW, Bateman CM, Guo Y, Colman SM, et al. Genetic variegation of clonal architecture and propagating cells in leukaemia. *Nature* 2011;469(7330):356–61.
- [44] Enshaei A, Schwab CJ, Konn ZJ, Mitchell CD, Kinsey SE, Wade R, et al. Long-term follow-up of ETV6-RUNX1 ALL reveals that NCI risk, rather than secondary genetic abnormalities, is the key risk factor. *Leukemia* 2013;27(11):2256–9.
- [45] Schwab CJ, Murdy D, Butler E, Enshaei A, Winterman E, Cranston RE, et al. Genetic characterisation of childhood B-other-acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in UK patients by fluorescence *in situ* hybridisation and multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification. *Br J Haematol* 2022;196(3):753–63.
- [46] Paietta E, Roberts KG, Wang V, Gu Z, Buck GAN, Pei D, et al. Molecular classification improves risk assessment in adult BCR-ABL1-negative B-ALL. *Blood* 2021;138(11):948–58.
- [47] Harrison CJ, Moorman AV, Schwab C, Carroll AJ, Raetz EA, Devidas M, et al. An international study of intrachromosomal amplification of chromosome 21 (iAMP21): cytogenetic characterization and outcome. *Leukemia* 2014;28(5):1015–21.
- [48] Li Y, Schwab C, Ryan S, Papaemmanuil E, Robinson HM, Jacobs P, et al. Constitutional and somatic rearrangement of chromosome 21 in acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Nature* 2014;508(7494):98–102.
- [49] Schwab C, Harrison CJ. Advances in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia genomics. *HemaSphere* 2018;2(4):1–9.
- [50] Koleilat A, Smadbeck JB, Zepeda-Mendoza CJ, Williamson CM, Pitel BA, Golden CL, et al. Characterization of unusual iAMP21 B-lymphoblastic leukemia (iAMP21-ALL) from the Mayo Clinic and Children's Oncology Group. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2022;61(12):710–9.
- [51] Gao Q, Ryan SL, Iacobucci I, Ghatge PS, Cranston RE, Schwab CJ, et al. The genomic landscape of acute lymphoblastic leukemia with intrachromosomal amplification of chromosome 21. *Blood* 2023;142(8):711–23.
- [52] Nguyen-Khac F, Bidet A, Daudignon A, Lafage-Pochitaloff M, Ameye G, Bilhou-Nabéra C, et al. The complex karyotype in hematological malignancies: a comprehensive overview by the Francophone Group of Hematological Cytogenetics (GFCH). *Leukemia* 2022;36(6):1451–66.
- [53] Mullighan CG, Collins-Underwood JR, Phillips LAA, Loudin MG, Liu W, Zhang J, et al. Rearrangement of CRLF2 in B-progenitor- and Down syndrome-associated acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat Genet* 2009;41(11):1243–6.
- [54] Russell LJ, Capasso M, Vater I, Akasaka T, Bernard OA, Calasanz MJ, et al. Deregulated expression of cytokine receptor gene, CRLF2, is involved in lymphoid transformation in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* 2009;114(13):2688–98.
- [55] Harrison CJ, Schwab C. Constitutional abnormalities of chromosome 21 predispose to iAMP21-acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Eur J Med Genet* 2016;59(3):162–5.
- [56] Verdoni AM, Zilla ML, Bullock G, Guinipero TL, Meade J, Yatsenko SA. B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia with iAMP21 in a patient with Down syndrome due to a constitutional isodicentric chromosome 21. *Am J Med Genet A* 2022;188(8):2325–30.
- [57] Barber KE, Harrison CJ, Broadfield ZJ, Stewart ARM, Wright SL, Martineau M, et al. Molecular cytogenetic characterization of TCF3 (E2A)/19p13.3 rearrangements in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2007;46(5):478–86.
- [58] Panagopoulos I, Micci F, Thorsen J, Haugom L, Tierens A, Ulvmoen A, et al. A novel TCF3-HLF fusion transcript in acute lymphoblastic leukemia with a t(17;19)(q22;p13). *Cancer Genet* 2012;205(12):669–72.
- [59] Inukai T, Hirose K, Inaba T, Kurosawa H, Hama A, Inada H, et al. Hypercalcemia in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: frequent implication of parathyroid hormone-related peptide and E2A-HLF from translocation 17;19. *Leukemia* 2007;21(2):288–96.
- [60] Fischer U, Forster M, Rinaldi A, Risch T, Sungalee S, Warnatz HJ, et al. Genomics and drug profiling of fatal TCF3-HLF-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia identifies recurrent mutation patterns and therapeutic options. *Nat Genet* 2015;47(9):1020–9.
- [61] Mouttet B, Vinti L, Ancliff P, Bodmer N, Brethon B, Cario G, et al. Durable remissions in TCF3-HLF positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia with blinatumomab and stem cell transplantation. *Haematologica* 2019;104(6):244–7.
- [62] Fournier B, Balducci E, Duployez N, Clappier E, Cuccini W, Arfeuille C, et al. B-ALL With t(5;14)(q31;q32); IGH-IL3 rearrangement and eosinophilia: a comprehensive analysis of a peculiar IGH-rearranged B-ALL. *Front Oncol* 2019;9:1374–81.
- [63] Den Boer ML, van Slegtenhorst M, De Menezes RX, Cheok MH, Buijs-Gladdines JGCAM, Peters STCJM, et al. A subtype of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia with poor treatment outcome: a genome-wide classification study. *Lancet Oncol* 2009;10(2):125–34.
- [64] Mullighan CG, Su X, Zhang J, Radtke I, Phillips LAA, Miller CB, et al. Deletion of *IKZF1* and prognosis in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 2009;360(5):470–80.
- [65] Roberts KG, Li Y, Payne-Turner D, Harvey RC, Yang YL, Pei D, et al. Targetable kinase-activating lesions in Ph-like acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 2014;371(11):1005–15.
- [66] Harvey RC, Tasian SK. Clinical diagnostics and treatment strategies for Philadelphia chromosome-like acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood Adv* 2020;4(1):218–28.
- [67] Iacobucci I, Roberts KG. Genetic alterations and therapeutic targeting of Philadelphia-like acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Genes* 2021;12(5):687.
- [68] Tran TH, Tasian SK. Clinical screening for Ph-like ALL and the developing role of TKIs. *Hematol Am Soc Hematol Educ Program* 2022;2022(1):594–602.
- [69] Moorman AV, Schwab C, Winterman E, Hancock J, Castleton A, Cummins M, et al. Adjuvant tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy improves outcome for children

- and adolescents with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia who have an ABL-class fusion. *Br J Haematol* 2020;191(5):844–51.
- [70] Tanasi I, Ba I, Sirvent N, Braun T, Cucuini W, Ballerini P, et al. Efficacy of tyrosine kinase inhibitors in Ph-like acute lymphoblastic leukemia harboring ABL-class rearrangements. *Blood* 2019;134(16):1351–5.
- [71] Russell LJ, Jones L, Enshaei A, Tonin S, Ryan SL, Eswaran J, et al. Characterisation of the genomic landscape of CRLF2-rearranged acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2017;56(5):363–72.
- [72] Chapiro E, Radford-Weiss I, Cung HA, Dastugue N, Nadal N, Tavieux S, et al. Chromosomal translocations involving the IGH@ locus in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia: 29 new cases and a review of the literature. *Cancer Genet* 2013;206(5):162–73.
- [73] Moorman AV, Robinson H, Schwab C, Richards SM, Hancock J, Mitchell CD, et al. Risk-directed treatment intensification significantly reduces the risk of relapse among children and adolescents with acute lymphoblastic leukemia and intra-chromosomal amplification of chromosome 21: a comparison of the MRC ALL97/99 and UKALL2003 trials. *J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol* 2013;31(27):3389–96.
- [74] Russell LJ, Enshaei A, Jones L, Erhorn A, Masic D, Bentley H, et al. IGH@ translocations are prevalent in teenagers and young adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia and are associated with a poor outcome. *J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol* 2014;32(14):1453–62.
- [75] Jeffries SJ, Jones L, Harrison CJ, Russell LJ. IGH@ translocations co-exist with other primary rearrangements in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Haematologica* 2014;99(8):1334–42.
- [76] Yasuda T, Tsuzuki S, Kawazu M, Hayakawa F, Kojima S, Ueno T, et al. Recurrent DUX4 fusions in B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia of adolescents and young adults. *Nat Genet* 2016;48(5):569–74.
- [77] Zhang J, McCastlain K, Yoshihara H, Xu B, Chang Y, Churchman ML, et al. Deregulation of DUX4 and ERG in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat Genet* 2016;48(12):1481–9.
- [78] Lilljebjörn H, Henningson R, Hyrenius-Wittsten A, Olsson L, Orsmark-Pietras C, von Palffy S, et al. Identification of ETV6-RUNX1-like and DUX4-rearranged subtypes in paediatric B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Nat Commun* 2016;7:11790.
- [79] Zaliova M, Zimmermannova O, Dörge P, Eckert C, Mörcke A, Zimmermann M, et al. ERG deletion is associated with CD2 and attenuates the negative impact of IKZF1 deletion in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia* 2014;28(1):182–5.
- [80] Clappier E, Auclerc MF, Rapion J, Bakkus M, Caye A, Khemiri A, et al. An intragenic ERG deletion is a marker of an oncogenic subtype of B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia with a favorable outcome despite frequent IKZF1 deletions. *Leukemia* 2014;28(1):70–7.
- [81] Akasaka T, Balasas T, Russell LJ, Sugimoto K, Majid A, Walewska R, et al. Five members of the CEBP transcription factor family are targeted by recurrent IGH translocations in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia (BCP-ALL). *Blood* 2007;109(8):3451–61.
- [82] Moorman AV, Schwab C, Ensor HM, Russell LJ, Morrison H, Jones L, et al. IGH@ translocations, CRLF2 deregulation, and microdeletions in adolescents and adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol* 2012;30(25):3100–8.
- [83] Li JF, Dai YT, Lilljebjörn H, Shen SH, Cui BW, Bai L, et al. Transcriptional landscape of B cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia based on an international study of 1,223 cases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2018;115(50):11711–20.
- [84] Gu Z, Churchman ML, Roberts KG, Moore I, Zhou X, Nakitandwe J, et al. PAX5-driven subtypes of B-progenitor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat Genet* 2019;51(2):296–307.
- [85] Gocho Y, Kiyokawa N, Ichikawa H, Nakabayashi K, Osumi T, Ishibashi T, et al. A novel recurrent EP300-ZNF384 gene fusion in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia* 2015;29(12):2445–8.
- [86] Hirabayashi S, Ohki K, Nakabayashi K, Ichikawa H, Momozawa Y, Okamura K, et al. ZNF384-related fusion genes define a subgroup of childhood B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia with a characteristic immunotype. *Haematologica* 2017;102(1):118–29.
- [87] Alexander TB, Gu Z, Iacobucci I, Dickerson K, Choi JK, Xu B, et al. The genetic basis and cell of origin of mixed phenotype acute leukaemia. *Nature* 2018;562(7727):373–9.
- [88] Ohki K, Kiyokawa N, Saito Y, Hirabayashi S, Nakabayashi K, Ichikawa H, et al. Clinical and molecular characteristics of MEF2D fusion-positive B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia in childhood, including a novel translocation resulting in MEF2D-HNRNP1 gene fusion. *Haematologica* 2019;104(1):128–37.
- [89] Ohki K, Butler ER, Kiyokawa N, Hirabayashi S, Bergmann AK, Mörcke A, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of B-cell precursor ALL with MEF2D rearrangements: a retrospective study by the Ponte di Legno childhood ALL working group. *Leukemia* 2023;37(1):212–6.
- [90] Gu Z, Churchman M, Roberts K, Li Y, Liu Y, Harvey RC, et al. Genomic analyses identify recurrent MEF2D fusions in acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Nat Commun* 2016;7:13331–41.
- [91] Lilljebjörn H, Ågerstam H, Orsmark-Pietras C, Rissler M, Ehrencrona H, Nilsson L, et al. RNA-seq identifies clinically relevant fusion genes in leukemia including a novel MEF2D/CSF1R fusion responsive to imatinib. *Leukemia* 2014;28(4):977–9.
- [92] Boer JM, Valsecchi MG, Hormann FM, Antić Z, Zaliova M, Schwab C, et al. Favorable outcome of NUTM1-rearranged infant and pediatric B cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia in a collaborative international study. *Leukemia* 2021;35(10):2978–82.
- [93] Hormann FM, Hoogkamer AQ, Beverloo HB, Boeree A, Dingjan I, Wattel MM, et al. NUTM1 is a recurrent fusion gene partner in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia associated with increased expression of genes on chromosome band 10p12.31-12.2. *Haematologica* 2019;104(10):455–9.
- [94] Nebral K, Denk D, Attarbaschi A, König M, Mann G, Haas OA, et al. Incidence and diversity of PAX5 fusion genes in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia* 2009;23(1):134–43.
- [95] Coyaud E, Struski S, Prade N, Familiades J, Eichner R, Quelen C, et al. Wide diversity of PAX5 alterations in B-ALL: a Groupe Francophone de Cytogénétique Hematologique study. *Blood* 2010;115(15):3089–97.
- [96] Clark R, Byatt SA, Bennett CF, Brama M, Martineau M, Moorman AV, et al. Monosomy 20 as a pointer to dicentric (9;20) in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia* 2000;14(2):241–6.
- [97] Zaliova M, Kotrova M, Bresolin S, Stuchly J, Stary J, Hrusak O, et al. ETV6/RUNX1-like acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a novel B-cell precursor leukemia subtype associated with the CD27/CD44 immunophenotype. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2017;56(8):608–16.
- [98] Ryan SL, Peden JF, Kingsbury Z, Schwab CJ, James T, Polonen P, et al. Whole genome sequencing provides comprehensive genetic testing in childhood B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Leukemia* 2023;37(3):518–28.
- [99] Schwab C, Cranston RE, Ryan SL, Butler E, Winterman E, Hawking Z, et al. Integrative genomic analysis of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia lacking a genetic biomarker in the UKALL2003 clinical trial. *Leukemia* 2023;37(3):529–38.
- [100] Schwab C, Nebral K, Chilton L, Leschi C, Waanders E, Boer JM, et al. Intragenic amplification of PAX5: a novel subgroup in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia? *Blood Adv* 2017;1(19):1473–7.
- [101] Passet M, Boissel N, Sigaux F, Saillard C, Bargetzi M, Ba I, et al. PAX5 P80R mutation identifies a novel subtype of B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia with favorable outcome. *Blood* 2019;133(3):280–4.
- [102] Jung M, Schieck M, Hofmann W, Tauscher M, Lentjes J, Bergmann A, et al. Frequency and prognostic impact of PAX5 p.P80R in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients treated on an AIEOP-BFM acute lymphoblastic leukemia protocol. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2020;59(11):667–71.
- [103] Passet M, Kim R, Gachet S, Sigaux F, Chaumeil J, Galland A, et al. Concurrent CDX2 cis-deregulation and UBTFF:ATXN7L3 fusion define a novel high-risk subtype of B-cell ALL. *Blood* 2022;139(24):3505–18.
- [104] Kimura S, Montefiori L, Iacobucci I, Zhao Y, Gao Q, Paietta EM, et al. Enhancer retargeting of CDX2 and UBTFF:ATXN7L3 define a subtype of high-risk B-progenitor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* 2022;139(24):3519–31.
- [105] Moorman AV, Harrison CJ, Buck GAN, Richards SM, Secker-Walker LM, Martineau M, et al. Karyotype is an independent prognostic factor in adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL): analysis of cytogenetic data from patients treated on the Medical Research Council (MRC) UKALLXII/Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) 2993 trial. *Blood* 2007;109(8):3189–97.
- [106] Mullighan CG, Goorha S, Radtke I, Miller CB, Coustan-Smith E, Dalton JD, et al. Genome-wide analysis of genetic alterations in acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Nature* 2007;446(7137):758–64.
- [107] Kuiper RP, Schoenmakers EFPM, van Reijmersdal SV, Hehir-Kwa JY, van Kessel AG, van Leeuwen FN, et al. High-resolution genomic profiling of childhood ALL reveals novel recurrent genetic lesions affecting pathways involved in lymphocyte differentiation and cell cycle progression. *Leukemia* 2007;21(6):1258–66.
- [108] Moorman AV, Enshaei A, Schwab C, Wade R, Chilton L, Elliott A, et al. A novel integrated cytogenetic and genomic classification refines risk stratification in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* 2014;124(9):1434–44.
- [109] Hamadeh L, Enshaei A, Schwab C, Alonso CN, Attarbaschi A, Barbany G, et al. Validation of the United Kingdom copy-number alteration classifier in 3239 children with B-cell precursor ALL. *Blood Adv* 2019;3(2):148–57.
- [110] Brown LM, Lonsdale A, Zhu A, Davidson NM, Schmidt B, Hawkins A, et al. The application of RNA sequencing for the diagnosis and genomic classification of pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood Adv* 2020;4(5):930–42.
- [111] Leongamornlert D, Gutiérrez-Abril J, Lee SW, Barretta E, Creasey T, Gundem G, et al. Diagnostic utility of whole genome sequencing in adults with B-other acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood Adv* 2023;7(15):3862–73.
- [112] Lestringant V, Duployez N, Penther D, Luquet I, Derrieux C, Lutun A, et al. Optical genome mapping, a promising alternative to gold standard cytogenetic approaches in a series of acute lymphoblastic leukemias. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2021;60(10):657–67.
- [113] Rack K, De Bie J, Amey G, Gielen O, Demeyer S, Cools J, et al. Optimizing the diagnostic workflow for acute lymphoblastic leukemia by optical genome mapping. *Am J Hematol* 2022;97(5):548–61.

- [114] Nguyen-Khac F, Bidet A, Veronese L, Daudignon A, Penther D, Troadec M, et al. Recommendations for cytogenomic analysis of hematologic malignancies: comments from the Francophone Group of Hematological Cytogenetics (GFCH). *Leukemia* 2020;34:1711–3.
- [115] Schwab C, Harrison CJ. Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Methods Mol Biol Clifton NJ* 2011;730:99–117.
- [116] Iacobucci I, Kimura S, Mullighan CG. Biologic and therapeutic implications of genomic alterations in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Clin Med* 2021;10(17):3792.
- [117] Duncavage EJ, Bagg A, Hasserjian RP, DiNardo CD, Godley LA, Iacobucci I, et al. Genomic profiling for clinical decision making in myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia. *Blood* 2022;140(21):2228–47.

Giulia Tueur^{a,1}, Julie Quessada^{b,c,1}, Jolien De Bie^d, Wendy Cuccuini^e,
Saloua Toujani^f, Christine Lefebvre^g, Isabelle Luquet^h,
Lucienne Michaux^{d,i}, Marina Lafage-Pochitaloff^{b,*}

^a *Laboratoire d'hématologie, Hôpital Avicenne, AP-HP, Bobigny 93000, France*

^b *Laboratoire de Cytogénétique Hématologique, Département d'Hématologie, CHU Timone, APHM, Aix Marseille Université, Marseille 13005, France*

^c *CRCM, Inserm UMR1068, CNRS UMR7258, Aix Marseille Université U105, Institut Paoli Calmettes, Marseille 13009, France*

^d *Center for Human Genetics, University Hospitals Leuven, Herestraat 49, Leuven 3000, Belgium*

^e *Laboratoire d'Hématologie, Unité de Cytogénétique, Hôpital Saint-Louis, AP-HP, Paris 75010, France*

^f *Service de cytogénétique et biologie cellulaire, CHU de Rennes, Rennes 35033, France*

^g *Unité de Génétique des Hémopathies, Service d'Hématologie Biologique, CHU Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble 38000, France*

^h *Laboratoire d'Hématologie, CHU Toulouse (IUCT-O), Toulouse 31000, France*

ⁱ *Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven 3000, Belgium*

* Corresponding author. Laboratoire de Cytogénétique Hématologique, CHU Timone, Plateforme M2GM, 264 rue Saint Pierre, 13005 Marseille, France

E-mail address: marina.lafage@ap-hm.fr (M. Lafage-Pochitaloff).

¹ Giulia Tueur and Julie Quessada are co- first authors.